EXHIBIT 14

TRANSCRIPT

Texas Senate Special Committee on Redistricting
September 24, 2021

Transcript Produced by Rebecca Farris

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR HUFFMAN: The Senate Special Committee on Redistricting will come to order. Clerk, please call the roll.

THE CLERK: Alvarado. Bettencourt. Birdwell.

Campbell. Hancock. Hughes. Lucio. Nichols. Paxton.

Perry. West. Whitmire. Zaffirini. Hinojosa. Huffman.

Thank you, members.

Senator Birdwell asked me to please announce that he is not present today because he has been exposed to COVID, and he's following protocols, so at his request I have stated that.

SENATOR HUFFMAN:

I'd like to welcome everyone to today's hearing where the committee will receive and consider input on proposed plans for the Texas Senate and state Board of Education districts. We have invited witnesses today that will appear before the committee. They were recommended directly by members of this committee, and I was pleased to invite each witness that was recommended by the members. Public testimony will begin after invited testimony concludes. I want to highlight that our hearing posted for tomorrow will provide another opportunity for members of the public to provide testimony on the proposed plans before us today. I also want to point out that the committee will not be taking any action on the proposed plans before us today. The

committee will not be voting on any potential amendments or bills. Today's hearing is intended to provide an opportunity to hear input on the proposed plans.

Committee members and other senators will have the opportunity to ask questions of witnesses, both public and invited. And in regards to the committee amendment process, I first want the committee to hear directly from invited witnesses and the public so that their input may be considered prior to consideration of any potential amendments. Therefore, committee amendments, including the corresponding reports from legislative counsel are due to the committee by September the 26th, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. Legislative counsel is asking that committee amendments be substituted to them by 5:00 p.m. on September 25th in order for them to have sufficient time to process and produce the amendment packet. They will, however, do their best to process amendments submitted to them after that time.

At next week's hearings, we will vote on each amendment and the proposed plans before us today. I also want to make everyone aware that I published an amendment reflecting our continued work with members since the plan was filed. That amendment was published last night and was posted on District Viewer for all members and the public to review.

Before we continue today, I want to review the redistricting process thus far. Since this committee was created, we've done our best to remain open and transparent about the process, and we have actively collected input from Texans and interested parties across the state through many different avenues. The committee established a public input portal on its website for any interested party to submit written comments and materials, which are shared with each committee member and also publicly available on the committee's website. This portal will remain open throughout the redistricting process. It's open as we speak.

In addition, though the pandemic prevented us from traveling the state to hold in-person regional hearings as the legislature has done in past redistricting cycles, we still have heard from Texans in all parts of the state about their communities and what they belive the legislature should take into consideration during redistricting. These public input hearings, both before and after the 2020 census release, enabled Texans to provide input virtually via Zoom.

We've also offered individual meetings with senators to discuss their parties and respective districts, and I thank all the members for their participation and input in this process and was happy to meet with members and

will be continued to be happy to meet with members who have concerns, interests, or thoughts.

I have kept interested parties that have contacted the committee and congressional and SBO members apprised of the process as well. Over the past year we have sent letters and e-mails to these individuals and groups keeping them informed of the committee's plan and inviting their participation in the process.

Members, today there are two proposed plans before the committee, the Senate and the state Board of Education. These plans were developed after the committee heard many hours of public testimony and after we've talked to members about their perspective district. My goals and priorities in developing these proposed plans include first and foremost abiding by all applicable law, equalizing population across districts, preserving political subdivisions and communities of interest when possible, preserving the cores of previous districts to the extent possible, avoiding pairing incumbent members, achieving geographic compactness when possible, and accommodating incumbent priorities also when possible.

In the Senate Proposal, the total deviation between the smallest district and the largest district is 5.5 percent. The total deviation for the SBOE Proposal

is less than 1 percent at 0.91 percent.

When developing the Senate Proposal, I have had made every effort to accommodate members' requests. We were able to accommodate most of the requests in the plan before you today, not all. And we will continue to work with members as we work through this process. There is a -- still a committee process as I explained previously. I look forward to continuing to work collaboratively with each one of you during the process. As we -- I know we all share the goal of passing fair and legal maps that will allow Texas's upcoming election cycle to proceed as smoothly as possible. And I am going to ask Senator Hinojosa to come preside so he can lay out the bills for me and then we will get started.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Good morning, everyone. A this time the Chair will lay out Senate Bill 4, which deals with Texas Senate redistricting and lay out Senate Bill 7, which deals with the state Board of Education and recognized its author, Senator Huffman.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. President, and Mr. -- excuse me. I'm used to talking to Mr. President from here. Mr. Chairman, thank you. Members, I am not going to have a traditional layout because these are clearly bills, but I do propose to you the two senate bills that were just laid out. One, being

the map for the State Senate, the other for the state 1 2 Board of Education. The maps have been posted online to the public and for you to see now. And with that, I am 3 4 happy to answer any questions that members may have. 5 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Gutierrez? 6 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Thank you. Thank you, 7 Madam Chairwoman. I know you've been working hard on 8 this. You suggested last night that there was an 9 amendment that was created; is that correct? 10 SENATOR HUFFMAN: That there was a --SENATOR GUTIERREZ: On the Senate maps you 11 12 suggested that was --13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: That there was an amendment 14 published last night, yes, that is -- there was one, and 15 it is available to the public. Yes. 16 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: And that was available as 17 of, what, about 9:30 last night? 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I think it was a little 19 earlier, but it could have been. That's probably a good 20 estimation. SENATOR GUTIERREZ: As a matter of fact, this 21 22 larger map that we see up here doesn't incorporate those 23 changes, does it? 24 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I do not -- I can't see it 25 from here, but I doubt if it does because I don't think

they would have had time to publish something. 1 2 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: This larger map is 3 essentially your the previous map that still has 4 Maverick County in Senate District 19, correct? 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: It is -- if it is the old 6 version -- again, I can't see from here -- but it would 7 have Maverick County in it, that's correct. 8 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Senate District 19 has had 9 Maverick County in its core, I think that was one of your 10 ideal goals that you just mentioned earlier, it had it in 11 its core since 1992; is that correct? Since 1994, I'm 12 sorry. 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know the answer to 14 that. 15 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: I would submit to you that 16 since 1994 Maverick County has been in 17 Senate District 19, and that's probably without dispute, 18 so that's for 27 years Maverick County has had it in its 19 core. Do you understand that? 20 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I do not know that to be a 21 fact, sir. 22 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Okay. Well, I'll 23 represent to you that that is the facts. 24 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All right. 25 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Now, you've also suggested

to us that during this process, the changes during the 1 2 maps would be done neighbor to neighbor and would be done 3 communicated with one another, or we could send your 4 staff an e-mail and that would -- that would -- would 5 effectuate a change, correct? That's what we attempted to 6 SENATOR HUFFMAN: 7 do. 8 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Did anybody call my office 9 about creating this change? 10 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know. 11 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Did your staff call my 12 office? 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know. Senator 14 Gutierrez, it's my understanding that you're agreeable to 15 this amendment. If you are not agreeable to the 16 amendment, we will talk to you and Senator Zaffirini and 17 work with both of you to reach a compromise. Okay. Just 18 like we've done with every other member. I apologize to 19 you if you feel -- I misunderstood. I thought you were 20 agreeable to the amendment, so take it -- that's --21 that's the truth. Take it for what it is. And I am 22 telling you we'll keep working with you. SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Fair enough, but you and I 23 24 never spoke, right? SENATOR HUFFMAN: I have not spoken to you. 25

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Someone else -- someone 1 2 else suggested to you that I was agreeable. That's all I 3 wanted to --4 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Another senator, yes. 5 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Fair enough. I'm not 6 agreeable and neither are the people of Maverick County, 7 but, thank you, Senator. 8 SENATOR HUFFMAN: And we will keep working on 9 it, sir. 10 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Are there any other members 11 who wish to ask questions? Senator Powell. 12 SENATOR POWELL: Thank you, Chairman Hinojosa. So as you might well guess, I'm a little whiplashed this 13 14 morning after having seen the proposal for the new maps 15 for Senate District 10 about 9:00 last night. Could you 16 walk us through those changes to Senate District 10 that 17 dropped around 9:00 last night? 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Let me just look at my notes 19 so that I can be specific with you. Give me just a 20 You're asking for the changes from the initial 21 proposed map, Senator? 22 SENATOR POWELL: Yes, ma'am. 23 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All right. In the new 24 proposed amendment, you -- the Senate did District 10 25 loses 70 percent of Parker County. As you know,

1 Parker County was added to Senate District 2 in the 2 original proposed map. And so Senate District 10 would 3 now have 30 percent of Parker, which would be 44,027 4 In Parker County, it adds the VTD 215, 230, 235, 5 loses VTD 305, 430 and SD10 picks up additional whole 6 counties of Palo Pinto, Young, Stephens, Shackelford, 7 Callahan and Brown counties. 8 SENATOR POWELL: Thank you, Madam Chairman. 9 And who -- can you tell -- tell me and those folks that 10 are here today from Senate District 10 --11 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes, ma'am. 12 SENATOR POWELL: -- who represents those areas now? 13 14 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I believe Senator Perry 15 represents some of them. And I'd have to look at the 16 old -- let me look at the old map here. It gets in that 17 area it gets a little close. Parker, Senator Springer; 18 Palo Pinto was Senator Springer; Young was Senator 19 Springer; Stephens, Perry; Shackelford, Perry; Callahan, 20 Buckingham, Senator Buckingham is in District 24; and 21 Brown was Senate District 24 currently represented by Senator Buckingham. 22 23 SENATOR POWELL: And did you communicate with 24 any of the representatives of those districts before you 25 merged that into Senate District 10?

1 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Some of them. I spoke to 2 Senator Perry, to Senator Springer. I do not believe I 3 spoke to Senator Buckingham. 4 SENATOR POWELL: And did you speak to me? 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I did not speak to you. 6 SENATOR POWELL: That's correct. In fact, I 7 have a question for you. Who -- who drew these maps? 8 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I drew the map along with 9 my -- two attorneys and my -- who are members of my 10 staff. 11 SENATOR POWELL: And who are those attorneys? 12 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Anna Mackin, who's sitting right next to me, and Sean Opperman, who is up at the 13 14 dais as the committee director with Senator Hinojosa 15 currently. 16 SENATOR POWELL: And which of these lines for 17 Senate District 10 did you draw and which did they draw? 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I was in the room when every 19 part of this map was drawn. 20 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. And which --21 SENATOR HUFFMAN: And they didn't do anything 22 without my direction. 23 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. And in which room or 24 where were those plans drawn? 25 SENATOR HUFFMAN: In the redistricting room --

1 what's the name of that? Sam Houston Building, Room 460 in the Capitol Complex. 2 3 SENATOR POWELL: And the three of you, were you the only people in the room when those maps were 4 5 drawn? 6 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Most of the time. 7 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. Let me ask you this: 8 Has Peter Morrison been involved in the drawing of any of 9 these maps in any way? 10 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know anyone named 11 Peter Morrison. 12 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. All right. And there is no one else? There are no other people who have 13 14 been -- been involved in drawing those maps? 15 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Many people had input in the 16 drawing of these maps. Many times there were senators in 17 the room while I was drawing the maps, yes. 18 SENATOR POWELL: And which senators would 19 those be? 20 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Many of the senators, yes. 21 SENATOR POWELL: So can you be specific, a 22 little bit more specific to tell us which ones would have 23 been in the room? 24 SENATOR HUFFMAN: They were not -- let me 25 rephrase that. Senators were brought into the room to

see the map just as you were, Senator Powell. And some of the senators had specific requests to look at specific precincts or specific communities of interest and wanted us to bring things up on the map. You did not request me to do that when you were in the room. Other senators did, and I always work with them. Many times what they requested -- and there are senators here looking at me right now and know I've told them we were unable to do it. Those include republicans and that was the process.

SENATOR POWELL: And I am sure that you will recall when you asked me that question that I can -- that I said to you I can clearly see by looking at this map what you are attempting to do.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: I do recall you saying something like that. I can't put your words --

SENATOR POWELL: And I also said that we believe that Senate District 10 was within a standard deviation and that we could leave my map exactly as it is. Do you recall that statement?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: I recall you saying that there is something very similar, I do. Yes.

SENATOR POWELL: Okay. So our staff was told that, quote, legal was reviewing the draft plans for legal compliance of these maps. So would you tell us again who exactly constitutes that group of legal.

1 SENATOR HUFFMAN: As I said, I drew the maps, I presented them to the attorney general's office to 2 3 check for legal compliance under all laws in the 4 United States constitution. 5 SENATOR POWELL: And the names of people that 6 you would have contacted in legal? 7 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I didn't use the word legal, 8 ma'am, you did. I told you I had used the Attorney 9 General's Office as my legal counsel. 10 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. So who in the attorney 11 general's office? Just everyone in the attorney 12 general's office? 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: There were certain people in 14 the attorney general's office that I assume work on the 15 redistricting issues, yes. You know, it's a very large 16 office, and I believe they have hundreds of attorneys. 17 Yes. 18 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. So let me shift gears 19 just a little bit. In the minority populations, the 20 Blacks, Latinos, Asian Americans in my district and 21 across the state grew at a tremendous rate between 2010 22 and '21. So based on that population change, what is 23 being done in this process to determine whether Section 2 24 of the Voting Rights Act requires new minority 25 opportunity districts to be drawn?

1 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I consulted with my legal 2 counsel. SENATOR POWELL: Okay, then I'll ask you one 3 more time. Who is legal counsel? 4 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: It's the attorney general's 6 My point of contact was Chris Hilton. Who else 7 he talks to, I can't tell you. 8 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. Thank you for that. 9 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes. 10 SENATOR POWELL: Who is attempting to draw any 11 new majority-minority districts that might be required 12 under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act? 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Could you repeat the 14 beginning of the question? I'm sorry. 15 SENATOR POWELL: Based on the growth of our 16 minority population, who would be attempting to draw any 17 new majority-minority districts that might be required 18 under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act? 19 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I believe that the maps that 20 are before you have been presented are currently in 21 compliance with the constitution of the Voting Rights Act 22 under Section 2. 23 SENATOR POWELL: Okay. Did -- did the lawyers 24 or anyone in legal review the draft of these maps or the 25 changes that popped up last night at 9:00?

1 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I've answered that question. 2 The maps I believe are in -- based on the advice of my 3 legal counsel, I believe these maps are in compliance. 4 SENATOR POWELL: So does the Texas attorney 5 general's office represent your committee or any 6 legislator on the committee? 7 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Any member of the 8 legislature can ask the attorney general to represent 9 them and have a privileged relationship, legal 10 relationship with the attorney general's office. Any 11 member of the legislature can do that. 12 SENATOR POWELL: And is what you're saying that you have is a privileged relationship with the 13 14 attorney general's office? 15 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I have a privileged legal 16 relationship like any person has with their attorney. 17 SENATOR POWELL: And that -- would that 18 preclude the public of the state of Texas from 19 understanding the details of this redistricting map? 20 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All of the information that 21 the attorney general would have used to make their legal 22 conclusions are readily available to the public from all 23 legislative counsel. 24 SENATOR POWELL: All right. Senate District 25 10 is, I believe, the only majority-minority voting-age

population district that you have intentionally turned into a majority Anglo voting-age population district. It's also the only district that was nearly perfectly populated until you so radically changed it. So I'd like to invite you to come to my Black and Latino constituents before you dismantle their district. Is the committee willing to come to Tarrant County and maybe more specifically to the areas of Fort Worth and Mansfield and Arlington that are so dismantled with regard to their representation to the representation of Black and Brown and Asian populations?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Senator Powell, and I've stated it, and I will state it again, we create these maps race blind. We have not looked at any racial data as we drew these maps. And to this date, I have not looked at any racial data. So the comments that you make are your comments, but I have not drawn these maps on a racial basis. As you know, the committee has not been able to do public -- going to other parts of the state because of the COVID situation. We wanted to do that during the interim, and as we all know the pandemic that we've been under. We also find ourselves in a special session drawing these maps which was not ideal because the time is more compressed which does not provide some of the flexibility that you may have in a regular session

1 for traveling the state. 2 SENATOR POWELL: So you have a special rule, 3 the only group who cannot tell you about how 4 redistricting affects them are the minority voters in my 5 district. 6 SENATOR HUFFMAN: No, that is not my special 7 So please do not put words in my mouth, 8 Senator Powell. 9 SENATOR POWELL: But you're unwilling to 10 consider or to hear their concerns, the concerns of the 11 Black and Latino voters. 12 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I welcome every citizen to 13 come and talk to us. They also can put their concerns in 14 the portal, which we are all reading and looking at and 15 taking to heart, and they are welcome to come here today 16 or all day tomorrow to speak to us. 17 SENATOR POWELL: Yet we're all looking and 18 reading about and hearing about at 9:00 at night on the 19 night before a 10:00 hearing. So I am going to end my 20 remarks here --21 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes, ma'am. 22 SENATOR POWELL: -- by inviting this 23 committee, by inviting you to come to Senate District 10, 24 the only district that you have concentrated on 25 dismantling, and hear from those populations, from the

Black and the Brown and the Asian communities --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes, ma'am.

SENATOR POWELL: -- whose voices have been diluted in this map and who are being dismantled in these maps that are drawn in late hours of the evening in which we have little to no input other than to tell you that our district was in the standard deviation of continuing to exist with its current boundaries. And with that, I'll say thank you.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you, Senator Powell. I am going to answer to your comment. Our approach to this process was informed by the redistricting jurisprudence from the United States Supreme Court as well as other applicable precedent. Several key cases are worth highlighting, Abbott v. Perez, 2018 Supreme Court case; Cooper v. Harris, a 2017 Supreme Court case. They make clear that any redistricting decision is made on the basis of race must be narrowly tailored to achieve compliance with the Voting Rights Act. In Cooper v. Harris, Justice Kagan writing for the majority held when a state invokes the VRA to justify race-based districting, it must show to meet the narrow tailoring requirement that it have a strong basis in evidence for concluding that the statute requires its action. was Cooper v. Harris, a 2017 Supreme Court case, quoting

1 Alabama Legislative Black Caucus v. Alabama, a 2015 case. Based on this warning against race-based 2 3 districting, I drafted all of the proposed maps totally 4 blind to race. Once I had drafted the maps, I ensured 5 that they underwent a legal compliance check to ensure 6 there were no inadvertent violations of any law, 7 including the Voting Rights Act. Thank you. 8 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, both of you. 9 Just a reminder that we have the attorney general's 10 office here as a resource witness for anybody that wishes 11 to call the attorney general's office. So Alvarado. 12 SENATOR ALVARADO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 Madam Chair, I want to ask you a few questions and --14 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. 15 SENATOR ALVARADO: And I know you have 16 witnesses that we still have to go through, and thank you 17 for inviting witnesses that I submitted a list for. 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes, ma'am, my pleasure. 19 SENATOR ALVARADO: Sure. I'd like to ask some 20 questions to get a better sense of why districts in the 21 fastest growing regions were drawn in a certain way in 22 these maps. 23 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. 24 SENATOR ALVARADO: And I know we're going to 25 have the demographer here tomorrow, so I'll probably ask

1	our demographer some of these questions as well. Do you
2	know what communities drove population growth in Harris
3	and Fort Bend counties? In comparison, can you tell us
4	how the White population grew in Harris and Fort Bend
5	counties?
6	SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know. I have not
7	looked at that data.
8	SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay.
9	SENATOR HUFFMAN: And, again, you can ask the
10	demographer those questions, but I do not have them. I
11	do not know.
12	SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. With your analysis,
13	can you tell me the voting-age population by race and
14	ethnicity of the districts that hold a portion of
15	Fort Bend County?
16	SENATOR HUFFMAN: I can't because I have not
17	looked at that data in drawing the maps.
18	SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. And what is the
19	percentage of maybe we have the same answer?
20	SENATOR HUFFMAN: I can't answer that question
21	either.
22	SENATOR ALVARADO: What is the
23	SENATOR HUFFMAN: I have not looked at that
24	data.
25	SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. So then I guess you

would -- would you know the percentage of the voting-age 1 population by race and ethnicity in Fort Bend County? 2 3 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know. Again, you 4 know, all that information I believe is readily available 5 online through Ledge Counsel, but I have not looked at 6 any of that data. 7 SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. And -- and the 8 reason I ask is I am trying to understand why would, for 9 example, a county as diverse as Fort Bend, a place that's 10 been called the most diverse county in the country, be 11 classed into multiple districts and combined with distant 12 rural nondiverse communities? 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Again, the maps were drawn 14 blind to race and using all the other principals that are 15 usually used in drawing the maps, and I think I stated 16 those in my opening remarks. So that's how the maps were 17 drawn. 18 SENATOR ALVARADO: Well, let's --19 SENATOR HUFFMAN: And then sent to the AG for 20 compliance under -- for their legal advice on compliance 21 of the Voting Rights Act. 22 SENATOR ALVARADO: And speaking of the AG's 23 office, who is here from the AG's office? 24 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I believe their general 25 counsel is here. And --

1 SENATOR HINOJOSA: That's correct. SENATOR HUFFMAN: I have not seen him this 2 3 morning. 4 SENATOR ALVARADO: Okav. 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I think Senator Hinojosa has 6 the cards. I didn't see the cards, to be honest. 7 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Alvarado, we have 8 Austin Kinghorn as the general counsel for the attorney 9 general's office. 10 SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. I may have questions 11 for that representative of the AG's office. Let's move 12 to the DFW region. What community's growth population 13 rose in the DFW metro area; and in comparison, can you 14 tell how the White population grew in the metroplex? 15 SENATOR HUFFMAN: It's the same answer to you, 16 Senator Alvarado. I haven't looked at that data, so I 17 cannot give you an answer. 18 SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. So I -- from what I 19 am told, communities of color in Tarrant County are 20 primarily cracked between Senate District 9, 10, and 21 Senate District 22 in a county where the White population 22 shrunk by two percent while Asian, which is about 23 56 percent growth; African Americans 40 percent growth; 24 and Latinos 29 percent, communities all grew 25 significantly. Looking at the Tarrant County, Arlington

specifically, why has the Black population been split into multiple senate districts?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Again, Senator Alvarado, I

blind to race, so I cannot answer that question.

did not look at that data when I drew the maps. I drew

SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. From information I've looked at, it appears that Black communities in Dallas and southeastern Tarrant County are packed into Senate District 23 while the remainder of the eastern Tarrant County Black communities are cracked and paired in a far away -- far away counties in Senate District 22. In the DFW, the Asian American population has experienced enormous growth, 87 percent over a decade. This is a community that's geographically close together, and just curious why the Asian American Pacific Islander community, why they aren't in one district?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: The same answer,

Senator Alvarado. I drew -- I drew the maps blind to
race. So I could not answer your question.

SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. From what I can tell, the Asian American population in Dallas with particular growth in the northern part of the county, Collin and Denton counties grew by 87 percent from 2010 to 2020. This growth is aggressively cracked between Senate District 2, Senate District 12, and

Senate District 30. What does Euless, Texas, which is a diverse suburb of Dallas-Fort Worth, have in common with Rosebud, Texas, in Falls County?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: I am not familiar with those two communities, Senator, so I couldn't comment.

SENATOR ALVARADO: So from what I gathered,
Senate District 22, diverse suburban area of Euless,
Euless is a population of 61,032, is in the same district
as a small rural Rosebud which is a population of 1,349
in Falls County, 143 miles away. Eight other senate
districts are wholly contained within that 140-mile
radius. There are over 600,000 Latino residents in
Tarrant County. What was the justification for
eliminating the only district where they could elect a
candidate of their choice?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Senator Alvarado, again, I blue -- I drew these maps -- as I've told you, of course, and Senator Powell, that they were drawn blind to race. As you know, we have a growing state, one of the fastest growing states in the nation. We have a very large state. Okay. I'm not sure what that is. A very large state and the population, of course, is not necessarily growing equally throughout the state. And when we drew these maps, many times members contributed information about communities of interest and so forth, but we did

our best to follow the redistricting guidelines drawing blind to race.

SENATOR ALVARADO: And I'm just going to cover one more region, the Austin area. Do you know what communities drove population growth in Travis and Williamson County, and in comparison, can you tell us how the White population grew in central Texas?

 $\label{eq:SENATOR HUFFMAN: I cannot, Senator Alvarado,} \\$ for the reasons I've stated.

SENATOR ALVARADO: Austin democrats are packed into Senate District 14 and 21. From what I gather, Williamson County grew by 44 percent in the last decade and 77 percent of that growth came from diverse communities including 26 percent from the Latino community and 21 percent from the Asian community, but Senate District 5 and 24 cracks these communities into far off rural diverse districts. I just wanted to point that out. I appreciate your indulgence with me as I move through these different regions in our state. Thank you.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Of course. And thank you, Senator Alvarado, for your questions.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Members, does anyone wish to call the resource witness? Okay. Could we have the resource witness, Austin Kinghorn from the attorney general's office please come up as the resource witness.

Some of the members may have some questions. Just identify yourself, who you represent, and there will be some questions asked of you.

MR. KINGHORN: Good morning, Senators. My name is Austin Kinghorn. I'm the general counsel at the Texas AG's office.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Whitmire.

SENATOR WHITMIRE: Would you ask the witness if I could get his background. Could you give us -- obviously, work experience, how long have you been with the AG's office, hired on specifically for this project, or would you go into detail starting today with your background, probably going back to at least law school.

MR. KINGHORN: I'd be happy to do that. So I started at the AG's office in June of last year as an assistant attorney general in the general counsel division, was later promoted to general counsel. Prior to that, I worked as a staff attorney at the Texas Supreme Court for about six and a half years and was a litigator at the AG's office in private practice for a few years before that. Before that, I did a clerkship on the 14th Court of Appeals in Houston and went to law school at Baylor Law School. To answer your question, I was not hired directly related to any redistricting

1 project. 2 SENATOR WHITMIRE: Have you been employed by a 3 campaign in your background? 4 MR. KINGHORN: Absolutely not. 5 SENATOR WHITMIRE: Fair to ask if you've 6 volunteered in a campaign in the past? 7 I'm sorry. Could you restate. MR. KINGHORN: 8 SENATOR WHITMIRE: Have you volunteered in 9 previous campaigns in your young adulthood? 10 MR. KINGHORN: I'm assuming that the context 11 of your question is would it be for General Paxton or any 12 political candidate? 13 SENATOR WHITMIRE: No, anyone. Are you -- I'm 14 just --15 MR. KINGHORN: Okay. 16 SENATOR WHITMIRE: I think it's important for 17 us to know when we have in your position to know what you 18 bring to the table, both education and real-life 19 experience, work; and particularly this is so political, 20 have you been involved in campaigns that you can tell us 21 about? 22 MR. KINGHORN: I have been very intermittently 23 involved in campaigns in a volunteer capacity a handful 24 of times in the last ten years. 25 SENATOR WHITMIRE: So the answer is yes?

know it's not against the law. I am not asking
you -- you don't have to take the Fifth Amendment.
I just think it's a fair question to know what work
experience and affiliations have been. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Powell, you are
next. Senator Zaffirini?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Sir, what is the attorney general's staff process and purpose when analyzing whether proposed maps comply with the Voting Rights Act?

Senator Zaffirini, the attorney MR. KINGHORN: general's office has certain lawyers who are experienced in these issues and are marked as this process begins to field those questions. There is not a formal division of labor in that regard. As you may know, the AG's office has a lot of specific divisions. We don't have a specific division of attorneys that handles these questions. It's really more of a -- we take an assessment of what resources we have. Who is still around that took part in the process last time, try to get some folks up to speed, and then try to respond to the demands of the inquiries we get. Obviously, depending on the numbers of those inquiries and conflicts they present, we may have to bring in additional folks to these teams. But to answer your question as directly as

possible, there is no formalized process to this. We muster our internal resources on an as-needed basis to respond to the inquiries that come in.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: But does your process, for example, include looking at each district individually to determine if each district complies with the Voting Rights Act?

MR. KINGHORN: The process as I understand it, and I have not served in capacity in which I have been personally advising any individual legislator, is that our attorneys answer the questions that are posed to them by the legislators who have inquiries, and I am confident that that often includes whether the proposed maps would survive constitutional scrutiny or scrutiny of the Voting Rights Act. Whether -- exactly the nuts and bolts of that process district by district, I can't speak with individual knowledge as to exactly how that goes.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. What specific aspects of proposed maps do you analyze to ensure the redistricting process does not diminish minority voters' ability to select a candidate of their choice?

MR. KINGHORN: The attorney general's office in evaluating these maps always is going to render legal advice to the requesting state legislator, concerning whether in our opinion the maps survive scrutiny under

the 14th Amendment and additionally the

Voting Rights Act. Exactly what that looks like is just
a case-by-case inquiry depending on the facts of the
inquiry and the nature of the question asked.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: But do you look at specific data such as the voting-age population or the registered voters or population growth and how minorities are impacted by all of these aspects?

MR. KINGHORN: The same data that is used for the redistricting process and is publicly available is data that the attorney general's office would look at and that our lawyers are trained to understand and interpret.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. The Black citizen voting-age population of the proposed Senate District 23, for example, is only 45 percent. In your opinion, would this be permissible under the Voting Rights Act and if so, why?

MR. KINGHORN: Senator, to answer your questions as best I can, I need to back up for a moment and inform the entire body that, as you all know, there is pending litigation already as a preemptive measure against this redistricting process. Unfortunately, this severely limits my ability to comment publicly on any factual questions regarding the current redistricting map given the pending litigation. And I -- unfortunately

because of that pending litigation, I cannot delve into specifics -- on -- on the districts.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Can you answer privately?

MR. KINGHORN: I'm sorry?

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Could you answer

privately?

MR. KINGHORN: So the attorney general's office stands prepared to offer legal counsel to any senator or representative that would ask for it. In terms of the discussions that are had with the drafter of the maps, there is a privileged relationship there. So there is an aspect of attorney-client privilege that -- that flows to the drafter. However, in terms of an off-line conversation, should you request it, we can absolutely have an attorney help you with the answers to your question. However, it may be a different attorney than the attorney that is advising the drafter of the map.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: But could I get an answer to that question privately? And let me repeat the question. The Black citizen voting-age population of the proposed Senate District 23, for example, is only 45 percent. In your opinion, would this be permissible under the Voting Rights Act and if so, why? You said you could not answer that publicly and my question again is:

1 Could I get a private -- or an answer to that privately? 2 MR. KINGHORN: Senator Zaffirini, you and any 3 other senators are welcome to reach out to our office and you can have an attorney assigned to answer any question 4 5 that we can help you with regarding compliance with the 6 Voting Rights Act and the United States Constitution. 7 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. I hope the 8 answer is yes. Thank you. What would you consider an 9 acceptable threshold for the percentage of a district's 10 voting-age population who are Black or Hispanic to 11 maintain a minority-majority opportunity district 12 protected under the Voting Rights Act? 13 MR. KINGHORN: Senator Zaffirini, again, with 14 the caveat that I can't answer any questions specific to 15 the maps that have been drawn, generally speaking the 16 bare minimum threshold for a district to be considered a 17 majority-minority opportunity district would be 18 50 percent plus 1 of the voting-age population. 19 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Can you 20 describe the AG's staff analysis for the proposed 21 configuration of Senate District 10? 22 MR. KINGHORN: Senator Zaffirini, for the 23 reasons I aforestated, I cannot comment publicly on any 24 issues regarding the maps given the pending litigation. 25 Moreover, I am actually not personally privy to that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

analysis as I am not the attorney who has been assigned to provide this -- the drafters of these maps with legal assistance.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Given the census data indicate 95 percent of population growth in Texas was in communities of color during the last decade, what steps are you taking to determine whether the senate must draw a new minority opportunity district to comply with the Voting Rights Act?

MR. KINGHORN: Senator Zaffirini, our role in this process is twofold. One, is on the back end to defend the court -- the redistricting maps that are drawn in a court of law. And the other role is on the front end is to advise the membership on drafting those maps and drafting maps that are legally compliant. role in the process is to give the best legal advice we Ultimately, it is the legislators' responsibility can. to draft maps as they see fit and according to the law. And we will do our best to provide the best legal counsel we can to ensure that those maps that are drawn are compliant with the Voting Rights Act and are compliant with the Equal Protection Clause in the United States Constitution.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, sir.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Senator 2 Zaffirini. Senator Powell. 3 SENATOR POWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 Mr. Kinghorn, does your office represent any legislator 5 or did you represent the committee? 6 Senator Powell, I believe that MR. KINGHORN: 7 the nature of the representation flows to members 8 individually, but do not quote me 100 percent on that. 9 If you don't mind, I'm happy to follow up to make sure 10 that is the 100 percent correct answer. 11 SENATOR POWELL: So it would be every member 12 of the committee. Is that what I understand you to say? 13 MR. KINGHORN: I believe that that is the way 14 that we typically handle these is that the -- the advice 15 and the privilege that follows it will flow to an 16 individual. I don't believe it's representation to the 17 committee at large, although -- although counsel would be 18 afforded to any member of the committee that might seek 19 it. Again, I'd -- I'd be happy to follow up to make 20 100 percent sure that that is the arrangement, but I 21 believe that I am correct on that. 22 SENATOR POWELL: I -- I would appreciate that. 23 And so do you have a letter of engagement or any written 24 retainer letter with any of the members of the committee? MR. KINGHORN: I believe it is customary for 25

1 our office to execute letters of representation with members of legislature in the redistricting process. As 2 3 I sit here today, I do not have or know an exhaustive 4 list of that representation. 5 SENATOR POWELL: Could we get it? 6 MR. KINGHORN: I'd be happy to help you out 7 with that. 8 SENATOR POWELL: Thank you. Who in your 9 office is determining whether new majority-minority 10 districts can be drawn to ensure compliance with the 11 Section 2 of the Voting Right -- of the 12 Voting Rights Act? 13 MR. KINGHORN: Senator Powell, as I mentioned 14 before, the team of lawyers that handle these cases are 15 kind of a -- pulled from different areas of the agency 16 based on their expertise and their past history. So to 17 try to answer your question as directly as possible, 18 there is no set process to who and how is assigned to 19 individual senators to advise them. 20 SENATOR POWELL: Can you say how many 21 attorneys are on that team? 22 MR. KINGHORN: I do not believe that there is 23 a fixed number of attorneys that are on the team. As 24 I've said that we are kind of moving in an ad hoc basis 25 and bringing in attorneys as they're needed. I'm not

100 percent sure even as I sit here today exactly how many attorneys we have working on redistricting matters as of today.

SENATOR POWELL: That would be -- it would be nice for the public to know that, to understand the answer to that question. Did your office, and by your office, I mean any staff member of your office or any outside entity or law firm that you communicated with about this map, did your -- did your office make any changes to draft maps that were submitted to you by the redistricting committee or any of its staff members.

MR. KINGHORN: So I think it -- a two-part answer to that is -- is, first, obviously the communications that our office has with the legislators about the maps are privileged; and ultimately whatever changes that are made to the maps, that's the members' changes. The attorney general's office serves to advise on the legality of the maps proposed, but the drafting and the process of drafting is ultimately -- that's an ownership of the legislature.

SENATOR POWELL: Okay. So when you say that it's privileged, the general public doesn't have a right to know that information. Is that what you're saying?

MR. KINGHORN: So the -- the legal advice that the Office of the Attorney General gives to legislators

that request it, not just on redistricting but on any 1 2 other issues, and this applies to any -- anyone in this 3 room and any other representative or senator, that is an 4 attorney-client communication and it is privileged. 5 SENATOR POWELL: Good to know. Do you happen 6 to know who in your office is involved in drawing 7 district lines? Who -- who specifically might be 8 involved in it? 9 MR. KINGHORN: So in -- in -- just to be clear 10 on the question, no one in our office is assigned to draw 11 district lines. Just to reframe it, if I can, in terms 12 of although I'm providing legal advice, if you are 13 looking for specific names of who has been assigned to 14 that work, I'd be happy to provide that with you and get 15 with you. 16 SENATOR POWELL: All right. That would be 17 Thank you so much. great. 18 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Alvarado? 19 SENATOR ALVARADO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ι 20 believe Senator Zaffirini maybe touched on this, and I 21 wanted to just dig a little deeper. How would you 22 analyze whether or not a district complies with the 23 Voting Rights Act? Walk me through the process. 24 MR. KINGHORN: So the core analysis of whether

a district is compliant with Section 2 of the

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Voting Rights Act comes down to the tests that is laid out in Gingles versus Thornburg. It's a three-part test. First, you look at whether the minority group is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in a single-member district. Second, you look at whether the minority group is politically cohesive. And, third, you look at in absence of special circumstances whether blocked voting by the majority would otherwise defeat the -- the minority preferred candidate. Additionally, you look at a number of other factors that come from a senate legislative history report that is outlined in that case, and all of these factors taken together is viewed by the courts as a totality of the circumstances test. Under this the Gingles test, Gingles factors, you have to establish all three to potentially have a minority opportunity district, but there is no test that is conclusive one way or the other. At the end of the day, it's a highly fact-specific inquiry that the courts take fresh each time guided by these factors and, as you know, a lot of other case law. But that's a 30,000 foot view of the baseline inquiry. SENATOR ALVARADO: And who's involved in that process in your office? MR. KINGHORN: So the -- the -- as I've said

before, we have a sort of informal ad hoc group of attorneys who between having experience with this process in prior sessions and also just folks we're bringing on and -- and learning them up to get up to speed on this, we just -- we have an informal ad hoc group of attorneys that are ready to step in and serve those needs when they're presented to our office.

SENATOR ALVARADO: And so what's the, I guess, the hierarchy of this in looking to see whether or not each district complies with the Voting Rights Act? You sign off on it and it goes to somebody else and then to the attorney general? How does that work?

MR. KINGHORN: There is no formal hierarchy and there is no processing through the agency. What you have is individual lawyers from the AG's office that are assigned to give counsel to the requesting representatives. So that individual attorney will give privileged communication -- privileged advice to that lawyer, but there is no formal approval process to the AG's office, that is a matter of one attorney's advice to his or her client.

SENATOR ALVARADO: Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Whitmire.

SENATOR WHITMIRE: Yeah. I'm just sitting here listening to these fine questions, but you haven't

named one extra lawyer. Ad hoc team doesn't tell us much if we want to pursue work product or the advice of counsel from the AG staff, and so as part of the senate, I'm sitting here listening, you're doing, I guess, a great job of representing the attorney general's office, but you're not doing much to assist us on who we should call. So can you name this ad hoc team that you quote, call it, would you just name maybe one other lawyer.

MR. KINGHORN: As was discussed earlier in this hearing today, Chris Hilton is one of the lawyers who has been helping out on this project.

SENATOR WHITMIRE: Another one?

MR. KINGHORN: Dean Whitmire, to -- to put it -- it's not my attempt to obfuscate on this list. Some of the names I know are people at the ready. I don't actually know if they have been deployed because I don't have a full and comprehensive list of what all members have reached out for assistance from our office. So if I were to tell you certain -- certain members of this office that are at the ready, I can't tell you for sure whether they've been deployed. So it's not my attempt to obfuscate on this. We can -- we can happily circle it back with you and give you more direction on what --

SENATOR WHITMIRE: What about the sake

of -- and we'll be here tomorrow for sure. Maybe a list 1 of your staff in this, quote, ad hoc team, that you put 2 3 together because I think it's important as to all whom 4 seek out advice and counsel. For instance, that ad hoc 5 team, five people, three people? 6 MR. KINGHORN: I would say based on my 7 conversations internally, I think at this point it may be 8 three to five people that we have on deck at the moment. 9 SENATOR WHITMIRE: I think it would be 10 very -- Chairman, I'd ask the witness to furnish us that, 11 that list if you could, that have been involved. 12 might want to call and ask them their advice and counsel. 13 MR. KINGHORN: I'd be happy to reach out to 14 you on that. 15 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Huffman. 16 If I SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes, Mr. President. 17 could just clarify. I want to make sure the record --18 the record is crystal clear. The only person who I have 19 had legal advice from from the AG's office while drawing the maps is Chris Hilton, and I think I said that in my 20 21 presentation. Chris Hilton. Thank you. 22 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Senator Huffman. 23 Senator Whitmire. Any other questions, members? 24 SENATOR WHITMIRE: Mr. Chairman --25 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Mr. Whitmire, go ahead.

SENATOR WHITMIRE: -- that's not consistent with what the gentleman just testified to, and I don't challenge your -- your opinion because that's significant, but you're saying one person. He's got a team that he's relying upon. And I was just saying it's only fair for us to hear who the team is.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: I just wanted to make it clear for the record that the only person that I have had contact with to receive legal advice was Chris Hilton while drawing the maps. I just want the record to be clear. I'm not trying to interrupt your question.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Okay. Any other senators who have any questions for Mr. Kinghorn? If not, thank you for your testimony, and please stand by because you may be called back again.

MR. KINGHORN: Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: At this time, we will move to public testimony -- I'm sorry, invited testimony. I'm sorry. We will move to invited testimony, and just a remainder that we are to testify on both bills at the same time, Senate Bill 4 and Senate Bill 7. And by the testimony, we will have the Domingo Garcia, National President, League of United Latin American Citizens LULAC. Mr. Garcia. Identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. My name is Domingo MR. GARCIA: I'm the national president of LULAC. LULAC is the League of United Latin American Citizens. We are a nonpartisan civil rights organization founded in 1929 in Corpus Christi, Texas, to deal with the issues of discrimination at that time here in the state of Texas. My background just so some of you all may know is that I have served on the Dallas City Council. I was mayor pro tem for the City of Dallas; served on the Dallas County redistricting commission in 2010 and have been reappointed to serve on the Dallas County redistricting commission in 2020. I also was -- don't hold this against me, but I was also a state representative for about six years and member of the chamber. And I have now been national president of LULAC for six years.

LULAC when it got started dealt, with a lot of issues of intentional discrimination. To give you an example, there were separate schools in Texas for Mexican Americans, African Americans and Anglos. In the case called Mendez vs. Westminster, LULAC challenged that and that case went to the U.S. Supreme Court, and the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that segregated schools were illegal. This was two years before Browns vs. Topeka, which said that schools for African Americans were illegal. We challenged the poll tax. Believe it or not,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people in this same chamber required you to pay \$2.50 back in the 1960s to vote in the state of Texas. was equivalent to about \$20 today. So people could vote and that was done intentionally to keep African Americans and Mexican Americans from voting at that time period. After that, literacy tests were passed in this chamber. Literacy tests said you have to be able to read and write to be able to vote in Texas. To give you an example, Mexican Americans in the Valley and in West Texas were asked to recite the Texas constitution verbatim to vote. They were asked to recite the U.S. Constitution verbatim to vote. Eventually, those literacy tests were overturned after a LULAC lawsuit. Then we had at-large voting, so, for example, in the past there was no single-member districts because of the -- actually, LULAC brought -- we were able to create single-member districts, which is what we're facing that we have now today in the Senate and the House. Before it was all at-large.

Last year we had to face the issue of voter purges in the state of Texas. LULAC brought a lawsuit challenging the purge of 98,000 voters of whom 94 percent were Mexican American. Again, LULAC prevailed and won, and if you recall, the secretary of state was not confirmed because of his actions in that case. A reason

I tell you that is because LULAC is the only organization in the country that represents all Hispanics because we have class-action status. NAACP is the only organization that represents all African Americans, and they have class-action status. Since 1970, 1980, 1990, 2020, 2010, LULAC has filed a lawsuit against every redistricting plan in Texas history. In every case, LULAC has prevailed. In every case we were able to show intentional discrimination.

And let me tell you why we will be able to show intentional discrimination once again. I have been privy to see the maps that have been stood up, but I want to just show you some examples because I'm from Dallas, Texas. I'm from the North Texas area, so that's the area I know the best. In the current 23rd Senatorial District, the district is currently 45 percent Latino, 38 percent Black, 14 percent Anglo. That's the way it is now. Under the proposed map, Hispanics will go down to 39 percent, African Americans will go up 1 percent to 39, and Anglos will go up to 17.6 under this map.

Senate District 16 is currently 41 percent

Anglo -- excuse me, is currently -- yes, 41 percent

Anglo, 29 percent Hispanic, 13 percent Black. Under the

plan -- and I haven't seen the map from last night

because I wasn't aware of it until this morning. Under

the proposed plan that came out, the Anglo population would go down 26.6 percent. Hispanics would go up to 49.3 and Blacks would be 16.8. But Hispanics are 40 percent of the population of Dallas County. We are the largest ethnic group in Dallas County. And so to give you an idea in Dallas County, right now there are 724,000 Anglos, 1,057,000 Hispanics, 564,000 Blacks and 181,000 Asians. There is no Latino opportunity district in Dallas County. There is no Latino opportunity district or minority opportunity district in Tarrant County. So to put that in perspective, the largest group in North Texas has no representation; and under the current map, would have no representation as there would not be a voting-age population in either one of those districts that would create such.

We believe -- if we have one person that went to vote, that would mean Latinos are about 40 percent of the state's population. Anglos are about 40 percent of the state's population. There would be approximately 10 or 11 Mexican American state senators in this chamber. But because of intentional cracking and packing, that doesn't occur.

Let me tell you why -- I heard the -- you state that there was no racial data used in drawing these maps. I beg to differ. It's like if I went to first grade and

I got my box of crayons and the only color in the crayons were white. There were no browns crayons. There were no black crayons. There were no yellow crayons. Only white crayons. Why do I say that? Because what you see is you see these lines mainly White districts, rural areas that have nothing to do with Dallas County come in, take out Black and Brown populations and take them up where they are effectively neutralized and neutered as a voting block.

Interesting, the same thing happened in District 10 in Fort Worth where Black and Brown districts are put in with White rural districts. You never see the other -- the other happen. It's only Anglo rural and suburban areas coming in and scooping up Black and Brown voters in 10 or 20 percent increments so they are effectively diluted and cracked. What we've seen today is that that doesn't occur in other areas, so I want to just make sure I'm clear that unless there is a majority Latino opportunity district in North Texas, there will be intentional racial discrimination by this body as it has -- the litany of things that I've just showed you.

And it's easy. Under the Gingles test that the assistant attorney general just mentioned, do we have a cohesive block? Yes, we do. They all vote the same. Pretty much, yes, they do. Will the majority block deny

them the right to choose a candidate of their choice?

Yes, they will. So that is very clear. And to give you an example, there are more Latinos in Dallas-Fort Worth than there are in San Antonio or El Paso or the Valley, yet they have majority Latinos in their districts. So there is a clear opportunity for this chamber and -- to draw a majority Latino opportunity district and a majority -- and keep an African American district -- opportunity district and also a majority-minority opportunity district in Tarrant County, which I'll get to now.

We also have problems in Tarrant County in District 10. They're African American, Latino districts are a cohesive group, primarily concentrated in the city of Forth Worth and the south side in Arlington. Those districts of the proposed map would be rural or prominently White areas. Ranchers and farmers have nothing to do with the Texas stadium, Raider stadium or downtown Fort Worth and the stockyards. They just don't. And if you are going to keep people of common interest together, you should put them together. Dallas and Fort Worth could be merged. They're 20 miles apart, not a problem. Similar interests. Similar urban and suburban areas. Why put them with Wise County, Decatur, Texas, where you have more cows than people. Doesn't

make sense. As opposed to what you have in Dallas and Tarrant County.

The 27th District, we also have an issue. That is one where it appears that the lines have been drawn to include elements of Nueces County and San Patricio County where it used to only be South Texas. Not sure why that happened, but it appears to dilute the numbers of voting age Latinos and effective voting-age populations in that district.

Now, that's just an initial glance. We haven't had a chance to look and apply the red apple to the county level, street level numbers, but those is what we've seen initially. So we would ask the chamber to draw maps that would more fairly and accurately represent the growth. The Latinos were almost the entire growth from 2010 to 2020 in the state of Texas, yet there are no additional Latino districts in this plan that have been laid out at this time. Thank you, very much.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Mr. Garcia. We have a couple of questions from senators.

Senator Zaffirini.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Mr. Garcia, for your leadership and for your passion, especially your interest in redistricting. You talked about the population growth in general, and you

1 talked about the growth specifically of the Hispanic 2 population. If you were drawing this map, specifically 3 where would you draw a minority opportunity district? 4 MR. GARCIA: We have a county commissioner's 5 district in Dallas that is commissioner District 4 that 6 has a population of about 700,000. Just about 150,000 7 short, that is 16 percent Latino. So western Dallas 8 County along with certain elements in the middle, 9 Pleasant Grove, Oak Cliff, which is the largest Latino 10 neighborhood in Dallas County, could easily create a 11 majority opportunity Latino district or a minority 12 opportunity district and also for Texas Senator West's district in the 23rd. 13 14 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: What impact would that 15 have on Senate District 23 and Senate District 10? 16 MR. GARCIA: 23 would have to go further east where there is a large part of African Americans where 17 18 currently, I believe, in Council District -- I mean 19 Senate District 2. 20 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: 10? 21 MR. GARCIA: Hmm? 22 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: What impact on Senate 23 District 10? MR. GARCIA: 24 None, on Senate District 10. SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: 25 None as it is proposed or

1 none as it exists? 2 MR. GARCIA: None as it is. As is proposed, 3 of course, Senator District 10 gets -- we call it 4 cracking of the minority communities in District 10. 5 They're losing their effective voice to elect the 6 candidate of their choice. 7 In developing your SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: 8 proposals and your strategy in addressing redistricting, 9 have you worked with other Hispanic organizations? 10 MR. GARCIA: Yes, we have. We work with 11 multiple organizations Voto Latino, Valdez, the Latino 12 Task Force. 13 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. You heard me 14 ask questions of the attorney general's representative? 15 MR. GARCIA: Yes. 16 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: I'd like to ask you some of those questions in the depth of perhaps for you. 17 18 MR. GARCIA: Sure. SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Because I didn't get very 19 20 many specific answers. 21 MR. GARCIA: Okay. 22 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: First of all, what 23 specific aspects of proposed maps do you think should be 24 used to ensure the redistricting process does not 25 diminish minority voter's ability to elect the candidates of their choice?

MR. GARCIA: In order to comply with the Voting Rights Act, you definitely need to use racial data to make sure you are not cracking or packing a Latino and African American voters in violation of the Voting Rights Act, Section 2. I believe the assistant attorney general is being disingenuous with you, to be honest with you. You are his client. You are the state of Texas. You have a right to that same information just like every other senator here. Now, maybe not in public because it is an attorney/client privilege. I'm an attorney also, by the way, so -- but you do have a right to that information and data.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. The Black citizen voting-age population of proposed Senate District 23 is only 45 percent. In your opinion, would this permissible under the Voting Rights Act and if so, why or why not?

MR. GARCIA: It will not. It's not sufficient voting-age population of African American voter to comply.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. What would you consider an acceptable threshold for the percentage of a district's voting-age population who are Black or Hispanic to maintain a minority-majority opportunity

district protected under the Voting Rights Act? 1 MR. GARCIA: Over 60 percent and that is 2 3 currently the numbers that we are seeing in almost 4 every -- every minority state senator in the state of 5 Texas has districts over 60 percent minority right now. 6 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: And you disagree with the 7 AG representative's testimony that it's 50 percent 8 plus 1? 9 MR. GARCIA: That's correct. 10 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Yours is 60 percent. 11 MR. GARCIA: 60 percent plus 1. 12 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: 60 percent plus 1. Okay. 13 I asked the AG's staff what analysis they used to the 14 proposed configuration of Senate District 10. 15 have any comment about that, about how Senate District 10 16 should be analyzed in terms of its compliance or 17 noncompliance with the Voting Rights Act? 18 I'm not a mind reader, but I have MR. GARCIA: 19 been around a lot of rodeos in my time, political rodeos, 20 and my guess is because senator of District 10 has a 21 majority White population right now at about 50 percent, 22 or I believe that's what it was before. They believe she 23 is not protective of the Voting Rights Act, but we 24 believe there are because of the cohesiveness of the 25 Black and Brown populations in District 10.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Given that census data indicate 95 percent population growth in Texas was in communities of color during the last decade, what steps do you believe should be taken to determine whether the senate must draw a new minority opportunity district to comply with the Voting Rights Act?

MR. GARCIA: You would take the census data and seen that the growth was majority Latino. African Americans stayed about the same. Anglos declined. Then you would create a minority opportunity districts for that increase in growth, and it hasn't happened in this map that I have seen so far.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. How can we ensure that if a new minority opportunity district is drawn that is does not impact existing minority districts negatively, for example, reducing their minority voting-age population significantly?

MR. GARCIA: You're going to have to change the lines of the state senators in the north in terms of Dallas County and Tarrant County. And that means you would have to alter their districts somewhat. And take -- and -- but Dallas is a majority-minority county by far. The fact of the matter is you see just looking at it. In Dallas County now, Anglos constitute 27 percent of the population. So a majority 40 percent

is -- excuse me, yeah, 40 percent is Latino. So it can easily be done. You just have to go up north or west into Tarrant County or north.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Visualize with me, if you will, the 1,250 mile Texas-Mexican border, all of the districts along the border. It will be Senator Lucio's District, I believe it's 27; 20, Senator Hinojosa's; mine, 21; Senator Gutierrez's is 19, and Senator Blanco from El Paso, I believe that's 29. All of those are Hispanic districts represented by Hispanics.

MR. GARCIA: That's correct.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: The position is a new minority opportunity district in North Texas would not -- probably not impact those Hispanics districts --

MR. GARCIA: Not at all. The Latino population alone is bigger than most of the counties all across from El Paso to (indecipherable) County. The Latino populations of Dallas County are much larger. I can tell you there's 1,027,000 Latinos in Dallas County alone. I believe there's close to 6- or 700,000 in Tarrant County. And then the suburban counties of Collin, Denton all have substantial Latino populations.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: And would you agree that the drawing of a new minority opportunity district should not negatively impact the existing Hispanics districts?

MR. GARCIA: Correct, would not.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Alvarado.

SENATOR ALVARADO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Garcia, it's good to see you. I appreciate you being here and thanks for your leadership on the national level as well. I know that you have been in this -- in this fight for a long time. You mentioned the lawsuits, and LULAC has been in the forefront of many of those. Can you talk about some of the findings from some of these lawsuits in the past?

MR. GARCIA: I'm just -- well, right now everybody -- every senator is sitting because of a court drawn map here in the Western District of Texas because they failed in 2010 to create districts that were fair to minorities. We believe that, again, what I am seeing again is again partisanship and the use of race as a way to crack and pack districts, and we've been able to show that -- the attorney general -- assistant attorney general is correct, under the Gingles test when those three factors have been met and they were still violated by this chamber anyway in order to maintain partisan majorities.

SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. I think you may have

heard the question that I asked earlier about -- and I don't know if you have the exact numbers -- but what communities showed population growth in the DFW metro area, and in comparison can you tell us about the White population growth in the metroplex?

MR. GARCIA: I can. Just to give you an example in Dallas County in 2010, Latinos were 38.3 and were going up to 40.5. Anglos were 33. They went down to 27 percent. They lost 6 percent of their population. African Americans in 2010 were 22.5 percent and in 2020 they're 21.6. They stayed relatively steady.

SENATOR ALVARADO: And then you may have also heard a question I asked about Euless being a diverse suburb of Dallas-Fort Worth and what it would have in common with Rosebud, Texas, in Falls County?

MR. GARCIA: You have a Muslim American mayor. Let me repeat that. Euless, Texas, has a Muslim American mayor, has a large increase of Asian Americans in that city. It is very diverse, about a fourth Black, a fourth Asian American, a fourth White and a fourth Black. It has zero in common with any rural area. I forgot the name of the county you mentioned.

SENATOR ALVARADO: Falls County.

MR. GARCIA: Falls County. Up in the northern regions, those are ranchers and farmers. Their -- their

1 needs and their interests are much different from those 2 of a cosmopolitan suburb like Euless. 3 SENATOR ALVARADO: Thank you for your 4 testimony. 5 MR. GARCIA: Thank you. 6 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Gutierrez. 7 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 Mr. Garcia, thank you very much for coming today. We 9 appreciate you very much. You testified earlier that you 10 just -- you know that -- you're aware that there's an 11 amendment that occurred last night. Have you had a 12 chance to review it at all? 13 MR. GARCIA: You know what, I was handed 14 what's purported to be the maps and the language, but I 15 noticed that Senate District 16 and 23rd were not 16 included in what I was handed. 17 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: At this time, 18 Mr. Chairman, may I hand him the map of the existing 19 amendment if that's okay? 20 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Yes, you may. 21 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Thank you. 22 MR. GARCIA: Okay. 23 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: So, Mr. Garcia, if you 24 need some time to look at it, go ahead. 25 MR. GARCIA: Again, like for some reason this

also, it shows District 10, then it pops to 18 and leaves 1 2 off 16, and then it goes 19. I'm looking for the 3 demographic data, and it drops 23rd. They're not in 4 here. 5 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Okay. Well, before we 6 look at demographic data, let me have you look at the map 7 itself and point your attention to Districts 19 and 21. 8 I'll submit to you those changed overnight last night 9 substantially, taking District 19 up into beyond 10 Guadalupe County into Hays County. 11 MR. GARCIA: Yeah. 12 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: You know, you're -- you're a good Latino like myself. Do you like to eat fajitas? 13 14 MR. GARCIA: I do, especially in Eagle Pass 15 where my father is from. 16 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Yes, I love fajitas. 17 MR. GARCIA: He's from Maverick County, so I 18 know where you're headed. 19 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: I love fajitas too. But 20 you know, we often see it in redistricting maps, we see these little slivers that meander into communities to do 21 22 exactly the things that you testified earlier, to 23 diminish communities; is that not correct? 24 MR. GARCIA: That is done intentionally and 25 I never heard the fajitas. I like that one, always.

though.

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: You don't like that kind of fajita, neither do I. So you mentioned earlier that there was a -- that there's -- in redistricting we look at people of common interest. What kind of common interest do you think that the people of the Val Verde County would have with the people of Hays County and San Marcos?

MR. GARCIA: None. We're talking a border community. Hays County is basically a suburb now of Austin.

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Does the map as amended last night between Districts 19 and 21 -- and realizing that you haven't looked at it for any great deal, does the amendment create any new, new Hispanics opportunity districts?

MR. GARCIA: Again, just glancing at it, I don't see that; but I would have to do an analysis of that and look at the numbers in terms of voting-age population and the voting effectiveness of the population.

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: You're a great lawyer and usually when you're, you know, preparing for court, you know, you go out there and which is your witnesses, you prepare your witnesses as we all do. And -- and you and

I hadn't had a chance to talk earlier. We have not talked --

MR. GARCIA: We have not.

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: -- in months. And I think the last time we talked over the phone. But you -- you -- you just testified that it does not create a new Hispanic opportunity district; that you don't believe it does, and you're actually correct, it does not. In your opinion does the amendment prevent the creation of a new Hispanic opportunity district in central Texas? In other words, if you are pitting two Latino democrats, senator in District 19 and senator in District 21, is it a possibility that you would diminish Hispanic populations from San Antonio to Travis County, from Bexar County to Travis County?

MR. GARCIA: Again, I'd have to do further analysis, but on the initial first glance, yes, it would.

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Okay. And what metrics do you use to measure Hispanic opportunity? HCVAP, SSVR.

MR. GARCIA: That's correct, voting-age population, registration numbers, and then actually voter turnout because you can have -- you can have a bunch of apartments with a lot of Hispanics, but they don't vote versus large neighborhoods of stable families that do vote in large numbers.

SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Very good. If an existing 1 2 Hispanic opportunity districts, SSVR, was lowered below 3 50 percent, as it was in this amendment, would that 4 concern vou? 5 MR. GARCIA: Yes, it would. That would affect 6 its impact, its ability to vote. 7 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: And you would find that 8 intentionally discriminatory? MR. GARCIA: Yes, sir. 9 10 SENATOR GUTIERREZ: Thank you. I have no 11 further questions. 12 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Huffman. 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you. Thank you for 14 being here, sir. And you are the national president of 15 LULAC; is that correct? 16 MR. GARCIA: Yes, ma'am. 17 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yeah. Where are you from? 18 MR. GARCIA: Dallas, Texas. 19 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I want to thank you for 20 being here, and thank you for your input for the 21 committee. I just have a few questions based on your 22 presentation. And did I hear you say that the 23 Voting Rights Act requires a racial gerrymander in the 24 DFW area and if so, what is your basis for that 25 statement?

1 MR. GARCIA: I actually said the opposite, 2 that this senate map is gerrymandering the Latino 3 population by splitting them up into multiple districts 4 and, therefore, diluting their ability to elect a 5 candidate of their choice. 6 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All right. Do you believe 7 there is a section to require district that does not 8 appear in the proposed plan? MR. GARCIA: Yes, ma'am. 9 10 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Do you have a map to submit 11 to us? 12 MR. GARCIA: I will be submitting written 13 testimony, a Power Point and a proposed map within the 14 next week. 15 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All right. So you will 16 I do want to, you know, make sure you -- the 17 process and the timeline is part of the senate resolution 18 that was enacted by the senate earlier. So we are in a 19 very timeline, so I want to make sure everyone is very 20 aware of that. We do want you to propose if you have 21 something you want us to look at because we will look at 22 what is proposed, so I would encourage you to do that.

You also said that 60.9 -- 60.1 is the standard for

Hispanic opportunity district, what is that based on?

23

24

25

Any case law?

1 MR. GARCIA: It is based on real politics. 2 That's normally what it takes to be able to elect a 3 candidate of their choice because the -- of the number of 4 Latinos that are under the age of 18 and the number that 5 may be noncitizens that are ineligible to vote. 6 SENATOR HUFFMAN: So that's not case law 7 what's been held by the Supreme Court. Did you call it 8 real politics? 9 MR. GARCIA: You know, you'd have to ask that question to Ms. Perales. She's more of a constitution --10 11 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. 12 MR. GARCIA: -- than I am. 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I will do that. Thank you. 14 But, again, I would encourage you to present a proposed 15 plan as soon as possible, if you have that to do so. 16 Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Chairman -- I mean, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Okay. I don't think there -- Senator Powell. 19 20 SENATOR POWELL: Mr. Garcia, thank you for 21 being here today. And I know that you have spent a 22 considerable amount of time in Senate District 10 and in 23 our region. We've been at breakfast together over the 24 years and I know you have great interest in -- in Senate 25 District 10. I think you've been to La Gran Plaza in

Fort Worth, haven't you?

MR. GARCIA: I have.

SENATOR POWELL: The old seminary south. Kids like me remember very well. Can I ask you to tell us what you think the area around La Gran Plaza and the plaza itself would have in common with Brown County?

MR. GARCIA: So the La Gran Plaza for those of you who are not from Fort Worth is an entirely Mexican American themed shopping center mall. I'm talking about all the movie theaters are predominantly Spanish language and probably 80 or 90 percent of the customers there are Mexican American or Hispanic. Brown County would be, again, a rural, primarily ranching, farming area that would have little to anything in common with an -- an urban predominantly Hispanic neighborhood.

SENATOR POWELL: And that is the county that at 9:00 last night was moved into Senate District 10, is it not?

MR. GARCIA: Yeah. I have been hunting in Comanche County, and I've had a trial in Comanche County which is right next door to Brown County. I believe that's probably San Saba, I'm not sure, but I am pretty sure that's where it is. And that's a very rural, again, probably more cows and deer than people in there.

SENATOR POWELL: Thank you. I -- I appreciate

your comments.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Any other questions, members? If not, thank you, Mr. Garcia, for your testimony. And I would, again, urge you as Senator Huffman did to submit any proposed plans that you may have.

MR. GARCIA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next we have Michael Li, senior counsel for the Brennan Center for Justice.

Mr. Li, just come up and identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

MR. LI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you to the members of the committee. I do have some written testimony to submit. I wasn't sure who I should hand that off to, but I will leave that here. All right. Thank you. So thank you again Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. It is good to be back in Texas and all of the talk today about fajitas and all of the food of Texas are making me -- and the hour is quite making me quite hungry, so I will try to be speedy on this.

So Texas has struggled for five decades to get it right when it comes to redistricting in its large and growing communities of color. This was the case when democrats controlled the process several decades ago,

and, unfortunately, it has remained the case in the two decades and since republicans have been in control of the process. But after a decade in which communities of color provided around 95 percent of the state's population growth, it is more important than ever that Texas try to get it right. At the country's sounding John Adams wrote in 1776 that legislative body should be an exact portrait of a miniature of the people as a whole. The notion was simple. If your interests are at the table, then so should you be. But, unfortunately, redistricting gives map drawers a chance to fiddle with the process and to exclude rather than include.

Today I want to focus my remarks on North Texas and in particular Tarrant County because of the proposed -- the proposed State Senate plan makes a number of choices that raise red flags. My comments, however, are only illustrative because similar issues do occur elsewhere on the map and I am happy to follow up with additional information.

As I stated, last decade over 95 percent of Texas's population growth was non-Anglo. In Dallas and Tarrant County the percentage was even higher, a hundred percent of the growth in those counties came from non-Anglos. And, in fact, the Anglo population in both counties fell. In Dallas County, the Anglo population

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fell by about 60,000 people. And in Tarrant County, the Anglo population fell by just over 30,000. And in Tarrant County, much of the county's non-Anglo population growth was centered in Senate District 10, half of all of the Latino growth in Tarrant County was in Senate District 10 as was 36 percent of its Black population growth and 32 percent of its Asian population growth. currently configured by total population, Senate District 10 is only 39.5 percent Anglo, down from almost 48 percent in 2010. It is still majority White by citizen voting-age population about 54 percent, but that may, in fact, be overstated because estimates of citizen voting-age population are estimates calculated on a five-year basis, with the most recent five-year estimates we have run from 2015 to 2019, and we see that estimates tend to trail especially in fast-growing regions in states like Texas. But despite all of that, remarkably very few changes needed to be made to the map for Senate District 10 if it's just 53 -- 5,318 people short of the target population for a district. Despite this, Plan S2101 makes wholesale changes to Senate District 10 moving out about 318,000 people, 55 percent of whom are non-Anglo and replacing with them with 328,000 people from Johnson and Parker County, 72 percent of whom are Anglo. And, again, you know, the state did not have to

make any changes at all to Senate District 10. At most it needed to perhaps adjust by about 5,000 or so people, but instead it moves 300,000 people out and 300,000 -- 328,000 people in. Overall, 34 percent of Senate District 10's Latino population is moved out of the district. 23 percent of its Black population and a remarkable 46 percent of its Asian population is moved out of the district. This raises a number of red flags.

And I want to start with a notion that you're starting to hear around the country as maps are being drawn that somehow you can avoid liability if you don't consider race at all. And we heard this in -- around the country. We've heard it -- at my Brennan Center has heard it from people in New Hampshire that the lawmakers aren't considering race, which, you know, maybe it's fine in New Hampshire, which is one of the whitest states in the country and does not have much ethnic diversity, but that just doesn't work in a state as diverse as Texas, especially where there is a lot of evidence that communities of color are politically affected in places like Tarrant County.

So given the growth of communities of color and their geographic concentration, Texas has an obligation to conduct a searching and nuanced analysis to ascertain whether -- and to fully understand the extent of minority

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

power before adopting a new map. Under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, Texas has a legal obligation to avoid drawing district lines in a way that dilutes the votes of minority voters and prevents them from electing preferred candidates. Whether liability exists under Section 2 is not a simple back-of-the-envelope calculation, rather the Supreme Court has said the inquiry is intentionally local, fact intensive, and functional in nature. diverse multiracial and multiethnic regions such as North Texas among the matters that must be investigated are whether two or more minority groups in a region are politically cohesive and could together form the majority of a district. It is imperative for the state not only conduct this analysis, but that it do so in a transparent fashion making its analysis publicly available before any vote on a map. And -- you know, we've heard a lot of talk today about privilege from the representative from the attorney general's office. I will just note that that privilege is the client's privilege, and it can be waived by the client.

Texas's obligations to do not end with creating

Section 2 districts under the Voting Rights Act. Like

all states, Texas has an obligation to avoid potential

discrimination against racial and ethnic minorities. The

Supreme Court has made clear that liability for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intentional discrimination can exist even when no liability exists under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act. Explaining that if a state, quote, if there were a showing that a state intentionally drew district lines in order to destroy an otherwise effective crossover district, that would raise serious concerns under both the 14th and 15th Amendment. Likewise, the Supreme Court has held that, quote, undermining the progress for a racial group that has been subject to significant voting-related discrimination and that was becoming political -- becoming increasingly politically active and cohesive can bear the mark of intentional discrimination that would give rise from equal protection violation. Engaging whether there is intentional discrimination, a state's awareness of an action that an action bears more heavily on one race or another is a key factor the courts will consider. As the Supreme Court has explained, adherence to a particular policy or practice with full knowledge of the applicable facts of such appearances, one among many other factors that may be considered by a court in investigating whether a state's motive is discriminatory.

And here there are real questions about whether

Texas has met its obligations to conduct that searching

and nuance inquiry given some much of the choices it has

made. Texas must carefully consider questions about whether minorities groups are politically cohesive and whether they have an ability to elect. And in this regard, politics is not an excuse, this may be the defense but, you know, the reality is that in Texas people are well aware that there is much racially polarized voting that -- and that if you're targeting heavily democratic areas, you're liking targeting in most of the state's heavily minority areas. So the idea that somehow not considering race but only considering politics is an excuse just does not fly.

And I will note with respect to Senate District 10 that Senate District 10 was found to have been intentionally discriminatory last decade when the state also tried to redraw the district in a way that cracked minority communities and diluted their power. In addition, there's lots of evidence that Senate District 10 is an effective coalition district. I am not here to say whether it is or not, but there is lots of evidence to suggest that the state needs to take a closer look. Texas for many decades has struggled to get it right. It has ended up in court time and time again, and that itself should be a cautionary signal to this body.

I will end simply by saying -- with a couple of notes. One is, you know, that there was discussion of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cooper versus Harris, and there was -- I believe Senator Huffman read from Cooper versus Harris. I do want to read one other part from Cooper versus Harris, which says that, you know, even if you're doing partisanship, if you use race as the crude tool for partisanship that can itself result in a racial gerrymandering that violates the constitution. Legislators who have placed a significant number of a voters within or without a district predominantly because of their race regardless of their ultimate objective in taking that step can violate the constitution. I also want to clarify one thing that Mr. Kinghorn said, which is that the Voting Rights Act requires that a district be 50 percent plus 1 in order to be protected. The actual inquiry that you need to do is figure out whether you could draw a majority district in an region, not whether a district as it is currently configured is protected or not. Likewise, when you ultimately draw that -- the ability to draw 50 percent plus 1 district creates liability. The ultimate district that is drawn need not be 50 percent plus 1 minority. It can be under that if it performs and there are other legitimate reasons for doing so. So a 50 percent plus 1 threshold is a trigger for liability. It's not a dictate for how districts ultimately have to be shaped.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So with that, I'm happy to take any questions from the committee.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: I have a question. In light of the recent United States Supreme Court decision that partisan gerrymandering is permissible and they will have a hands-off approach, how does that impact -- or does it impact Section 2 of Voting Rights Act, will we still have a discrimination issue?

MR. LI: That is a great question, Mr. Chairman. You know, the Supreme Court has said that you cannot bring claims about partisanship under the federal constitution in court and that is the law right now, but states still have to comply with the Voting Rights Act and other laws and, of course, the constitution does prohibit intentional discrimination on the basis of race. And so in places like Texas and elsewhere in the south where voting is very polarized along racial lines there -- you know, the reality is that you cannot really sort of do a partisan gerrymander without targeting communities of color. It just is not possible except in places perhaps like Austin, but in general, you know, in Texas, democrats get about 25 percent, 28 percent of the White vote. There still aren't that many White democrats in Texas. Even, you know, with the changes in recent years, and the problem

from a gerrymander standpoint is that White democrats tend to live near White republicans, sometimes in the same houses, and so unless you're able to draw a line down somebody's bed, it can be really hard to sort of, like, totally effectively get that much gain by targeting just White democrats and instead because of residential segregation, it's much easier to pack together or break apart communities of color. So even if you're doing it for partisanship reasons, there is a -- a racial and ethnic impact that has to be considered and, you know, it can push right into being right into being intentionally discriminatory or otherwise violating the Voting Rights Act.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: So you have -- what if partisanship gerrymandering is allowed and it has an impact of intentional discrimination, then there will be a violation of Voting Rights Act, Section 2?

MR. LI: The Voting Rights -- if there's intentional discrimination, it would violate the Voting Rights Act, Section 2, but it also would be itself a violation of the Constitution because the Constitution does not allow discrimination on the basis of race or ethnicity.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: So partisan gerrymandering cannot be used as a cover or substitute to -- for

intentional discrimination?

MR. LI: That is -- that is correct. I mean, there are places in the country where you can discriminate on the basis of party and not sort of like -- there isn't a racial dimension to it, but that is not the case in Texas, and you almost certainly will trip over lots of things if you're attempting at an aggressive partisan gerrymandering, you have to target Black and Latino and Asian voters.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you.
Senator Zaffirini.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Sir, could you provide us some examples of cases in which courts held that coalition districts in which two communities of color combined make up the majority of voters?

MR. LI: Well, that is certainly the -currently the case law in the Fifth Circuit. There are a
couple of cases. You know, one is the City of Baytown,
Campos versus the City of Baytown. And, in fact, the
allowance of coalition districts is the law in most of
the circuits that have decided the question with the
exception of the Sixth Circuit, which is Michigan, Ohio
and that region. So, you know, the -- you know, it's not
an automatic that, you know, two -- two or more minority

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

groups would be a coalition district, but if they are politically cohesive, the current law is that that could trigger Section 2 liability.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Are there any districts you believe federal law requires us to protect because they are coalition districts?

MR. LI: Well, as I said, the analysis of whether a Voting Rights Act liability exists is searching and nuanced, and I haven't sort of done or talked to demographers to sort of fully assess this on the maps that came out last week and certainly not the maps that came out last night; but I think that there are good arguments that Section 10 -- or not Section 10, District 10, sorry, is a coalition district, you know, based on some of the findings last decade when the district was -- as I said, almost 48 percent White and now it's only 39.5 percent White. I think that there is a really good argument that -- well, your question was specific to coalition districts, so I think, you know, there's a really good argument that's unique, like not only protect Senate District 10 but also perhaps enhance More generally on Section 2 -- or not section -- I'm sorry. Thinking is on the mind, I guess. But, you know, more -- more generally with respect to -to -- to Section 2 districts, I think, you know, there's

a good argument that there should be a Latino district in the Dallas County region.

You know, there was a lot of talk about
how -- how -- the size of the Latino community in the
Dallas-Fort Worth area. It's about 1.7 million. In
fact, there are more Latinos in Dallas and
Tarrant counties alone than the entire state of Colorado
or New Mexico. Right, and so, you know, it is just a
gigantic population that just right now does not have
representation, and I think, maybe at a tipping point,
but Ms. Perales probably is in a better position to
address that.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: So specifically in what county or area do you believe we should have a coalition district or Latino opportunity district?

MR. LI: I think Dallas is -- is one that many people have flagged. You know, there is not Latino representation north of, I guess, your district here. You know, and -- and so, you know, again, North Texas has a very large Latino population and growing, and so that's an area I think, you know, Senate District 10 looks a lot like a coalition district, and I think that that's something that I think will -- you know, needs to be looked carefully into, you know, given the -- you know, so I think that that's the case. And then I'd also -- as

was mentioned, I'd look in the Fort Bend area, which has become incredibly diverse. You know, there -- you know, I think that's an area that needs to be looked at very closely. I have not done that, but, you know, it's an area just given the growth, you know, I don't think you can go in and put blinders on and just pretend like, you know, you're not considering race at all. I think, you know, that you have an obligation knowing what the growth is to investigate.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Huffman.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you, Mr. President.

Thank you very much for being here, Mr. Li, and adding your opinions to this process. I have just a couple of questions based on your testimony and your handout.

First of all, I see one of the highlighted areas of your testimony says the need to consider race in redistricting, and you testified to that today. How do you square that or is it your testimony that we should disregard the Supreme Court races which prohibit race-based districting unless we are shown a strong basis and evidence to believe that a race-based redistricting is required to comply with the Voting Rights Act,

Section 2?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LI: Well, you know, I'm not sure that the Supreme Court has said that you can't consider race. other words, I think you -- what I am saying is that, you know, there are lots of places on the map where there could be Section 2 liability. It's important to investigate that in a searching and nuanced way, and ideally publicly and transparently. Like, you're getting a lot of advice from the attorney general's office about your obligations. It would be great if that were made public because this is not an issue, of course, just of concern to you, Senator Huffman, but of concern to the people of the state, and so making that public, for example, perhaps waiving the attorney-client privilege and allowing the attorney general's office to come here and tell you what they told you about Senator District 10 and liability under the Voting Rights Act would be a great service to the state so --

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Well, as I've stated very clearly, these maps were drawn blind to race and then I consulted with the attorney general's office, and they gave me legal advice as to whether or not I had -- I complied with the Voting Rights Section 2. That's what we've done. We invite anyone because the data that they used is completely open to the public. We invite anyone to submit alternative maps. Do you have a map you'd

would like for us to consider here today?

MR. LI: I do not.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. Well, anyone who wants to submit one, we will certainly consider it, and we'll also get a legal opinion on it as well. Also, I'd like to -- in your testimony you referred to a Fifth Circuit 1988 case, Campos v. City of Baytown, correct? Is that what you were referring to?

MR. LI: Yes.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes. How do you -- and you suggested that it authorized or required crossover coalition districts. How do you square that with the Bartlett case, which is the United States Supreme Court 2009 Bartlett v. Strickland, which made it clear that the Voting Rights Act does not require the creation of coalition or a crossover district?

MR. LI: I think I would disagree with you about what Bartlett was about. Bartlett was about crossover districts. In other words, where voters are able to elect with support from White voters. That's a crossover district. A minority coalition district is where two or more minority groups are politically cohesive. So in Texas, to give you -- for example, Black and Latino voters, you know, or in Fort Bend County, it might be Black, Latino, Asian voters might be politically

1 cohesive and so, you know, Bartlett is a case out of 2 North Carolina. It did not involve other non-White 3 groups. It was a case about --4 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Well, I believe that 5 Bartlett specifically states that nothing in Section 2 6 grants special protection to a minority group's rights to 7 form political coalition. Do you agree with that? 8 MR. LI: Again, I think that is talking about 9 White voters in this case. It is not, you know --10 SENATOR HUFFMAN: And, of course, there are 11 many legal scholars that would disagree with you on that. 12 Would you agree? 13 MR. LI: I don't think that that is really 14 where the law is currently, and it certainly not -- well, 15 I -- I don't think that that's where the law is. If that 16 is the advice that you're getting from the attorney 17 general's office --18 No, I am getting it from the SENATOR HUFFMAN: 19 Supreme Court of the United States of America. So I just 20 politely disagree. Thank you very much for answering my 21 questions. I appreciate it. 22 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Powell. 23 SENATOR POWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 Mr. Li, did you have the opportunity to analyze the map 25 that was dropped at about 9:00 last night? I would

assume maybe not since your testimony was really about packing Johnson County and Parker counties into the district.

MR. LI: I, unfortunately, finished my testimony before and was having a very nice dinner when the maps dropped last night. You know, so I've looked at them a little bit, but not too much, so you know, I -- I -- I know that it does not make any changes to the cracking of Latino and Asian and Black communities in Tarrant County. It doesn't make any changes at all to the configuration of the district between Plan S2101 and Plan S2108 in Tarrant County. The district does become a little bit more Anglo just by about like half a point or so, but there are no changes to the cracking of minority communities in Tarrant County.

SENATOR POWELL: I would agree. It puts us at a little bit of a disadvantage to be able to talk about it at length when we have had very little opportunity to analyze it, but let's -- let's continue on. I want to continue this discussion about crossover districts. And also to continue this discussion under Bartlett versus Strickland. Would you agree that the court stated that dismantling currently performing crossover districts would raise serious questions under both the 14th and 15th Amendment.

1 MR. LI: Yes. And to Senator Huffman's point 2 about this, you know, there is a difference between the 3 obligation to create a crossover district, which Bartlett 4 says you don't have an obligation to create a crossover 5 district and intentionally dismantling one, right? 6 That -- that, as the Supreme Court said, would said raise 7 serious red flags. 8 SENATOR POWELL: And I can see by your 9 testimony today that you are pretty familiar with 10 Senate District 10 as it stands today. 11 MR. LI: Not as familiar as you are, but 12 familiar. 13 SENATOR POWELL: So do you believe after your 14 analysis of it, do you think it's currently performing as 15 a crossover district? 16 MR. LI: With the caveat that I have not done 17 sort of a full-on analysis, you know, I think there are 18 lots of signs that it is a performing -- either it's a 19 performing coalition district or a performing crossover 20 district, but, you know, that -- you know, so yes. 21 SENATOR POWELL: And do you believe that the 22 proposed configuration, and I guess the most recent one 23 that we've seen in SD10, prevents our current minority 24 groups in SD10 from electing their candidate of choice? 25 I think that almost certainly would MR. LI:

be the case for -- for minority voters in the current version of SD10, who, again, mold a huge percentage of the minority voters in Tarrant County are in SD10. They are fractured apart among, I think, three senate districts, at least in the earlier version of the map. It may be more in the new version. And, you know, I think, you know, there's almost -- there's almost no question that they would lose the ability to elect.

SENATOR POWELL: And do you think under
Bartlett that this would be a violation of the 14th and
15th Amendments?

MR. LI: I think that there are serious concerns about whether there would be intentional discrimination in that, you know, even if you don't look at race. You know, just the way that the cuts are done, you know, it raises, you know, a lot of signs that, you know, people are targeting communities of color.

SENATOR POWELL: Thank you. And we've mentioned it here already today, but in 2012 the D.C. District Court ruled that dismantling SD10 was intentional racial discrimination. Texas abandoned this legal case, and the courts ordered the state of Texas to pay over a million dollars in attorney's fees. Can you explain what that means for the process here today?

MR. LI: Well, the fact that Texas was ordered

to pay attorney's fees means that the plaintiffs in that 1 2 cases, Senator Davis, at the time and others were the 3 prevailing parties, in other words, that they sort of won 4 because you don't get attorney's fees unless you are the 5 prevailing party. So it's a recognition of the courts 6 that they -- they won. 7 SENATOR POWELL: All right. Mr. Li, thank you 8 so much for your testimony today. 9 SENATOR HINOJOSA: I just have a follow-up 10 question just a point of clarification. I guess under 11 the Bartlett versus Strickland case, it was addressing 12 crossover districts and not dealing with minority coalition districts? 13 14 MR. LI: That is correct. It was in 15 North Carolina where, you know, unlike Texas there isn't 16 sort of a large, you know, other (indecipherable). It's 17 becoming more diverse, but, you know, the -- the -- the 18 primary ethnic breakdown there is between Black and White 19 voters. 20 SENATOR HINOJOSA: I think there are no 21 further questions. Thank you very much for your 22 testimony. 23 MR. LI: Thank you. 24 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next we have 25 Mr. Robert Notzon, Legal Redress Committee chair for the

Texas National Association for Advancement of Colored People and NAACP. So please just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

MR. NOTZON: Thank you, Chairman. As you stated, I'm Robert Notzon. I'm an attorney. I'm the Legal Redress Committee chairman for the Texas NAACP. Thank you for allowing me to be here. Our president and national board member, Mr. Gary Bledsoe, couldn't be here because of his litigation schedule. The opposing counsel just wouldn't let him off. So I got tapped to -- to show up, and I'm happy to be here. I've been involved in redistricting representing the NAACP since 2001, and I've been with the agency as a legal redress chair since the '90s.

We are terribly -- of course, I don't want to go too long because I want to be respectful of everyone's time. NAACP, just to put it bluntly, is the largest and oldest civil rights organization in the United States and -- and we have a long career and history fighting for the rights of people of color, be it African American, Latino, Asian. We -- we don't discriminate on people of color. Of course, we were started because of the massive number of lynchings that were happening in the United States back in 1909 and onward and in Texas since 1919.

We are, to put it bluntly, horribly frustrated and 1 disappointed but not surprised in the way in which the 2 3 White dominant political power in Texas is trying to 4 retain and expand their political power at the expense of 5 minority voters and minority citizens in Texas. When I 6 say again, I'm talking about not just decades but 7 generations of consistent illegal, racist, racially 8 biased behavior. And the standard techniques are being 9 employed again in this round of redistricting, the packing, the cracking, the lack of visibility, hidden 10 11 advice from the attorney general as Mr. Li had requested 12 not be the case, and it is up to the -- each individual 13 politician to -- to make that call and to make it a 14 matter of public knowledge. But -- and, of course, the 15 maps just came out recently. I am not here to talk about 16 the entire map of the state of Texas which we will be 17 prepared to talk about if and when -- I say when, because 18 it's never if. We always have to sue the state of Texas 19 for the rights of minority voters in Texas and we expect 20 it's going to happen again just because Texas doesn't 21 seem to be able to help itself to stop that racial 22 discrimination.

So I -- let's -- let's talk about packing as one of the techniques that's allowed to be shown with just numbers without actually looking at a map so much. But

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if you look at the numbers of the citizen voting-age population, and, of course, right now the proposed maps have on the White majority political party has 21 of the 31 -- no, 20 of 31 districts and 11 for the racial minority-majority party. And of those 11, 4 have over 80 percent citizen voting-age population in those districts, over 80. There are zero of the White party, the White dominant party. On 70 -- over 70 percent, there's 4 citizen voting-age populations with minority voting age over 70 and only 3 on the White side. When you get to over 60 percent, it's 1 of the citizen voting-age population of minorities have those districts, whereas, it's 12 on the White majority party. And then the last is over 50 percent where there's 2 of the districts that are over 50 percent minority and 5 on the White majority party. The vast difference in the way in which those racial breakdowns go shows evidence right -- just right there of packing. There's just no reason why when 80 percent of the increased population comes from minority increases that you're going to pack 4 districts -- well, 8 districts with over 70 percent of the population when it's not required to have a performing district. That's just critical.

On the cracking side, you can't really show that with numbers. You have to look at the map and in looking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at what happened there in Dallas and Tarrant counties where those -- and this happens in Harris County and also in Travis County and Bexar County, where the strong, cohesive, racially minority communities are drawn and taken out -- as it's already been stated by Mr. Garcia and Mr. Li, taken out to the rural areas, the predominantly White areas and they are cracked.

What's interesting is, of course, this -- this effort by the White majority party to say we're not looking at race. We didn't look at race at all when we drew the map. That is what we refer to in the legal parlance as circumstantial evidence of discrimination. We don't think that the White majority is going to be stupid and say, yes, we did this with an intent to racially discriminate. That's not going to happen, but that's what happens every time that Texas redistricts. They intentionally discriminate against the minority population to maintain or expand power for the White majority party. And I keep saying White majority party, just so everybody knows because it was the democrats that were doing it several decades ago, right? It's not just republican or democrat and -- and we're a nonprofit organization. We do not have a political affiliation. It's a shame that the republican party doesn't do more to try to research out to racial minorities to -- to garner

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their support. It just doesn't happen, and they've drawn those lines.

But when -- if the majority party says we didn't look at race, as Mr. Li was saying, well, that's -that's essentially meaningless in Texas when the majority -- the vast majority of the voters are racial minorities. So you say I didn't look at it, but when you know as a republican legislator and the majority White party in power, you know that the majority of those voters are racial minorities, and you reach in there even if you don't know -- even if you claim to not know where those racial minorities are living in Tarrant County and Harris County and Dallas County. You claim to not know that and claim to not understand that or consider that when you're drawing the map and all you have to do is click a button, but you actually represent those areas or the people that you work with represent those areas and they know who lives there. Even disregarding all of that, just knowing that the majority of the people in that party are racial minorities, to not consider their race is to intentionally discriminate because you are there -- therefore assuming that all democratic voters have the same interest. All democratic voters are voting for a democrat because of that reason that they are a D instead of an R, and that just simply isn't the case.

And that isn't the case that when it's considered in -in lawsuits related to redistricting. You have to look at the people. You have to look at their communities. You have to look at whether or not they have the same interests, whether or not they live near each other, across the street from each other. That's -- that's the kind of things that are looked at, but when you -- when you try to take this pretextual position that you didn't look at race when you drew these lines, that is simply hogwash and it won't hold. And so we are here to say stop discriminating. Take account of people's interests. Stop disregarding democracy for intentionally discriminating against these citizens in Texas. They deserve equal -- equal participation. They deserve an equal vote. They deserve to have their political power. There is no reason why that with almost 50 percent of the population, they only have 40 percent or less of the political power just on this map.

And I am sorry, I'm only here talking about SB4.

That was all I was able to prepare for. I wasn't able to prepare for the State Board of Education map, and I apologize for that. But I would reserve the Texas NAACP rights to talk about those other issues if and when we are given the opportunity.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Senator Zaffirini.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, sir, for being with us today. What steps would you recommend the AG to take when analyzing proposed maps for compliance with the Voting Rights Act?

MR. NOTZON: Look at where the population growth happened. Look at who deserves the additional representation. Look at where things are beforehand and just because they existed before doesn't mean they're right or that they're legal or that they're the best way for the state of Texas to go forward. What do the people -- what do their voices ask for? What is the representation they're seeking. That's what -- that's what I think they should look at. And, as Mr. Li had suggested, do it out in the open and -- and let -- and let us speak. I mean, we're given an opportunity to speak on this, but we're not given adequate time to We're doing our best given the information that prepare. we had and the time that we have to prepare, but there needs to be more inclusion in the public input in this process.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Are there any specific districts in the proposed map you believe need to be amended to ensure Black Texans have adequate representation in the senate?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NOTZON: I don't know that I'm prepared to talk about all the districts that negatively impact Black voters, but you remind me, Senator Zaffirini, of -- of one more piece of evidence, circumstantial evidence of why race is taken into account. The White majority party states circumstantially, protectionally that it didn't include race as part of its map drawing, but they're very good at attacking the White democrats. That the -the -- the elected official, not the -- not the voters. And, of course, the important part, although the race of the elected official has some role to play and some information for the voter and for the courts to determine whether or not discrimination is occurring, it's the voter and the voter's interest and the voter's voice that's important here. So if -- and -- and SD10, you know, to take away someone who won and -- and was the candidate of choice of the minority voters in that district as it currently exists and to eradicate it and then also SD16 to change that district from what it was to only retaining maybe 40 percent of the prior district, you know, it's -- it's not okay that they're a White elected official, therefore, it couldn't be racist, or therefore it couldn't be racially based, when it's the voters that are being harmed. So, you know, I think that's one more piece of evidence, circumstantial

evidence, that race, in fact, was taken into account because they can studiously attack the White democratic elected official but not the Hispanic or Black or Asian elected official.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Currently we have 11 Hispanic senators. You heard President Domingo Garcia of LULAC say that we should have 11 Hispanic senators, most are all of whom probably would be Mexican American, Hispanics. Do you have a similar number for African Americans and how many African American senators we should have? We currently have two, of course.

MR. NOTZON: I don't have an answer for that, Senator Zaffirini. I think that there ought to be 15, 14 at least senators of -- that are selected by voters of color in Texas because that's where the numbers are. I think it's -- it's inexcusable, especially when you are packing so many districts with voters, to have it be 11 or less.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: When you say 14 or 15 of color, what minorities are you including?

MR. NOTZON: I'm including Black, Hispanic, and Asian and whether it be individually or in a coalition.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you very much.

Here's a softball for you. If Senator Miles or

Senator West were here, what question would they ask you 1 that I have not asked? 2 3 MR. NOTZON: I have not talked to them, so I 4 don't know, so that makes it easier for me to -- and, 5 actually, I haven't even talked to President Bledsoe. 6 That's how much he loves and trusts me. So I don't know 7 the answer to that. I would think it would be why -- you 8 know, what -- just the same question you asked me. What 9 should the White party in power be doing to correct what 10 they're doing and what they have been doing for 11 generations. And I think I answered that. 12 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 14 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. 15 Senator Zaffirini. Senator Paxton. 16 SENATOR PAXTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I thank you for being here and for your testimony today. 17 18 I'm doing my best to quote, but I am sure it's a little 19 bit paraphrased, from your testimony a little earlier. 20 It's a shame that the republican party doesn't do more to 21 reach out to minority communities. It just doesn't 22 happen. What's your basis for that very black-and-white 23 statement, it just doesn't happen? 24 MR. NOTZON: My experience. 25 SENATOR PAXTON: Do vou know me?

MR. NOTZON: Do I know you? No, I've never met you.

SENATOR PAXTON: I beg to differ. And I think it is quite an offensive statement to me and in my district that has a large growing Asian community, Indian community, Hispanic community, Black community to hear you say it just doesn't happen when it does happen. That's from my experience.

MR. NOTZON: What percentage of those voters of color get your -- vote for you?

SENATOR PAXTON: That will be seen in the next election.

MR. NOTZON: Would it be a majority or less than a majority?

SENATOR PAXTON: I can say -- I can tell you that in Plano we had an Asian candidate that is one of my supporters that almost won the mayors race that has been a city council person. We have actually Hispanic republican club, an Asian republican club, African American republican club. And this is a little bit off topic, I realize. I just -- you know, one of the things I learned a long time ago is that it's a dangerous thing to say always or never, sir, when you're testifying to make a statement like it just doesn't happen, as if you know. I think it would be wise for you to reconsider

1 your belief in an area like that, to speak as if you 2 actually know something like that. It just doesn't 3 happen. 4 MR. NOTZON: Well, I'd be happy to amend my 5 testimony if, in fact, you have been voting for issues of 6 voters of color in your district or in the state of Texas 7 to any great degree. So we'll leave it there. 8 SENATOR PAXTON: All right. So you stand by 9 it just doesn't happen? 10 MR. NOTZON: In terms of real reaching out, in 11 terms of real effort to obtain and understand and promote 12 and correct the problems of voters of color have in the 13 state of Texas, yes, I do. 14 SENATOR PAXTON: So I guess reconsidering that 15 you might not know everything is something that just 16 doesn't happen. 17 MR. NOTZON: I -- I surely don't know 18 everything. 19 SENATOR PAXTON: Thank you. 20 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Notzon, for your testimony. 21 22 MR. NOTZON: Thank you, Chairman. 23 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next, we have Kathryn Oler, 24 President, Legal Women Voters from the Corpus Christi 25 Identify yourself, who you represent and then you area.

may proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. OLER: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Chairwoman Huffman, Vice Chairman Hinojosa, and members
of the Texas Senate Special Committee on Redistricting.
My name is Kathryn Oler. I live in Corpus Christi,
Texas, Senate District 20. Today I'm speaking as the
president of the League of Women Voters Corpus Christi
area against SB4. I thank you for this opportunity. As
you may know, the League -- as the League, we are
nonpartisan, and we support issues, not parties or
candidates.

In 2016, the Flint, Michigan, water crisis erupted and sent waves across the nation including Corpus Christi. Now, I know this topic is not what most of the presenters at this time have talked about. is something very local. It's something very personal to the citizens of District 20. At the time, 2016, Corpus Christi, too, had a history of water issues, bacteria contamination, low disinfectant levels. We thought was it possible that our drinking water could also have lead issues. Our league is familiar with the idea of issues. They are the basis for all of our advocacy. So members voted to make this issue a focus of a grassroot community study potential for lead contamination in our community's drinking water. 0ur

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

study was local, but now many other counties in Texas have similar lead issues. For several years, we gathered information on lead poisoning, looking at all sources of lead in homes, at water quality regulations and incidents of lead poisoning. What we discovered was that overall our city's water system was in pretty good shape. However, there are data gaps that impede further investigation, analysis, and remediation of lead in drinking water.

For instance, survey records for every water service line particularly in older neighborhoods don't exist. This is, again, not unique to Nueces County. We also learned that the EPA threshold level of lead in drinking water, which most water systems use, is three times higher than the health-based FDA standard for bottled drinking water. Through open records request, we discovered which zip codes in Nueces County had the highest number of cases of lead poisoning in children and focussed on those areas. What we found is that over 50 children per year ages zero to six years were being diagnosed with elevated blood levels. Updated data after we completed our study indicates that this trend continues. There is no safe level of lead in blood. those most at risk are infants, young children, and women of childbearing age. Leads cognitive effects on infants

and children are devastating and irreversible.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Many of the identified zip codes have older subdivisions built before lead was banned in drinking water pipes and lines. Many of these older neighborhoods are home to people of color, lower economic status, and the elderly, communities historically overlooked and disenfranchised. It is critical to keep these communities most affected by lead contamination intact so that their voices are heard and not brushed aside. vital for their health and well-being to advocate for It is critical that they receive remediation. information about lead exposure and simple inexpensive tips for lessening their risk of exposure. critical that existing water codes are enforced and new ones implemented to protect children and women. is vital to seek government support in addressing this The 87th legislative session here budgeted issue. 7,100,000 for testing drinking waters in schools and daycare centers. This is a start.

Last month the United States Senate passed its bipartisan infrastructure bill. It includes a \$15 billion allocation to fund lead pipe removal, half of what low estimates for the cost of this removal nationwide are. How this funding is spread out across the nation is in the hands of the people we elected to

represent us. The people who are now drawing our map.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The proposed map for District 20 splits Nueces County in half, which we believe will dilute the voices of the communities of interest most impacted by lead contamination and water whether this is through gerrymandered, socioeconomic, racial, or other priorities between districts within the same county lines. We need our legislators to draw fair maps that keep our county intact so that our efforts to address this issue locally are not dealt a losing hand. It is imperative that communities of interest, of color, lower socioeconomic status, and the elderly are not marginalized and not diluted. It is imperative that the voices of women and children are heard. The ones most affected, not muted, not overlooked, not left on the table. It is inhumane that they could be on the wrong side of the street for addressing this egregious issue in the same county, in the same city because unfair and unjust districting drew a line down the middle of their streets.

We appreciate this opportunity to share our story. We will save our thanks for when you deliver fair maps that address this issue.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for your testimony. And as you well know, in Corpus Christi which I represent, you probably rather keep the

Corpus Christi, Nueces County area in intact? 1 MS. OLER: Yes, sir. 2 SENATOR HINOJOSA: And in the past trying to 3 4 address some of these issues from buried pipes, lead 5 contamination and, you know, refineries. Who have 6 beautiful beaches, but at the same time it really takes a 7 joint effort from the community, not only the community 8 leadership but also the public officials from mayor, 9 county judge, or legislators and congressional folks. 10 MS. OLER: Indeed, it does, Senator. It 11 takes -- you know, it takes a team working diligently to 12 see that things happen and that these -- these issues --13 particularly lead and its damage, and there's 20 percent 14 of lead poisoning comes from water. And for infants who 15 are nursing or bottle fed, that can be as high as 40 to 16 60 percent. 17 SENATOR HINOJOSA: And you don't want the 18 voices to speak on behalf of the community to be split to 19 other possible officials who may not be as familiar as we 20 are with some of the issues that are very challenging to 21 our families in the Corpus Christi area? 22 MS. OLER: Absolutely. Absolutely. SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Zaffirini would 23 24 like to ask you some questions. 25 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. Ma'am, don't you think it would be some advantage to Nueces County being represented by two senators?

MS. OLER: I think, again, it's about cooperation. And what we have intact, we have, I think, a person who can speak for us particularly in the senate district. This also means that they get undivided attention because most of these -- or both, District 20 and I believe it's 27, Senator Lucio, that their primary populations are further south in the Rio Grande Valley, and so I don't -- I won't say that we are an afterthought because that's not true, but I think that the divided effort to get this initiative going to make sure that whatever money is available that can address this really important health factor in Nueces County.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. My understanding is that Nueces County has a very strong community of interest with surrounding counties including, for example, San Patricio, Bee, Live Oak, and McMullen. What others counties -- or do you agree with that assessment, first of all?

MS. OLER: It's a very close connection simply, you know, because of the port that's there, the fourth largest in tonnage in the United States and because of the petrochemical industry that is there and

continues to grow. And particularly with San Patricio, I think it's very, very important, that connection, I mean, we are next door neighbors. There -- because of the tremendous growth that has happened particularly in San Patricio County, housing, you know, has really sprung up, and so there is -- there is -- it's burgeoning there in terms of the population, although that's not necessarily reflected in the growth, 13 percent, I believe, around 13 percent growth in population over the last decade, which -- although I -- it makes me wonder if that was undercounted because of all of that, the growth in those industries that brought people in.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: I see. So if you were drawing this map, with what counties would you link Nueces County?

MS. OLER: Those that have that -- that share similar interests.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Specifically?

MS. OLER: Specifically, primarily -- first and foremost being a coastal community. There are issue that coastal communities experience that those further inland don't, particularly those that are further afield. We know -- you know, when we look at what is your foundation? What is your bedrock? Well, in Nueces County and other coastal communities, it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certainly not something like limestone. It's sand and it's clay and, of course, water. I mean, those are defining factors of the environment that shapes those communities -- those coastal communities.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: But you don't have any counties to name?

MS. OLER: You know, if we were to go further south, I think that's certainly not more inland. really -- in this current map, it has a small corner of San Patricio, and that's it, that's included in District 20. That seems a very small snippet to -- to remove from that relationship with the greater part of San Patricio. Keeping -- you know, keeping, Nueces County intact, I guess we have to say we were flabbergasted by having it broken apart because we felt like it -- you know, it had good representation, and it had commitment from city officials and county officials to -- to work hard to make Nueces County -- make it and guide it -- in -- in staying the very vibrant county that it is, the very vibrant city that Corpus Christi is, with Corpus Christi being the largest city in -- in the South Texas region.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. I know you have a very excellent senator who represents you, very, very aggressively and effectively.

1 MS. OLER: We do. 2 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 4 MS. OLER: Thank you. 5 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. 6 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Just a quick point. The 7 county -- the map as proposed does not split 8 Nueces County in half. It takes about 17 percent to 9 allow a sliver to go up north to San Patricio. But we as 10 a committee appreciate you making time to travel from 11 Corpus Christi to come and testify before the committee, 12 and we appreciate your comments. 13 MS. OLER: Thank you very much. We do 14 appreciate the opportunity. I know this was a little bit 15 different because it's about a specific issue, but one, 16 as I said, that applies to many, many other counties in 17 the state of Texas. Thank you again. 18 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. Next we have 19 Nina Perales, vice president of litigation, Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, known as 20 21 MALDEF. Just identify yourself, who you represent and 22 then you may proceed. 23 MS. PERALES: Thank you very much. Before I 24 begin, I have written testimony for the committee that my 25 colleague Ms. Fatima Menendez is providing now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR HINOJOSA: It will be passed out by the clerk.

MS. PERALES: My name is Nina Perales. I'm the vice president of litigation for MALDEF, the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund. I'm not going to spend a lot of time -- good morning to the committee members. I'm not going to spend a lot of time going over what is in my written testimony, but I will touch on those points briefly and then address three issues that have come up during the discussion with witnesses who preceded me.

My testimony today is intended to assist the committee in adopting redistricting maps that comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act and the U.S. Constitution. The committee has already heard extensively regarding Latino population growth in Texas over the past decade. Texas population increased by just about 4 million Latino -- the Latino share of that was about people. 50 percent, so half of the growth in Texas is Latino. Ву contrast, this almost 2 million Latino growth was accompanied by a growth in the Anglo population of less than 200,000. And the Anglo population contributed to only 5 percent of Texas population growth over the past decade. Latinos are almost the most significant component of Texas's expanding electorate. Among those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

turning age 18 last year in Texas, just under half were Latino young individuals.

I'd like to make a point that wasn't made by other witnesses today which is that some of what we are seeing in our examination of census data suggests that there was a significant undercount in the Latino community in South Texas and in other places. My written testimony explains this a little bit more. But the short version is that when you look at the American Community Survey, which is the U.S. Census Survey that provides estimates of all population, we see that places where Latinos are the most numerous have an unexpected 2020 census count compared to the American Community Survey. The American Community Survey is older. It's from earlier in time. It should lag behind 2020 census results particularly in places where Latinos are living. And, unfortunately, what we are noticing in the data is that in places, for example, like South Texas, two-thirds of the counties in South Texas population is lagging behind ACS. So the 2020 census numbers are lagging behind the ACS instead of the other way around. That is also true for 8 of the 14 counties in Texas that touch the U.S. Mexico border. If you look at the other end where the 2020 census is far outstripping ACS, none of those top 15 counties are Latino.

Why does this matter? Why does an undercount matter. Obviously, it matters a lot for the communities. It has a lot to do with receiving resources from the government. But particularly with respect to redistricting, we believe that this evidence of an undercount suggests that if you are drawing Latino majority districts or Latino districts -- districts in areas where Latinos are very numerous, it may make sense to tolerate a greater degree of under population as to the ideal than, for example, in another area. So I wanted to make that point for you.

Specifically with respect to the Senate Map S2108, that is the senate map that was released last evening. We spent a great deal of time last night and the wee hours of the morning examining the map. We don't have a completed analysis, but we do want to note a concern regarding Senate District 19. Senate District 19 sees a decrease in its Latino voter registration from 54.6 percent to 49.9 percent. That is a concern when you have a significant drop in Latino voter registration. This reduction is not accompanied by an increased Latino opportunity elsewhere in the region such as the creation of a new Latino opportunity senate district in South Texas. So that makes it even more concerning that there's this drop in Latino voter registration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, proposed Senate District 19 is also extremely non-compact without an apparent justification. I have a couple of points related to the State Board of Education map, which I don't believe any previous witnesses have addressed, and that is a concern regarding District 3 in the proposed plan. District 3 in the proposed map reduces Latino voting strength. decreases Spanish earning voter registration from 60 percent to less than 50 percent. It also decreases Latino voter turnout for the 2020 general election from 56 percent to 44 percent. The proposed District 3 also decreases the vote share of Latino candidates by an average of 7 percentage points. For example, the vote share for candidate Lupe Valdez in the 2018 governor's race dropped from 57.4 percent, which most incumbents would acknowledge is a fairly healthy number, to only 50.8 percent for Lupe Valdez in that district. I don't believe a 50.8 percent win is something that anybody here would be comfortable with for themselves.

Latinos and other people of color have lead the effort for fair redistricting in Texas. My client, the Texas Latino Redistricting Task Force, won a number of important rulings from the courts related to the 2011 redistricting plans; and prior to that in an earlier decade, MALDEF'S clients also won a decision -- in both

of these cases, they were decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court. In 2006, a decision from the U.S. Supreme Court that congressional District 23 violated the Voting Rights Act; and in 2018, we secured a decision from the U.S. Supreme Court that Texas had unconstitutionally racially gerrymandering a district in Tarrant County. We urge you not to repeat the mistakes of the past.

I have three final points related to the discussion of today. First, creating so-called race-blind maps cannot protect Texas from liability under the Voting Rights Act. There are a whole bunch of reasons why, but I'll touch on two. First, redistricting is never really race blind. Pretty much everybody here is sophisticated enough to know where the Latino and African American as well as Asian American population is growing and concentrated. And so it really isn't possible to sort of un-know so many of the things that people know when they're drawing maps about people's color.

Second, and Michael Li made this point. We are seeing legislatures around the country consider not turning on racial shading in GIS programs as creating a type of safe harbor for them in terms of legal claims. That may be something that they want to try to do with respect to intentional discrimination claims, but it does

not protect you from claims of -- that affect vote dilution under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act. So you can draw without respect to race, but if you are diluting minority voting strength, there is potential liability under Section 2 effect test. Even if there's an effort to say, well, I can't have discriminated on the basis of race intentionally because I could not see the race of the people.

Second point is that incumbency protection plans can still be illegal. Just because members sign off on a redistricting plan does not make it necessarily legal. I would like to point out to two rulings of the federal court from the 2011 redistricting cycle secured by the Texas Latino Redistricting Task Force. First, that the drawing of house -- state house lines in Nueces County, which was done as a sort of mutual incumbency protection plan was intentionally racially discriminatory in violation of the Constitution and Section 2. Similarly, there were map lines drawn in Bexar County on the San Antonio house districts that were also found to have been intentionally racially discriminatory in violation of the Constitution and Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

Finally, only -- only to add a little bit of clarification with respect to the case of Bartlett versus

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Strickland, which is a U.S. Supreme Court case. Michael Li was exactly right, and I have to say I had to look it up, but Mr. Li knows it by heart. Bartlett was a case that dealt with what was called a crossover district. It was minority-minority, but it had White voters in it who were crossing over and electing the minority candidate of choice. The U.S. Supreme Court did not say in Bartlett versus Strickland that it is illegal or improper in any way to draw majority-minority coalition districts. In fact, the court was really distinguishing crossover districts from coalition districts. And the language here I'm going to cite for the committee from Bartlett versus Strickland is at 556 U.S. 1 at pages 13 and 14. And that's where the Court says -- and it's talking about these crossover districts. These are White -- you know, White majority And the Court says: That term risks districts. confusion with coalition district plans in which two minority groups form a coalition to elect a candidate of that coalitions choice. We do not address that type of coalition here. The U.S. Supreme Court does not say that it is improper. And, in fact, we have case law here in the Fifth Circuit as Michael Li pointed out being that if you have a cohesive two minority group in a district that is in the majority, that certainly is getting you at

least part way down the road towards a protected Section 2 district.

I'll conclude my remarks. I'm happy to take any questions from the committee.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. I do have several questions. I know MALDEF has been a key leader in litigating many of the Voting Rights Act violations, but many of the decisions that -- the recent decisions by the United States Supreme Court have seem to weaken the Voting Rights Act to the point it seems to continue to dismantle the Voting Rights Act by some of the decisions that have been made. And it now allows for gerrymandering, partisan gerrymandering, and both parties do it. You know, democrats when they're in control do it. The republicans do it when they're in control. But how protected is the section dealing with intentional discrimination against minorities?

MS. PERALES: Well, certainly, I agree with you that the U.S. Supreme Court has weakened some protections under the Voting Rights Act and, of course, the best example of that would be the decision in Shelby County, Alabama versus Holder where the Supreme Court struck down the coverage formula for Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, which means practically that Texas does not have to preclear its new redistricting plans

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under Section 5. So there are places where U.S. Supreme Court decisions have weakened the protections of the Voting Rights Act.

I would say with respect to Section 2 and vote dilution, whether that's intentional or not intentional, but still happening in its effect, we still have very strong protection under the Voting Rights Act. And we've been able to litigate those successfully as we did on behalf of the Texas Latino Redistricting Task Force in the 2011 cycle. We are now in the attorney's fees phase of the 2011 redistricting case. I did not know that we would be lapped by the next census but we have been. And although that might be considered humorous, what is not humorous at all is the millions of dollars of attorney's fees that are now being petitioned to the Court because Texas enacted discriminatory maps. So even -- even if not for salvaging my quality of life in the next redistricting cycle, I would say that for the taxpayer's pocketbook, it would be very, very important to take special care to apply the Voting Rights Act standards correctly.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: So would intentional be a required element in a lawsuit under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act?

MS. PERALES: It is absolutely not a required

element. It is under the Constitution, but not under the Voting Rights Act, no. We still have a very viable, very present claim for affect vote dilution of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: How would you establish such a case? Would it be based on the numbers, for example, here in Texas where you have 95 percent of the growth due by -- 95 percent, I guess, of the growth in our state population were driven by minority growth. Is it just using the numbers to show intent? Many -- many times the actual graph proven, I'd say I don't intend and I can't remember which of the Supreme Court justices said, well, intention doesn't matter. It is the result that matters from the actions taken. How does -- just elaborate a little on that.

MS. PERALES: Well, yes, I do agree with your description. And, certainly, you would start with information like rapid and significant population growth in the state, but you would also move through additional factors. Those factors are typically provided under a case we refer to as Arlington Heights. But there are also cases that rely on direct evidence of intentional racial discrimination, including in this last most recent round of redistricting where we had evidence that Texas mappers were intentionally using race to dilute Latino

voting strengths specifically in congressional District 23. And so you would start with all of those factors, and it's a very holistic examination, and then you would move through additional factors as well, including a key point: What is the effect of the plan.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Sometimes for those of us who do take it very much an interest in what the United States Supreme Court does and its decisions, it seems to be set up a higher bar in terms of trying to establish proof of violations of the Voting Rights Act. Would you agree with that?

MS. PERALES: I agree that it is a high bar, but I also agree that it can be met and it has been met with respect to Texas and redistricting in three of the last five redistricting cycles. We have decisions of the U.S. Supreme Court finding discrimination by Texas in redistricting.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: I'll let other senators ask questions. But thank you very much for answering my questions. Senator Zaffirini.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman Ms. Perales, thank you for your testimony as usual, very excellent written testimony in particular. I thank you for that. It's really very helpful. My first question is if the senate creates a new Hispanic opportunity

district, what risk is there that it would interfere with minority voters' ability to elect the candidates of their choice in other minority districts?

MS. PERALES: Well, to add a Latino opportunity district particularly in an area where there are other Latino opportunity districts nearby or adjacent, it's important to make sure that you are, in fact, adding one and not simply swapping out one for another. And so there has to be a very careful analysis about what the effects are for Latino voting opportunity in the new district as well as what the affects are in the remaining or preexisting district.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: So if you were drawing a Hispanic opportunity district, where would that be?

MS. PERALES: I don't know yet. And I think I may be answering in advance of questions as well of the bill sponsor, which is that we are still really carefully moving through the analysis both of the original proposed senate plan as well as the one that was released last night. I don't have a proposal for you of a map, but we would provide it as soon as we have it.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: You heard previous testimony that it should be in North Texas. Are you prepared to agree with that or you'll answer later?

MS. PERALES: I am not prepared to agree yet

as to the location of any additional Latino or coalition district in Texas, but we are looking very carefully at both districts that are Latino majority themselves as well as districts in which Latino and other minority voters may comprise the majority and vote cohesively to elect their candidate of choice, which is protected under the law.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: And we have to be so careful, do we not, in defining communities of interest? For example, many of us were opposed ten years ago to the district -- the Senate District 21 configuration that links Laredo, for example, with southeast Travis County. Do you remember that?

MS. PERALES: I wasn't following the senate redistricting as closely, Senator, so I -- I can't say that I remember it.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Well, there were people who testified against that configuration and many of us were very, very concerned about it, yet ten years later here we are supporting that and, in fact, prioritizing that because what we found through experience now was a very strong community of interest that stretches not only along the border for that particular district,

Senate District 21, but also along IH35 as the strongest and most effective community of interest that was defined

really through IH35 from the Tex-Mexico border all the way to southeast Travis County. And so people who testified against it last time, testified in support of it last time -- this time. So we have to be very careful in analyzing community of interest and really understanding there is so many aspects of it we have to consider.

MS. PERALES: I agree, Senator.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. In the proposed map, the Hispanic voting population of Senate District 19 is 59.6 percent. According to data from the Secretary of State, however, Spanish surname voter turnout in 2020 was 45.4 percent of the electorate. How can we ensure that we do not draw districts that dilute minority voters' ability to participate in the electoral process in communities with Hispanic voting-age populations with low Hispanic voter turnout?

MS. PERALES: I believe that it requires a very careful analysis if you are reducing certain measures that we use to look at it for Latino voter opportunity, like Spanish surname voter registration or Spanish surname turnout. It's very, very important to analyze all of the factors that go around that in order to understand whether Latino voters continue to have an opportunity to elect their candidate of choice or whether

it has, in fact, been undermined to the point where it's a Section 2 violation.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. What do you believe are the best data to examine as we consider how to maximize representation for the state's rapidly growing Hispanic population?

MS. PERALES: The courts have told us that it is a very local and fact-intensive appraisal. So we can look at numbers like voter registration, turnout, but we also should rely heavily on -- on information that are coming from local community members themselves and possibly also what we refer to in litigation as re-aggravated election analysis.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. You heard testimony earlier from the witness who said that based on the population growth that the Senate should really have more minority senators, specifically I believe he said 11 Hispanic senators. Do you have an opinion regarding how many Hispanic senators, how many African American senators that it should have based on population growth?

MS. PERALES: I do not because the Voting Rights Act protects the opportunity of minority voters to elect their candidate of choice regardless of the race of that ultimately elected person. So what we say at MALDEF is that if Latino voters want to elect

somebody who is Latino, that's great. If they want to elect somebody who's White, African American, Asian, purple with green spots, it is the focus is on the voter and the voter's ability to elect a candidate of choice as opposed to the outcome or the person sitting in the seat.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Can you give us an example?

MS. PERALES: I -- you know, we haven't been doing the litigation for long enough. I think it's been since -- our last trial was in 2017. I don't have any recent election analysis by race to show preferences that I could point to a specific district and say here we have voters of one race in an opportunity district electing somebody of another race.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Do you have an opinion regarding the configuration of districts in North Texas?

MS. PERALES: Under the new proposed plan? SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Yes.

MS. PERALES: I will note that the new proposed 16 has a Spanish surname voter registration of approximately 25 percent. That number would be typically considered far lower than the number associated with a Latino opportunity district certainly under the Voting Rights Act. And we are examining carefully

changes to Senate District 10 because of the protection of the Voting Rights Act of multiracial coalition districts.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, ma'am.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Huffman.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you, Ms. Perales.

Thank you very much for being here with us today and for lending your considerable expertise to this process. We appreciate you being here. I just want to ask you a couple of questions on just follow up on your testimony because I was -- actually, I didn't -- I wasn't sure I followed you or I didn't hear you completely. When you were talking about the alleged undercount and then you said something about we should be able to consider or tolerate I think is the word you used, a lower number for the ideal district. I didn't follow that. Would you re-explain that to me to make sure I understand your testimony.

MS. PERALES: Thank you for the opportunity.

And I'm quite sure it was because I wasn't being as clear as I could have been, and I was talking too fast. But generally re-districtors, persons in the legislature are advised that the deviation on districts should be no greater from top to bottom of 10 percent.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Correct.

MS. PERALES: And there is often an effort to try to get districts as close as possible to the exact ideal population, which would be the total number of Texans divided by 31. However, we believe that there is enough evidence of an undercount in South Texas that when looking at deviation from the ideal, not beyond the 10 percent to top to bottom, but let's just say within that 10 percent, that it should be okay. It should be tolerable to go, for example, below the ideal by 3 percent --

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay.

MS. PERALES: -- because we sincerely believe as the residents do of these regions that there are more people present on the ground than were captured by the 2020 census.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. Thank you for clarifying that. I do understand, you know, what you are saying there. My other question and I know you may have addressed this in your written testimony, but I haven't read it because I wanted to listen to what you have to say. I can't read and sit -- sometimes I have to, but I don't do my best at that. So it looks like you have some concerns about Senate District 19. Do you have a proposal of how to fix what you are articulating as

concerns?

MS. PERALES: We do not yet have a proposal to fix Senate District 19, but if we conclude based on a deeper analysis -- the initial numbers are concerning to us and the shape is concerning, but we're not going to say that just shape or one number is -- is definitive.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay.

MS. PERALES: If as a result of our deeper analysis we conclude that 19 has been, let's say, retrogressed, even though we don't use that as a legal standard anymore --

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Right.

MS. PERALES: -- we would propose an alternative.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. And the same question would go to SBOE District 3 that you had a concern.

Would your answer be the same that you would do a more in-depth analysis and -- or is that in a different situation?

MS. PERALES: I think we might be closer on SBOE District 3 to concluding that there has been a rather significant undermining of Latino ability to elect in that district. And I believe that we are moving towards making a proposal that we think would do a better job.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. And you have talked about it and I think you've mentioned, in fact, you said that you're working on proposals and so forth, so I just want to reiterate the process. I know you heard me say but we have drawn the maps completely blind to racial data, that continues to this point. I have not looked at that, and then submitted that and then with the advice of legal counsel been told that we were in compliance with Section 2. All right. So at this point I am saying to you if you disagree with that and you think that there is a strong basis and evidence to show otherwise, I ask you to present that to me and I will give it all the legal opinion I -- I will get a legal opinion on that and move forward from there.

MS. PERALES: Thank you for the invitation, and we will take you up on it.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you very much, Ms. Perales.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Well, I think there are no other questions. Thank you very much for, again, your excellent testimony as always. And that's -- those are all the invited witnesses, invited testimony that we have. We'll now open public testimony. Each witness will have three minutes per person to testify on one or both bills, that's Senate Bill 4 and Senate Bill 7. I

will call groups of ten at a time to come down from the gallery to the chamber. After testifying, please exit the chamber and head back to the gallery. And as I said, groups of 10. Now, sometimes I have a problem with trying to read the handwriting. It reminds me of some my doctors, I can never read the prescriptions. So I will call Mark J. Keough, Mark J. Keough, please come down. We have a Karen Bryant, Karen Bryant. We have a Monica Bayarena, Monica Bayarena. We have Annell Neale. Annell Neale. Sylvia Campos, Sylvia Campos.

David Douglas, David Douglas. Sergio De Leon.

Michael Evans, Michael Evans. Salman Bhojani, Salman Bhojani. And Cyrus Reed, Cyrus Reed.

Judge, I apologize I massacred or butchered your name, but you have three minutes. And please just identify yourself and you may proceed.

MARK KEOUGH: All right. Thank you very much. I appreciate you, Chairman, you allowing me to come and speak and to the rest of the members, specifically to Brandon Creighton, who is also my -- one of my senators as well as Robert Nichols. As you know, the Texas Constitution Article 3 lays out the framework for redistricting, what we haven't talked much about, but what we have talked about minority issues quite a bit. They've added to that redistricting Voter Rights Act of

'65 and also conclusions from the Supreme Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what I've heard today as I sat and listened from the beginning is so much about discrimination and the issue of minorities and numbers associated with those requirements. But in the Texas Voter Rights Acts of 19 -- Texas Voter Rights Act of 1965, Article 3 of the Texas Constitution and in the Supreme Court, you have a number of other priorities as well in redistricting. Of course, the first one is population. Certainly, you want to address the issues of race, but you also have issues of communities of interest and you also have county line It doesn't matter to me truly what race they issues. I represent Montgomery County, Texas, as the county judge and the population census says that we are getting very close to the maximum population that has been required for our -- our county. As a matter of fact, in the last ten years we've had 36.1 percent increase and that growth really happened in the last five years, and in another five years we're going to be right up to that 947, 78 requirement.

But the other element of that is communities of interests. Effective representation of people, and the Supreme Court said what is traditionally good government criteria. What we have done in this map that you are proposing is we have divided Montgomery County

from two senate districts into three. And in doing that you have drawn two into our area of Magnolia 175, 180,000 in the total area surrounding Magnolia, which has a distinct set of community interests as does the rest of the county. And where you're drawing those from is coming up from an area south of us from Harris County, which has a -- which is totally different than what Montgomery County is.

Obviously, we don't have the time, but the result of all of that is is that we would suggest that we don't divide it into adding two into it, but turning it into one. In five years it will meet the one voice, one vote rule, and Senator Creighton would be -- would be perfect. He now carries most of the county to cover the total amount of that county, and once he does the total amount of the county, draw a little bit more in to make a conclusion.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: I guess (indecipherable)
Montgomery County being divided into three senate
districts.

MARK KEOUGH: We appreciate it so much.

Thank you for allowing me to speak.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for the testimony, Judge, and we appreciate you coming down -- or coming up to Austin from Montgomery County.

MARK KEOUGH: Senator Nichols, Mark Keough is my name, and I am the county judge in Montgomery County, Texas.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: I appreciate you being here, and you have two great senators.

MARK KEOUGH: Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Karen Bryant from Corpus Christi, a retired school counselor. Ms. Bryant, just identify yourself for the record, who you represent and then you may proceed.

KAREN BRYANT: Thank you, Chairman Hinojosa. My name is Karen Bryant of Corpus Christi. We are in Nueces County, and I'm representing myself. I'm a recently retired school counselor with 32 years experience in Corpus Christi ISDs. I have voted for both republicans and democrats in past elections. I'm here today because I am concerned that the committee's proposed map may racially gerrymander Districts 20 and 27. Now, we love our Senator Hinojosa, but our concern is that splitting the map up the way it does in Nueces County may be a violation of the Federal Voting Rights Act, Section 2.

Our county has a population of 353,000 people, so there is certainly no need to divide it up to meet the proportionate requirements. And my big concern with it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is that it really chunks it into three pieces and so it takes 16 precincts with the south side of affluent Whites going to Senator Lucio. The central part going to you, Senator Hinojosa, and then the islanders, the more affluent Whites in the island going to Lucio. So it's almost a three-way split for us. It does also divide the city of Corpus Christi, which is a big concern because of, you know, all of our unique issues. And you do a great job of representing us, and I understand that Senator Zaffirini's proposal that, well, maybe two senators are better than one. I'm not so sure about that because I've seen the committee today. But, nevertheless, we are concerned that it would dilute minority votes and here's why. 12 of those 16 precincts are heavily republican. That's fine, but they're also disproportionally White, and so then we cut that central hole out of Nueces and then take a chunk of San Patricio to fill that hole, well, and that piece of San Patricio is disproportionately White compared to the rest of their And they also two to one republican, which, like county. I said, I vote republican as well. But I'm just saying when we're -- it looks like we are rigging a partisan advantage and kind of trying to shift District 27 red, and I get that, but in the process it looks to be diluting minority votes, and it looks to be targeting

precincts that have a heavier White population to connect to District 27.

And I guess Senator Lucio is not here today, but to me it looks like the long game is to turn that district red. And, again, I mean, I know that the Voting Rights Act may not specifically outlaw that, but it does outlaw diluting minority votes. And so as we're designing these districts, I know you're trying to, you know, meet your apportionment, but to carve these out when they are so blatantly nonrepresentative of those minorities, I do have a concern about that.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: We appreciate your concern. I think one of the challenges that we have is when you compare the growth that's taken place on the southern part of my senate district, Senator Lucio's district, has been at a much faster rate than Nueces County, so trying to not minimize the division in Nueces County, but at the same time comply with the Voting Rights Act. It's always a challenge. So we will continue to work to making sure that we minimize any division of Nueces County any further than it needs to be.

KAREN BRYANT: And, Chairman, just a -- just a couple other points. My understanding of the Voting Rights Act, and I'm no lawyer, is that even if you didn't intentionally harm a minority, if the result is

harm to a minority, that's not acceptable and that's illegal. So even if the maps were drawn colorblind, you still have to look at the outcome.

The second thing is -- and my final point really is we hear so much about election integrity and voter fraud, and I know Attorney General Paxton has been looking and looking, spent millions of dollars, tens of thousands of clock hours prosecuting a handful of cases. So we all in this room know that the real fraud or the real lack of integrity is drawing rigged maps. And so if we support election integrity, then election integrity begins with fair maps.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Unfortunately, every time we do a redistricting every ten years, all maps we come up with end up in the federal courts in litigation. But we really appreciate your testimony and we appreciate you taking the time.

KAREN BRYANT: Thank you, Chairman, and thank you to all the committee members.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next, we have Monica Bayarena of Corpus Christi.

MONICA BAYARENA: Thank you, Chairman Hinojosa and committee members. My name is Monica Bayarena of Corpus Christi of Nueces County, and I am representing myself. I am a retired school principal, and I have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

served the youth of the community for 31 years in I've always cast my vote for the most qualified person in past elections, whether they be republican or democratic candidates. I am here today because the senate map that has been proposed divides my city, my county, and my community. Corpus Christi is the South Texas region's economic center. Nueces County with the city of Corpus Christi at its heart is one of economic focal points of the Texas Gulf Coast. We have the third largest port in the U.S., leveraging commerce that drives prosperity for the entire costal bend. The map your committee is proposing actually divides Corpus Christi by one road, carving out 16 precincts in affluent areas with higher percentages of non-Hispanics These precincts were clearly selected for 27 based on past voting patterns since 12 of the 16 precincts are heavily republican. The divided parts of Nueces County do not represent our county's racial breakdown as a whole and harm minority voters. In your map that deliberately divides us, the people on the other side of the road share the same school districts, hospitals, transit system, colleges, malls, churches, grocery stores, concerns about windstorms and insurance, costal ecology and our unique coastal industries. Nueces County and Corpus Christi are cohesive communities

and should be kept in one voting district to address the community needs. Would your committee propose -- why would your committee propose to break up Nueces County and especially Corpus Christi? Current map proposals for Senate Districts 20 and 27 will diminish the political power of the Corpus Christi community, minimize the votes of minorities, and will intentionally and deliberately change the path for future representation.

Senator Hinojosa, I ask that you explain to your constituents why Nueces County and Corpus Christi are divided in the proposed maps. You and Senator Lucio would have never allowed McAllen or Brownsville to be split. Your predecessor, Senator Carlos Truan, would have never allowed Corpus Christi or Nueces County to be split. Chair Senator Hinojosa, Senator Lucio and committee members, at this turning point in our history in such a divisive time, you can define your legacy by creating fair maps and restoring representative democracy in Texas. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for testimony. Every redistricting ten years -- every ten years when we do the redistricting, it's extremely challenging to try to put a big puzzle together, and we usually will work towards trying to keep communities of interest together. I really appreciate your testimony.

MONICA BAYARENA: Thank you for your hard work, Senator Hinojosa.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Annell Neale. Another witness from the League of Women Voters of Corpus Christi. As you can tell, they're very active in our community and very active on issues that impact, but not only in our community in the Corpus Christi area, but the whole state. And, again, thank you for being here, all of you. Identify yourself, who you represent -- who you represent and please proceed.

ANNELL NEALE: May I take just a moment to request that your mic be turned up. I am having a very, very hard time hearing and understanding.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: I will speak closer to it.
Okay?

ANNELL NEALE: There you go. Okay. Thank you very much. Good afternoon, Senators, Mr. Chairperson, and members of the gallery. My name is Annell Neale and I come to you today from Corpus Christi, Texas, Senate District 20. I am here to speak to you regarding SB4 as a concerned individual. I am a member of the League of Women Voters of Corpus Christi, but I am here as an individual speaking on behalf of my family, my friends, and other members of my community.

Corpus Christi is a coastal bend community,

but the current proposed map not only splits

Corpus Christi, our community, but it separates areas
with common issues and priorities along the coastal bend.

It even splits the city of Corpus Christi along racial -racial lines and areas of affluent socioeconomic members
of the community. While SD27 represents the largest
balance of the coastal bend, why does Nueces County not
reside within SD27? Instead it looks like an Italian
boot that drops down into the middle of No. 27.

Texas can be a leader in showing the country how fair mapping can work to support and develop common communities, not rip them apart. I am pleading with you, our elected representatives, to please put a more concerted effort into drawing fair, transparent, and balanced maps. Having said this, I completely expect gerrymandered districts to continue far into the future. Thank you for your time and attention.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for your testimony and we appreciate you all making time to come up here to Austin to testify.

ANNELL NEALE: Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Sylvia Campos also from Corpus Christi. Corpus Christi day today. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

SYLVIA CAMPOS: Thank you. Thank you so much,

Senator Hinojosa. Thank you. And thank you committee members. My name is Sylvia Campos. I am from Corpus Christi. I'm an activist, a member for the Greater Good, board member of the League of Women Voters, but today I am representing myself, my family, my community. I recently retired as a medical biller. I've been voting since I was 18 and I'm 64. So I have been voting for a long time. I am served by Congressional District 27, House District 34, and you, sir, Senate District 20.

I am here to speak on SB4. No -- not one redistricting map has been in compliance of the Voting Act since it was passed in 1965 by President Lyndon B. Johnson. I repeat, not one redistricting map has been in compliance with the Voting Act since it was passed in 1965.

The proposed map of Senate District 20 will further break up my own city of Corpus Christi, which resides in Nueces County. I'm asking you to please stop, stop breaking up the body of Christ, as you know Corpus Christi, that is what it represents. This proposed map, as you told me, it's about -- goes 18 percent to District 27 to Senator Lucio. It actually splits up my family. My family. I have my older brother and nephew that will be in Senate District 27.

I'm asking you how much more can Latino families endure. We, as you know, Senator, we were stripped of our language when we entered school. We were robbed of our land. Our history is being erased. All this under Texas law. Now you want to strip more of our voting power.

Democrats and republicans have both been guilty of this. You turn your backs now on the obvious and documented racism of the past and refuse to rubber stamp racist maps. I'm just here to remind you that we're people, real people with families with real problems. We're the ones that have the highest uninsured. Our area has been taken over by heavy polluting industry. It needs to stop. Okay. It needs to stop.

In conclusion, I'm asking you, the body, to keep Nueces County intact. Find a way. I know you can. I know you will. I have faith. I am grateful for your time. Please continue to have an open and transparent process. Thank you so much.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. Thank you very much. We really appreciate your testimony and your comments. They are very important to us and we will continue working on the maps. Thank you. Have a safe trip back to Corpus Christi.

SYLVIA CAMPOS: Thank you. And may the League

continue to grow.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next we have David Douglas.

Douglas. Just identify yourself and then you may

proceed. You have three minutes.

DAVID DOUGLAS: Thank you. I'm David Douglas. I'm testifying on my own behalf. I've lived in Austin since the mid-1950s, to date myself, except for a couple of years when I went up the road to Baylor Law School. For the last 21 years, I've lived in Southwest Austin. My Senate District is 25. Except for my State representative, all of my elected representatives live away from here with the exception of one of our U.S. senators.

Most of the population growth, as you've heard since the last redistricting, has been with people of color, centered in and around urban communities, many of them. Some areas of the map before you that I have read indicate that there is significant major populations around Fort Worth, Dallas, Harrison, Fort Bend, Travis and Houston counties, Coastal Bend are minorities that are negatively affected by the map.

What's the purpose of splitting up diverse communities into multiple districts drawing them from counties that far away, that are nondiverse, or rural? The effect is dilution of minority votes. The phrase

divide and conquer seems to fit here or cracking.

Districts should be shaped by communities of interest, not by the party's chance of winning. Why not use a concept of fundamental fairness of the people's votes on uniform, reflected interest of communities in which they live. I ask you to, when the time comes, please not approve the maps as written. I'm not an expert in redistricting. I'm here as a voter, a citizen and just asking that you take that into mind. And I thank you for your time.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much, and we -- Mr. Douglas, we appreciate your testimony. Next we have Judge Sergio De Leon, Tarrant County. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed, sir.

SERGIO DE LEON: Yes, sir. Senator, it's great to see you in person and not on a Zoom camera. So it's an honor to be here on the floor. Good afternoon, my name is Sergio De Leon, and I'm appearing on behalf of myself. I am justice of the peace for Precinct 5 in Tarrant County where I have been elected since 2012. Before serving as JP, I served as council for the same precinct for 12 years. I'm here in a strong opposition to Senate Bill 4, the proposed senate map.

Precinct 5 is Tarrant County's Hispanic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity precinct where voters have consistently elected the Hispanic candidate of choice in both JP and council elections. Bot the council and myself are The precinct includes Fort Worth's historic Latino. north side communities, downtown Fort Worth, parts of west Fort Worth and Fort Worth south side neighborhoods. Just days ago, I testified before this committee and called on you to and other members to ensure Texas SD10 remain an effective district where Hispanic and African American voters could come together to elect a candidate of their choice. I described to you the demographic makeup of my district and the underlying legislative district which includes SD10. I said any adjustments that need to be made to SD10 should maintain the core of its current district and take into minority populations that are in the far southeast portion of Tarrant County. Clearly, this request and requests made by numerous witnesses from Tarrant County were blatantly ignored. The proposed senate map fractures my constituents who mostly reside in SD10 and will place them in two separate senate districts where they will be unable to elect candidates of their choice. And with respect to the latest map that was proposed, there's no communities of interest with Fort Worth in those rural communities; and to put that into some context for you, Senator,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inner-city Fort Worth Hispanics do not tend to cattle. They don't cut hay or gather at the feed store. We work two to three jobs, meet up at the Fiesta supermarket and To the north, the historic north side Tacorias. community, the proposed map would take over 76,000 of my constituents that were previously in SD10 and place them in Anglo-controlled SD9 where Tarrant County's suburban neighborhoods would elect the candidate to the Texas This portion of my precinct has an -- Hispanic voting-age population that is 56 percent. To the south and south side neighborhoods, the proposed map would take over 47,000 of my constituents, 62 percent of which are Hispanic according to the American Community Survey and dilute their votes by combining this community with Parker and Johnson counties which are over 80 percent White.

I'll just conclude by saying the federal court found similar efforts to dismantle SD10 in 2011 illegal and intentionally discriminatory. Cracking Tarrant County's minority's populations and diluting our vote with rural and suburban voters is a violation of the Voting Rights Act and the U.S. Constitution. And I ask this committee and the Texas Senate to do the right thing and kept -- keep SD10 intact.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Zaffirini.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Judge, in your testimony, you described how the area you represent as being fractured and seems to be divided into two under the proposed map. Could you expand how the impacted area is affected by this map?

SERGIO DE LEON: Absolutely. The north side would be put into my -- my look at the map in SD9, which would run out to -- to the inner city, and so you're really taking out the core of the Hispanic population, which makes up Fort Worth, which is used to voting in conjunction with south side Fort Worth to elect a candidate of their choice.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Are communities of interest being divided in the process?

SERGIO DE LEON: Absolutely.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Do you believe that this map would give Tarrant County Hispanic residents the opportunity to elect candidates of their choice?

SERGIO DE LEON: Absolutely not. It's just the opposite. Again, you're taking out what is considered the entire Hispanic population of Fort Worth in the south and north sides and dispersing them into different districts, and in by doing so, putting them into districts where they have nothing in common with suburban or rural communities.

1 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Do you believe that Senate District 10, for example, could be redrawn by 2 3 impacting only the surrounding districts and not 4 necessarily the entire state? 5 SERGIO DE LEON: Yes. 6 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: How would you do it? 7 SERGIO DE LEON: Just keep it intact as it is. 8 It's to make sure that it's a minority coalition 9 district, which it has been for the past decade. 10 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Thank you, 11 Mr. Chairman. 12 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Judge, for being here and taking the time to come and testify. 13 14 SERGIO DE LEON: Thank you. Take care. 15 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next we have Michael Evans, 16 Mayor of Mansfield. Mayor, just identify yourself, who 17 you represent and then you may proceed. 18 MICHAEL EVANS: Thank you. Mr. Chairman and 19 committee members, my name is Michael Evans, and I'm testifying on behalf of myself and against Senate Bill 4, 20 21 the proposed senate map. I come before you as the first 22 African American ever elected as mayor of the city of 23 Mansfield and as a pastor of the 151-year-old Bethlehem 24 Baptist Church, the oldest African American Church in 25 Tarrant County.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm here today to express my shock and extreme displeasure after seeing the proposed state senate map. For two decades now, Mansfield has been entirely contained within Senate District 10 where our growing minority population has increased in its ability to elect our candidate of choice. Our city line holding within current Senate District 10 acknowledges a natural community of interest with other nearby minority neighborhoods, especially East Arlington and Southeast Fort Worth. In fact, many of my church congregants live in Arlington and Fort Worth but worship in Mansfield. These communities have shared interests, shared values and common concerns and unite, organize, and mobilize to elect our candidates of choice. That's what we did in Senate District 10 in 2018 to elect our Senator Beverly We've done it in time and time and time again in Powell. other important races.

District 10 is firmly established as a minority coalition, a crossover district where its citizens are protected by the Voting Rights Act and the U.S. Constitution. The proposed map intentionally, unnecessarily, and illegally destroys the voting strength of minority citizens and the citizenry of Mansfield. Your proposed map splits my beloved city into two senate districts and intentionally dilutes the voting strength

of our city and in particular African American and
Hispanic residents by submerging each part into
Anglo-ruled dominated districts where minorities have
zero influence.

The current population of Mansfield in Tarrant County is 72,607 people. You took 41,551 of those people and cracked them into that jagged billy club portion of SD22 and that portion that jumps into Tarrant County from the south, but is anchored in a district that runs south of Waco. The remaining 30,056 Mansfield residents are cracked into new SD10, but submerged in a district dominated my Anglo voters in Johnson, Parker and now other rural counties of record of which our city shares no interest. The jagged billy club that cracks the Black population of Mansfield is intentionally discriminatory. New SD22 now contains 65 percent of Mansfield's Black population, and the new SD10 contains 35 percent of the total Black population. It is, obviously, intentional. It is discriminatory. It is illegal.

And I pray that you fully understand the geography and demographics of Tarrant County and that you immediately reconsider your surgical decision to identify and undermine minority voters. Do not rob them of their ability to elect candidates of their choice, and please work diligently to unite the city of Mansfield once again

under the existing Senate 10 boundary and that boundary alone. Thank you very much, Mr Chairman, for giving me this opportunity to speak before you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for your testimony. I think Senator Zaffirini may have some questions.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mayor, you mentioned a growing minority population in
your city. Could you describe how Mansfield has changed
in terms of demographics over the last decade?

MICHAEL EVANS: Without a doubt, ma'am.

The -- the minority -- the minority participation as well as the minority population has all but doubled in the city of Mansfield in the past decade as has the population of our city, so we continue to grow.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you very much. You said something interesting about shared interests with communities in Southeast Fort Worth and Arlington. Could you expand upon how these interests and how these communities work and vote together?

MICHAEL EVANS: Yes, ma'am. When we talk about shared interests, we're talking about an interests in housing. We're talking about interests in transportation and infracture. We're talking about interests in a public education and equity in our local

schools and school districts. That's what we're talking about. And that's what we share as urban and suburban communities of choice.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you so much. How would Mansfield being divided into two districts with clearly a rural influence impact your representation in the Texas Senate?

MICHAEL EVANS: As was mentioned in my testimony, we're talking about at least 45,000, a little more than 45,000 of those constituents would be actually diluted by the influx of the rural communities, which means to us that they would have zero influence in a agrarian and rural communities, whereas, we are suburban and urban. And for SD22, that juts sharply into District 10 on the proposed map, we would note that we would have zero influence in that particular district.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: So if you were looking at the current proposed map, how would you change it for District 10?

MICHAEL EVANS: I would leave it just as it is and watch it continue to grow so that the community can come together and vote for and elect the candidate of their choice.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, sir.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MICHAEL EVANS: Thank you, ma'am. Thank you again, Mr. Chair.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Mayor, for your testimony. Next we have Salman Bhojani. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

SALMAN BHOJANI: Thank you, Senator Hinojosa and respective members of this committee. My name is Salman Bhojani, and I'm a former city council member and mayor pro tem of the city of Euless, but today I am representing myself.

The 2020 census has shown us that Texas is more diverse than it has ever been. With 95 percent of the growth in the populations of African American, Latino, and AAPI communities. And a fair map should reflect the diversity of our great state. The proposed maps and most recent amendment do not allow a new opportunity district. In the Dallas-Fort Worth area, specifically Tarrant County where I live, the proposed maps fracture the vote by packing and cracking our communities. This committee should comply with the Voting Rights Act by not allowing this inherently discriminatory maps to proceed as they are. The communities of color should have the opportunity to choose the candidates of their choice, not the other way around.

Where I live, the Asian population in Tarrant County grew by 56 percent. Within my Asian American communities of interest, we have shared cultural characteristics, countries of origin, languages, and socioeconomic status. In short, we live, eat, shop, play, learn, work, and worship together. We deserve to vote together because it's essential that we advocate together. I'm a former Euless city council member and understands how an elected official can easily serve their constituents in a geographically contiguous map with similar needs. So I can think of no good reason why cities like Euless with only 60,000 residents should be split between multiple districts.

I'm an immigrant, and in some ways my story is an example of how when communities come together, anything is possible. I came to this country with almost nothing except a belief that if I worked hard I could be successful. As a young adult, I made \$6 an hour and worked three jobs to make ends meet. I found my community in North Texas and in the DFW area. Gradually I saved enough to own my business, and I put myself through college and law school, eventually working for a large law firm and now owning my own law firm. When I ran for Euless city council, my campaign was considered a long shot against extremist forces. These groups tried

to label me as different, as an outsider, but we won. We had good ideas and practical solutions. The community came together to support me, and I made history by being the first minority ever to be elected to the Euless City Council.

Coming back to these maps, by breaking up the these cities like Euless, these proposed maps serve to further divide and dilute minority voices and votes.

Further, they cause cities like Euless to have to contact different state representatives, state senators in order to coordinate limited resources, which is an inefficient use of taxpayer dollars and official's time. I currently reside in Euless in Senate District 9, which based on the 2020 census data has grown to 61 percent of the people of color. And these proposed maps have been redrawn to include less than 50 percent of the people of color.

These proposed maps are splitting and erasing our voices district by district, and I cannot sit and be fine with our voices being marginalized.

I testified earlier this year about Euless being split into two different senate districts.

Unfortunately, this committee completely ignored my testimony and split Euless in three different senate districts. They intentional fracturing of --

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Wrap it up, please. Your

time is up.

SALMAN BHOJANI: Thank you so much for your time. I really appreciate that you allowed me to say my opinion. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony.

SALMAN BHOJANI: Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Before the next witness comes up to testify, I will call the next group of ten witnesses. It will be Roselei Belos, Roselei Belos. It will be Christian Urbano. And I apologize if I mess up some of the names, some of this handwriting is very difficult to read. Christian Urbano. Jose Opena, Jose Opena, Kelly Nguyen, Kelly Nguyen. Elizabeth Beck, Elizabeth Beck. Felipe Gutierrez, Felipe Gutierrez. Khanay Turner, Khanay Turner. Adrian Kaiser, Adrian Kaiser. Steven Weintraub, Steven Weintraub. And Sarah Chen, Sarah Chen. Mr. Reed, just identify yourself. You know the process and you may proceed.

Cyrus Reed here representing the Lone Star Chapter of the Sierra Club for the very first time ever testifying on a senate redistricting map. We are opposed to the current version of SB4. We do appreciate the fact that the maps were released with enough time to look at them. That

I do know the process.

CYRUS REED:

being said, we were very disappointed to learn of the amendment last night, which really didn't give the public -- I know I haven't looked at it carefully -- a chance to look at the map. And I would urge Senator Huffman to have an additional hearing to allow people more time to look at that map.

You are probably wondering why Sierra Club is talking about maps. Well, it's because we have 30,000 members throughout Texas. They tend to be very civically involved. They tend to vote in high numbers. They tend to vote democratic, but we do have independents and republicans, but they are interested in communities of interest. They are interested because they care about things like flooding and water quality and air quality, climate change, and those tend to have geographic focuses. And so keeping communities of interest together is -- is very important.

And so I do have written testimony I -- I put in the portal and I have copies here, but I won't read it. I was going to concentrate on just a few areas of the state, and I was going to specifically mention Senate District 10 and Tarrant County. And, again, I haven't looked closely at the -- at the new proposed map, but even looking at this proposal, the fact that we would turn Senate District 10 and turn what's really an urban

district into one that becomes more rural, means that community of interest doesn't really make sense. And I say that in regards to air quality plans. I'm looking over at Senator Hancock, I mean, those are -- those are issues that are of interest to Tarrant County, but may not be to Parker and Johnson, that's an example. I'm thinking about flooding.

So without going into race or party politics, it -- it just doesn't make sense. Similarly the idea of putting all -- I'll call it tentacle or fajita of Senator Birdwell -- You know, Senator Birdwell, I respect very much, but it doesn't make sense to have his district go up into Tarrant County. So that's one area I'd point out. The other two areas I will mention very quickly is Nueces County. I do believe given hurricanes and flooding, it doesn't make sense to split it up in the way it's split up. There's a reason to keep the City of Corpus and the coastal areas together and not split them up between several districts. And I would have similar comments on Fort Bend County. And, again, I would urge you to look at those environmental implications, hurricanes, floodplains, and keep districts contiguous.

I do have written comments, but with respect -- out of respect for your time, I will finish there and I'm happy to answer any questions.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much,

Mr. Reed, for your testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CYRUS REED: Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Next we have Roselei Belos.

Ms. Belos is testifying against Senate Bill 4. Just identify yourself and who you represent.

ROSELEI BELOS: Hello. My name is Roselei Belos, and I'm here representing OCA Greater Houston. First, I would like to express my gratitude to you all for giving me the opportunity to speak today. I hope that this committee will continue to hold in-person and virtual hearings to allow public input from the entire state. The census showed that 95 percent of all growth in Texas occurred in the African American, Latino, and AAPI communities. As we know, Texas is rich in diversity and any fair amount must reflect the diversity of our However, the current draft maps do not allow any state. new opportunity districts. This is based on census number in metroplexes like Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, and Austin. Instead, our draft maps pack and crack our communities and dilute our votes. I urge this committee to comply with the Voting Rights Acts and respect the reality of the new census. Allow people of color the opportunity to choose the candidates of their choice.

As a Filipino American college student and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

organization leader living in Houston, I am informed of many of the new issues AAPI communities have faced in the past year and a half. Prior to the start of COVID-19 -- of the COVID-19 pandemic, one of the pre-health college organizations that I'm currently a part of Alpha Eta Alpha based at the University of Houston have partnered up with few Houston community organizations and universities to provide basic medical needs such as examinations and flu shots. Through my volunteer work, I've seen that many marginalized communities are less informed and often are the ones who do not go to special doctors due to worries such as cost. This experience makes me understand that equal access to health resources is a human right. As someone who is fortunate enough to have access to healthcare and will be entering the health field, I want my community in the future to have a fighting chance to get the resources that we all deserve. Access to resources comes to having representatives in our government who know who we are and what our needs are. I urge this committee to reconsider the proposed redistricting map that do not allow for a new opportunity district for the AAPI community. deserve to have equal representation in our state and country.

Finally, I would like to thank each and every one

of you again for your time in allowing me the opportunity to speak. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We appreciate you making time to come and testify before the committee. I think Mr. Senator Hancock has a question.

SENATOR HANCOCK: Actually, it is regarding the previous testimony. So she can go. I just wanted to comment on the testimony regarding opposition to the maps regarding air quality. So I quickly turned to the TCQ's website, and just for the matter of this committee, I think it's important that the 2015 ARO zone standard designations for area containment include in the DFW area, Collin, Dallas, Denton, Ellis, Johnson, Kaufman, Parker, Tarrant, and Wise counties. So if we are going to use air quality as a rationale on the maps, then the rationale would be that these counties all listed seem to be part of that discussion, and I just want to make sure I clarify that based on what was previously stated.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Senator Hancock, for the information. Next we have Christian. Christian, you're going to have to help me pronounce your last name when you come up here. Okay. Come on up. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

CHRISTIAN URBANO: Hello. My name is

Christian Urbano representing on behalf of OCA Greater

Houston. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

I hope that this committee will continue to hold in-person and virtual hearings to allow public input from the entire state of Texas. The 2020 census showed that 95 percent of all growth in Texas occurred in the African American, Latino and AAPI communities. Any fair map must reflect the diversity of our state. The current draft maps do not allow any new opportunity districts in the metroplexes like Houston, Dallas, San Antonio and Austin. Instead, they pack and crack our communities and dilute our votes. I searched this -- I urge this committee to comply with the Voting Rights Act and respect the reality of the new census by allowing people of color the opportunity to choose the candidates of their choice.

As an Asian American, the fastest growing demographic in Texas, I want fair redistricting because the quality amongst all eligible voters should be a fundamental right. We need better, more responsive representation and more opportunity districts for our communities, including in coalition with other people of color and immigrant population with similar values and needs.

Where I live, the Asian population in Fort Bend County grew by an impressive 82 percent in the

last decade. Based on 2020 census, the Fort Bend County POC population has grown to 60.4 percent. However, has been we redrawn to contain only 55.1 percent POC. The data clearly shows my district is immensely diverse, but redrawing has now made it ever larger and barely contiguous in a clear attempt to dilute the power of minority votes.

An issue that many people from the Asian community have to deal with is racial discrimination. Since the Corona Virus pandemic started, hate crimes and violence against Asian Americans have drastically increased due to fear and misinformation. Social media and selected government officials have publicly demonized Asian Americans using the scapegoat -- using the scapegoat approach. Grouping people with similar traits and concerns together in one district gives them a stronger voice to have their issues addressed, issues like the hate crimes, the violence, fear, and misinformation that I discussed so far.

I urge this committee to hold additional hearings and to create a new senate map that reflects our community input and the census data as well as create fair districts for U.S. Congress and Texas House. In addition, please continue to allow a fair and open process by providing at least 14 days for the public

to review additional maps, 5 days to review any changes to proposed maps, keeping a record of all documents, written communications, e-mails, text messages, and draft maps and providing analysis of how the new maps impact historically disenfranchised communities of color. There are many and ongoing conflicts in our society and none of them should be taken lightly. Issues within the Asian American communities are no exception. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Mr. Urbano. We appreciate your testimony. Next we have Jose Opena.

Just identify yourself, who you represent and help me pronounce your last name.

JOSE OPENA: So my name is Jose Opena. So I am a representative for OCA Greater Houston, and I'm representative for myself and I'm a representative for my family and for my friends that could not come today.

You know, I have this whole thing written out, but I think I'm just going to speak from the heart. So as an Asian American, which is the fastest growing demographic in Texas, I want fair districts because the demographic shows that minorities are all located in one specific area, which, in turn -- in turn disempowers our votes and causes people of color to get unfair representation. We need better and more receptive representation and more opportunity district for our

communities including the union within people of color 1 and immigrant populations with homogenous values and 2 3 My mother as -- was an immigrant from the 4 Philippines, and she has been working as a nurse here in 5 Texas for about 15 years. And throughout her time as a 6 nurse, she has helped treat countless patients and work 7 hand-to-hand to support my family and community. 8 past year and a half, the COVID -- the COVID-19 pandemic 9 has shown that the dedication of nurses, like my mother, 10 are -- a disproportionate number of nurses contracted 11 COVID-19, are Filipinos, yet no one was advocating for 12 their safety. The Filipino nurses continue to support and treat our community, our struggles. Creating 13 14 opportunity districts would give us the chance 15 representatives that would advocate for us. 16 I urge you to reconsider the redistricting map 17 and consider the growing AAPI community. Thank you. 18 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Mr. Opena, for 19 your testimony. We appreciate your time. 20 JOSE OPENA: Thank you. 21 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Kelly Nguyen. KELLY NGUYEN: Hello. My name is Kelly 22 23 Nguyen, and I'm hearing representing OCA Asian Pacific 24 American Advocates of Greater Houston. 25 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Let me ask you if you

consider removing your mask so I can hear you better.

KELLY NGUYEN: Oh, sorry.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

KELLY NGUYEN: Hello. My name is

Kelly Nguyen, and I'm here representing OCA Asian Pacific

American Advocates of Greater Houston. First, I want to
say this is my first time testifying, so I am a bit
nervous but excited all the same. I want to start by
expressing my gratitude for the opportunity to be here
today and speak to you all in person. And I am also a
part of the organization Alpha Eta Alpha, a pre-health
org on the campus of UH, like mentioned by Rose earlier.

And much like her, I want to continue and support
in-person and virtual hearings because I cannot emphasize
enough how important it is for the public to voice their
concerns and worries throughout the state of Texas.

As we already know, Texas is a very diverse state. Looking at any census map of our state alone can reflect this easily. For example, just last year the 2020 census showed us that the AAPI population has grown 40 percent from 2010 to 2020. Beyond that, the growth of diverse communities much like the Latino, African American, and AAPI, to name a few, have grown by 95 percent in Texas. These numbers are significant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

especially in light of how unfairly the districts are being drawn to manage these communities. These minority communities much like my own are being packed and crowded, which in turn reduces their power, the power of their votes and subsequent voice within the government. I urge the committee to take into account the revelations of the new census and create better opportunities for Texan minorities and make their vote count and elect representatives of their choice. As an Asian American, I specifically want fair districts because I want my vote to weigh and count just as much a nonminority vote. I want my parents' votes to count. I want all my friends' and family's votes that are people of color just like me I want these elections to represent my community well and accurately because we cannot even come close to putting a price on representation, especially a representation that is responsive to my specific community's needs and communities like mine with analogous needs and beliefs.

At my current residence, Fort Bend County, a whopping 87 percent of substantial growth in the area has been contributed to diverse communities. I know growing up, I was fortunate enough to be constantly surrounded by many different cultures and communities. And though the cultures were rich, the opportunities were not. We lived

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the less affluent side of the district. The school I attended did not receive a lot of funding. Corners were cut to make ends meet and thus created a far from perfect environment for learning. In short, the students were never pushed to be academically successful. We had no opportunities to advance in learning or to participate in enriching clubs and organizations. School was simply a mandate of the state instead of an opportunity to pursue higher education and more successful futures. of motivation and unfavorable learning environment was a product of insufficient funding due to irresponsible and unfair district mapping. This is why real representation matters to me. Again, I want to urge this committee to create a new senate map that more accurately portrays our communities' input in state affairs. Please reflect upon the new census data and create fair districts.

Thank you again for the opportunity to be here today and speak upon matters so important to my community. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: You testified like a pro.

Thank you for your testimony. Elizabeth Beck. Beck with city council of Fort Worth.

ELIZABETH BECK: Good afternoon, Chairman, and I appreciate the opportunity to testify today. My name is Elizabeth Beck. I am the Fort Worth City Councilwoman

for District 9. I was elected along with five of my colleagues earlier this year. I am here in opposition of Senate Bill 4. I'm going to read to you a letter today signed by myself and four other of my council colleagues, which represents Fort Worth's majority-minority council and make up a majority of our current nine-member council, in opposition of the district's proposed changes to Tarrant County senate map. I will note that this letter was signed before the committee's late night and untimely amendment to Senate District 10's map. While some of the data may be different, our concerns remain the same as to the impact to our constituents.

As members of the Fort Worth City Council representing majority and minority districts, we write with great concerns regarding the proposed senate map which cracks Fort Worth's historic and growing minority communities and places our constituents within senate districts where their votes will be diluted and their voices stifled. The City of Fort Worth is now the 13th largest city in the country and the fastest growing large city in America. The latest census data shows that Fort Worth is a majority-minority city with only 37 percent of our population identifying as Anglo. Together, Black, Hispanic -- Black and Hispanic residents constitute nearly 500,000 of Fort Worth's 918,000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residents. Of Fort Worth's nine council seats, five are majority-minority where Black, Hispanic and Asian American voters unite to elect a candidate of their Majority-minority representatives now make up the majority of the members of city council. Under the proposed state senate map, our constituents would be greatly harmed and unable to elect candidates of their choice to the Texas Senate. Currently most of our constituents reside in Senate District 10. District 10 is a prime example of an effective coalition crossover district where varying minority communities have proven their ability to unite and elect candidates of their The last election cycle, our constituents in choice. Senate District 10 have resoundingly come together to elect Beverly Powell to the Texas Senate and supported candidates in races from the president to attorney general and their county sheriff. The proposed map intentionally and effectively eliminates this ability by fracturing minority neighborhoods and placing our constituents into Anglo-dominated districts. One of these districts, reconfigured District 10 where the current minority voters are diluted by being added to predominantly White rural Parker County and Johnson County. The other is reconfigured District 9 where the minority voter of Fort Worth are fractured from

District 10 and attached to Anglo-dominated suburban communities.

I ask that you amend this map and put Senate District 10 back to where it is today. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Zaffirini.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Beck, in the areas of Fort Worth that are being divided, could you please expand upon the demographics and the unique community characteristics that you believe this community should know about?

ELIZABETH BECK: Sure. Two of the biggest divides that we see in this new map are between the historic north side of Fort Worth, which has a large and very vibrant Hispanic community, and the south side of Fort Worth, which I have the pleasure of representing, that also has a very large and vibrant Hispanic community. They will now be separated into two senate districts. Likewise, we have a very long-standing historic tight-knit African American community in West Fort Worth of Como, and that will now be separated from our Black residents in the east side of Fort Worth in the Lancaster corridor.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. In your opinion, could someone from Fort Worth be elected to Senate District 10.

ELIZABETH BECK: Absolutely not.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you for your testimony. Felipe Gutierrez.

FELIPE GUTIERREZ: Good afternoon. I have written testimony I'd like to submit as well. Hello. My name is Felipe Gutierrez from Fort Worth, and I'm here in opposition of Senate Bill 4. I am representing myself.

I'm a long-time resident of Texas

Senate District 10 and have been actively engaged in advocating for unique diverse -- for the unique and diverse district that this is. I have always advocated that Senate District 10 remain intact so that Latino, African American, Asian American voters can continue to come together to elect our candidate of choice.

I drove to Austin in 2011 to testify against a proposed senate map that would dismantle Senate District 10, as the map that you've currently proposed will do again. Thankfully, a federal court ordered Senate District 10 to be restored and our powerful coalition has continued to unite to elect candidates of our choice ever since. Under the proposed map, I would be drawn -- I would be drawn out of Senate District 10 and placed into an Anglo-controlled Senate District 9,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which would be dominated by White suburban areas in the northern portion of Tarrant County. My vote and the vote of so many others Latino Texans who call Senate District 10 home will be silenced under this proposal. As I look at the map, the attempt to tear apart Tarrant County's historic Latino communities that work together is The entire north side is cracked and placed in evident. Senate District 9, and the south side Hispanic communities are no longer able to unite with their neighbors to the north and vote as a coalition to elect a candidate of our choice to the Texas Senate. The Latino community in Tarrant County is not only -- is not the only community of color that is fractured in this map. Fort Worth's large and historic African American communities will have their votes diluted by being drawn into eight rural counties as of late last night, which are predominantly White, and reject the minority candidates of choice. As a long -- as a strong believer in building coalitions where communities of color can come together to elect candidates who will represent our shared values and interests, this map intentionally tears apart this coalition by diluting our shared voices with White rural voters. This is discriminatory and intentional. I stand before you just like I did in 2011 with the warning this map violates the law. The

committee and the Chair must immediately act to remedy the same mistakes that you made in 2011. Moving forward, with this proposed map will be seen as a direct attack on a growing voting strength of minority communities in Tarrant County, which no longer is the minority. In fact, White population in Tarrant County has decreased in -- since 2010, and the response was to eliminate the only senate district where African American, Latino communities could come together to elect our candidate of choice.

So members of this committee,

Chairman Hinojosa, Senate District 10 must be restored and our communities of color must have an opportunity to continue to unite behind a candidate of our choice.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Senator Zaffirini.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Gutierrez, you testified not only earlier this year but also ten years ago and now again. We thank you for being so involved in the process and for your expertise.

You also referenced a coalition that comes together in Senate District 10 to elect a candidate of choice. Could you expand upon that, please?

FELIPE GUTIERREZ: Of course. I'd like to say thank you for the question, and you haven't asked me a question in ten years because I haven't been here since.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Redistricting was the last time that I testified. So thank you. I would say what I said ten years ago; is that, as a Latino, we have neighbors that are African American, that are Asian American that are next door to each other. My experience as a then technician for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company got me in and out of the door of all the residents in that 820 corridor, which is the east side of Fort Worth, all the way down to the south side, all the way to the north side where there was Latino neighborhoods. And I saw firsthand the commitment of our communities including myself to elect an individual of our choice, and back then it was Senator Wendy Davis. At this time it is Senator Beverly Powell. So I think we've proven over the last decade that we are a coalition district by electing a candidate of our choice.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you so much. You said you testified on a map in 2011 that makes similar changes to the map that we are looking out today. What are some of the similar faults you see with this map?

FELIPE GUTIERREZ: You fracture African

American votes on the east side of Fort Worth, and I

extend the invitation that Senator Beverly Powell made
today to the committee, to come to Fort Worth and see
those neighborhoods that you're fracturing. I think

fracturing and diluting their votes, whether it's political, whether -- I hate to use this word on the floor, but if it's racial, regardless of how you look at it, you are diluting the power of a group of individuals to represent themselves and elect a person of their choice.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. In your written testimony, you reference eliminating the only senate district in which the African American and Latino communities come together to elect a candidate of their choice. Could you expand on upon that, please?

FELIPE GUTIERREZ: Sure. You look at the growing population and like what the mayor of Mansfield testified today, there's a growing population in Arlington, into Mansfield, into that south west corridor of Tarrant -- of Arlington into the southeast part of Fort Worth, and while there's separate cities, I think they are also neighboring two Latino neighborhoods in Fort Worth on the north side and south side of Fort Worth. All of that is a coalition and we come together very directly not only to elect across county seats, state house seats. We elect JP's. We elect constables. We elect city man- -- I mean, mayors, and we elect Senate District 10 candidates of our choice.

SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you. Do you believe

1 it would be possible to redraw Senate District 10 with 2 impacting only the nearby districts and not necessarily 3 the entire statewide plan? 4 FELIPE GUTIERREZ: I think the way the 5 plan -- or the maps are drawn now completely fractures 6 us. I think we should keep the Senate District 10 map as 7 it is, as a coalition district as the courts put it to us 8 ten years ago, and the rest of the state can also do its 9 shifting around us. 10 SENATOR ZAFFIRINI: Thank you, sir. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12 FELIPE GUTIERREZ: Thank you. 13 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you for your 14 testimony. We appreciate you being here. 15 FELIPE GUTIERREZ: Have a good afternoon. 16 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Khanay Turner. 17 KHANAY TURNER: Good afternoon. I also have 18 written testimony as well as a proposed map. 19 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Just identify yourself, who 20 you represent and then you may proceed. If you have any 21 handouts, we'll have the clerk hand them out. 22 KHANAY TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Hello Chair 23 houseman, Vice Chair Hinojosa and members of the senate 24 committee on redistricting. Thank you for the 25 opportunity to speak today. My name is Khanay Turner. I

live in Fort Worth within Senate District 10. I am an attorney, voter rights advocate, and community grassroots activist for Black America. I am also a proud member of the Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Incorporated, and serve on our Texas redistricting cohort. Today I am speaking on behalf of myself, my community, and the Texas redistricting cohort of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority, Incorporated. I am against SB4 and demand this committee to keep current communities of interest together who live in Senate District 10, which is a protected crossover minority opportunity seat. In addition, I request that this committee leave the current boundaries of SD10 in place as shown in the map that is being passed out.

I am from the southwest community of
Fort Worth. My neighborhood lies south of Interstate 20
and east of Chisholm Trail Parkway. Over the course of
20 years, I have seen my community grow into a beautiful
racially diverse community of hard-working, middle class
Texans. My neighbors and I have had the privilege to
elect candidates who represent us and our values in
20 -- 2008, in 2012, and once again in 2018. We do not
want gerrymandering to dilute our voices and we call for
district maps that will not tear our community apart.
However, this proposed map in SB4 and the amended map
that was sent late last night does, in fact, tear our

community apart and dilutes our voices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Currently, SD10 is the only crossover minority opportunity senate district for Tarrant County, which minds you it's the third largest county in the state. Βv dismantling this district, the committee has once again violated the 14th and 15th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution by placing political parties over the needs of people especially the constituents of color living in Texans, particularly Black Texans, have already suffered at the hands of previous gerrymandering in the consistent attacks on our Voter Rights Acts, on our voting rights along with other lists of negatively impacted situations to the Black communities such as over policing, food deserts and lack of healthcare resources. My neighbors and I have had the benefits from just representation of SD10 with senators who have fought against marginalization of its Black constituents by the Texas legislature. Therefore, we request the current boundaries of SD10 not to be changed.

In conclusion, remember, you must follow the 14th and 15th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and Section 2 of the Federal Voter Rights Act of 1965 who are creating these maps. We live in a representative democracy and like all Texans, the constituents of Senate District 10 deserve to be represented by just, adequate, and most

1 importantly, equitable representation of our choice. 2 Once again, thank you for this opportunity and your time. 3 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for 4 your testimony. We appreciate you being here. 5 KHANAY TURNER: Thank you. 6 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Adrian Kaiser. Identify 7 yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed. 8 ADRIAN KAISER: Mr. Chairman, members of the 9 committee, thank you so much for allowing me to speak 10 My name is Adrian Kaiser. I am a precinct chair 11 out in Montgomery County representing Precinct 66, which 12 is just south touching the Harris County line. I have received multiple calls, e-mails, texts and concerned 13 14 names from people within my precinct that are not excited 15 or happy in any way that our district is being 16 incorporated into Senate District 7 when we are currently represented in Senate District 4. The problem that most 17 18 of them see is the fact that we are a rural community 19 mostly, suburban rural in that area, and we're going to 20 be overtaken and our votes and our interests are going to 21 be diluted by the votes coming out of Harris County. 22 Harris County is a mostly urban county and most parts of 23 that, and that is where people tend to congregate. When 24 they flee Harris County, they come in and they settle in

Montgomery County. They're not happy with that. A lot

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of them raised concerns with the fact that Harris County was sued in 2020 by the State Representative Steve Toth and by a conservative activist down in that area and feel that if this was to happen again, that their votes would be diluted even more by that. When it comes to the divisions within the community, it's splitting a small rural town of Magnolia completely in half. South and north side completing going into two different senate districts. One of which doesn't represent them, one of which is okay by some people that I've spoken to. It's just divided the communities of interest that we share, especially those in these rural areas, and many of them feel very strongly that Harris County and a representative from Harris County or a state senator from Harris County will not represent the interest of Montgomery County.

Other than that, I have nothing else besides a list of 300 -- over 300 signatures of people opposed to this -- to the senate redistricting map. I urge to the committee to work with Senator Brandon Creighton and the other senators, representatives within that area to draw a more acceptable district for SB4. Thank you so much for hearing me out today.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Please make the list of 300 signatures of names available to the committee. Make a

copy. I think Senator Huffman has a question for you.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Hi. Thanks for being here. I just want to point out for your -- so you could look online, the amendment that was filed last night does not address all your concerns, frankly; but it does address the concern that you had about the splitting of Montgomery -- of Magnolia in Montgomery County. So actually the amendment loses -- Senate District 7 loses (indecipherable) 29, which makes that part of Magnolia you were talking about whole in one senate district. So just so that -- you can look it up and get your details online. I just wanted you to know that information.

ADRIAN KAISER: Yes, ma'am. Thank you for pointing that out to me. I'll look that up.

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Thank you. Okay.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you for your testimony. First of all, identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

STEVEN WEINTRAUB: I'm Steven Weintraub, and I'm speaking for myself. I am considered by many to be an expert on critical maps and data. I want to thank Chair Hinojosa and the committee for letting me speak today. I want to start my testimony with a minor nit. In past testimony, I commented how different state district maps don't agree on their lines and this leads

to small orphan precincts and proliferation of different ballots, over 800 in Travis County, leaving behind management costs and ballot errors. The senate SBOE maps in Travis County do a very good job of avoiding this except for one instance and that's Precinct 245. This small precinct was orphaned from the last redistricting. In this map the only precinct that votes for SBOE 5 and SD25, unless it's orphaned again. Please, for elections cost savings split even with 245 into SBOE 10 or SD14, there are many other such errors around the state, please look for them. Travis is my bailiwick, so I get to speak about this one.

But I really wanted to point out is the big problems with maps in Williamson, Tarrant, Dallas, and Harris counties. In Williamson, you have a long noodlely arm of about one to two precincts width, racing along the Travis County border merging these suburban districts of the 11th largest city in the country with 12 rural counties, which they have no shared community. There are more egregious examples, like SD22 in Tarrant County. Here, a little arm of 341,448 suburban voters is merged with 602,574 voters in 11 counties reaching down to Waco. What makes this so bad is that the 341 voters in Tarrant County under 124,000 or 36.24 percent are White. While of the 602,000, the rest of the district, 435,000

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or 72.2 percent are White. This takes a majority-minority section of Tarrant County and dilutes them making it a 59 percent .21 percent White overall district. This is a clear violation of Section 2 of the 1965 Voting Rights Act, which bans practices which removes the ability of minority groups to have a voice in their representative. As the Supreme Court said in Thornburg v. Gingles, quote, the essence of a Section 2 claim is that a certain electoral law, practice, or structure interacts with social and historical conditions to cause an inequity in the opportunities enjoyed by Black and White voters to elect their preferred representatives. Saying you did not look at racial data does not immunize you. The Supreme Court deliberated in (indecipherable), proof of intent is not required, just proof that protected groups and its members, quote, have a less opportunity than other members of the electorate state to participate in the political process and elect representatives of their choice. In fact, in the currently racially aware environment, a purposeful refusal to look at racial data can be inferred to be a condonation of growing racially discriminatory maps. Similar issues can be found in other districts in Tarrant County like SD9 and 10. I do not have time to elaborate on them. Thank you for hearing me today.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. We appreciate your testimony. Before I call the next witness, I want to name the next group of ten witnesses so you can come down. Anaami Pandit, Anaami Pandit. We have Ashley Cheng, Ashley Cheng. Prerna Bhat, Prerna Bhat. Wendy Yockay, Wendy Yockay. Brandon Vance, Brandon Vance. Steven Abodaca, Steven Abodaca. Lily Trieu, Lily Trieu. Mythe Kirven, Mythe Kirven. Dr. Susana Carranza, Dr. Susana Carranza. And Heather Buen, Heather Buen. And I'll call Sarah Chen. Just please come up, identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

SARAH CHEN: Hello. My name is Sarah Xiyi
Chen, and I am representing myself and a part of the
Texas AAPI Redistricting Coalition. Thank you for the
opportunity to speak today in opposition to SB4. I live
in here Austin, and I previously lived briefly in
Fort Worth. I also spend spent quite a bit of time in
Dallas, Plano, and Carlton where my husband is from and
where his side of the family still lives.

On a general note, I urge the committee to reconsider this proposed map to reflect the diversity of our state and the fact that 95 percent of all population growth occurred in the Black, Hispanic, Latino and Asian communities. Any fair map must reflect this population growth. The current draft of senate district map does

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not allow any new opportunity districts based on these census numbers in our metroplexes. So I urge the committee to respect the reality of Texas's population, the population you serve by drawing districts that allow people of color more opportunities to choose the candidates of our choice. I am Chinese American and Asian American, as you heard the fastest growing demographic in Texas. Although the umbrella Asian American, Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander is extremely broad, many of us share similar values and face similar needs, just as we share many common interests with many Black and Hispanic communities. Where I live SD14 had a 13.4 percent increase in the Black population. 30.75 percent increase in the Hispanic Latino population. And a 66.86 percent increase in the Asian population in the last ten years. And this is compared to just a 13.5 percent increase in the Anglo population. But Asians in North Austin are cracked into four separate senate districts. Our maps are not reflecting the growth of minority communities and instead are dividing us further. Around my husband's home down of Plano, Asians now comprise 19 percent of the population of Collin County, 10 percent in Denton County, and 7 to 8 percent of the Dallas and Tarrant counties. The growth, that was 87 percent growth in the last decade in Dallas, Collin,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Denton Counties. Yet, this growth despite the fact that we live in communities close together, the fact that we are shopping, eating, praying, attending school together are -- is divided aggressively between four different senate districts in the proposed map. So under this map, it looks like that all people of color in North Texas will have their voting power diluted by inclusion of more rural areas with whom we have very little in common resulting in candidates elected that don't understand us and are not responsive to our needs. And when I say understand us, I mean literally understand us in terms of language access. According to nonpartisan, nonprofit APIA Vote, 79 percent of Asian Americans in Texas speak a language other than English at home.

I will be brief to wrap up because I just want to say on a personal note that for many immigrants, from many chosen Americans democracy is sacred. My parents immigrated from China in 1988, and they sought a country where they had the right to vote, where they had economic opportunity, and where they have ability to have more than one child. What we see now in Texas is a restriction of the right to vote, a restriction on a failure to address the energy infrastructure and other issues that create economic opportunities and limitation

of reproductive freedom.

SENATOR NICHOLS: I let you go way over.

SARAH CHEN: I appreciate that very much, so thank you. Please continue to hold hearings and to not make last-minute amendments to the maps. And please provide public analyses of the impact on communities of color in future maps.

SENATOR NICHOLS: Thank you. Thank you very much. I call up Anaami Pandit from Cedar Park. Please state your name and your testimony.

ANAAMI PANDIT: Good afternoon. My name is

Anaami Pandit representing the Austin Asian Community

Civic Coalition and myself. I appreciate the opportunity

to speak today and that I hope that this committee will

continue to hold in-person and virtual hearings to allow

public input from the entire state.

As we know, the census shows that 95 percent of all growth in Texas occurred in the African American, Latino, and AAPI communities. Any fair map must reflect diversity of our state. The current drawn maps do not allow any new opportunity districts based on these census numbers in metroplex regions such as Austin, Dallas, San Antonio, and Houston. Instead they actually pack and crack our communities diluting our votes. So I urge this committee to comply with the Voting Rights Act and accept

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the reality of the new census by allowing people of color the opportunity to choose the candidates of their choice. As a member of the Asian community, the fastest growing demographic in Texas, we need better, more responsive representation and more opportunity districts for our communities including in coalition with other people of color and immigrant population with similar values and needs. Where I live in Williamson County, the population grew by 44 percent in the last decade. 77 -- 77 percent of that growth coming from diverse communities. SD5 and SD24 cracked these communities into far-off rural districts. Now, the southwestern edge of the county where I live, a rapidly growing diversified area that includes parts of Cedar Park and Leander is primarily It has a large Asian population. However, in a somewhat illogical moment, this is being drawn out to instead being included in a district that stretches up to Coryell County and (indecipherable). It's challenging to not conclude that this is an attempt at diluting our SD5 had an increase -- an 18.5 percent increase in African Americans, 42 percent increase in Hispanic Latino, and 126 percent increase in Asian populations. So our maps need to fairly reflect the growth of our communities and not strategically divide them. family members who have served in missions abroad to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defend, protect, and promote our country's ideal as a representative democracy. I have a husband who served in Iraq, a brother-in-law who served as an officer, and a father-in-law who tirelessly works with the VA. All of them are Asian Americans, who like the rest of our community deserve representation. Redistricting is intimately tied to voting rights, to the type of representation we get, to the type of resources coming into our communities. And as you know, the Asian American community has experienced horrific discrimination here even in Central Texas. So it's even more imperative that we're able to civically participate together. Our redistricting will set the foundation for the next ten years and give our community a chance at equal and fair representation. That way our elected officials will listen to us and fight for us. I urge this community to hold additional in-person and virtual hearings that allow public input from the entire state. And I implore this committee to create a new senate map reflecting our community and the census data as well as creating fair districts for U.S. Congress and the Texas House.

SENATOR NICHOLS: I need to ask you to find a place to close.

ANAAMI PANDIT: Thank you for the opportunity

to speak today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR NICHOLS: Thank you for being here. I call up Ashley Cheng from Austin. Please state your name and begin your testimony.

ASHLEY CHENG: Hello. My name is Ashley Cheng representing the Texas AAPI Redistricting Coalition. Communities of color are the driving force behind growth in Texas. 95 percent of our population increase was due to African Americans, Latinos, and Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders. We deserve to be fairly represented in these maps because it's the right thing to do, but it's also the law. I urge this committee to comply with the Voting Rights Act and respect communities of color's protected right to choose the candidates of our choice. The proposed maps do not allow any minority opportunity districts based on increase census numbers in metroplexes like Houston, Dallas, San Antonio and Austin. Instead they pack and crack our communities and dilute our votes districts by district. Fair maps must reflect the diversity of our state.

I am an Asian American of Chinese descent.

And I've already testified on my Asian American

community's interest in northwest Austin, but I would

like to share how it's being impacted by your maps. My

grandmother, who I call Nainai fled communist China in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1949 to Taiwan and eventually immigrated to Austin with my father and his siblings. And in 1970, she opened a restaurant in her living room where my dad and aunt worked as kids. Today they each run their own restaurants in Anderson Mill and Northwest Hills, and at 90 years old, Nainai still shuttles back and forth between the two helping with their businesses today. And as she does so, she crosses from the newly proposed SD24 into SD14. It's only a 15-minute drive. As Nainai drives back where she lives with my aunt less than ten minutes away from her restaurant, she crosses back through yet another new district in SD25. And if Nainai were to have a medical issue, she very likely would go to one of the closest hospitals in her area, St. David's Round Rock Medical Center, and Nainai would be standing in a forth district, SD5. Now, what is shameful about these maps is not that you are not making this sweet old Chinese woman drive from senate district to senate district in the course of her daily life, but that the Asian Americans in her community she interacts with throughout that day are having their votes and their voices taken away from them. In this region of Travis and Williamson counties where Asian Americans are highly concentrated, we are the fastest growing at an increased rate of 76.5 percent and 164 percent respectfully.

Compared to the overall population of these counties, though, we're still a relatively small share at about 7.88 percent and 9 percent. However, much like Nainai, this community is small, but it's mighty. And splitting us when we share common values and needs is disenfranchisement. We have been cracked. In the cases of currently White majority districts SD5, SD24, and SD25, we have been attached to large blocks of rural areas that dilute our votes. And I am just not sure how much Nainai has in common in terms of political needs and representation with these far-off areas. But I do want to speak to who she does have more in common with.

Earlier this year after the rise of Asian

American hate crimes caused by racist political rhetoric from our former president and several of our own Texas elected leaders, I helped organize a stop Asian hate rally. Our own state capitol was closed to us to come together for this cause, but Huston-Tillotson, a historically Black university here in town welcomed us immediately. And it was one of the largest stop Asian hate rallies in the country.

SENATOR NICHOLS: Ma'am, I'll have to ask you to find a place to close.

ASHLEY CHENG: Okay. I will wrap up.

Thank you. We had a reported 1,000 attendants and our

partners included not only Asian Americans group, but a 1 2 coalition -- and this is the end -- a coalition of 3 organizations led me by people of color like Interfaith 4 Action of Central Texas, Go Austin/Vamos Austin, Central 5 Texas Collective for Racial Equity --6 SENATOR NICHOLS: I have to ask you to close. 7 ASHLEY CHENG: -- and the NAACP. In closing, 8 I just want to say that when I was afraid for Nainai's 9 safety --10 SENATOR NICHOLS: You're way over. You're way 11 over. 12 ASHLEY CHENG: -- as she drove through her daily routines through your four senate districts --13 14 SENATOR NICHOLS: I will have to ask you to 15 close. 16 ASHLEY CHENG: I will. -- communities of 17 color were the ones who were there to step up for her. 18 So I urge this committee, please, to respect our Asian 19 American community, keep them together, and do the same, 20 and make sure that people of color across Texas have fair 21 representation as well. Thank you so much for your time 22 today. 23 SENATOR NICHOLS: Thank you very much for your 24 testimony. I'll call up, it looks like Prerna Bhat from 25 R-e-r-n-a, the EAJP from Leander. Then I'll Leander.

1 call up Wendy Yockay from Pinehurst. Okay. Okay. 2 Thank you very much. You've got -- just for all the 3 witnesses, you have three minutes to testify. When the 4 light turns yellow, you have 30 seconds to close. 5 WENDY YOCKAY: Okay. 6 SENATOR NICHOLS: We won't start until you are 7 ready. 8 WENDY YOCKAY: Thank you. 9 SENATOR NICHOLS: State your name and then 10 begin testimony. 11 WENDY YOCKAY: All right. I am Wendy Yockay. 12 I am just an American citizen. I am just a Texan. I am 13 here to represent District 4 in Montgomery County 14 regarding SB4 redistricting. We would like to call your 15 attention to the devastation that this proposed 16 redistricting plan would cause to our community by 17 cutting off Magnolia, Stagecoach, Decker Prairie, and 18 Pinehurst out of Montgomery County District 4 and sending 19 them to Harris County District 7. The plan -- this 20 proposal cuts the heart out of Montgomery County -- oh. 21 0h. I'd like to tell you about our community. We too 22 are a community of interest. Our community is about 23 70 percent Anglo, voted 83 percent for Trump, the 24 Chairman of the Republican Party of Montgomery County 25 lives in our community, which is proposed now to be in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Harris County -- in Harris County district. We are very loyal voters and we have great political participation amongst our neighbors. We even talk about politics at the grocery store. We're a tight-knit county that successfully elects some of the absolute best conservative representatives like Senator Brandon Creighton and Representative Steve Toth. After receiving this proposed map, our community was so outraged over its drastic changes that we started a petition and only in 48 hours we have hundreds of people who have signed on, neighbors who have signed on. We have spent decades building a collaborative relationship to participate in our government behind the scenes of the glory positions that we will be devastate -- that will be devastatingly This will weaken what's left of the Montgomery district and silence the voices of those whose votes are dropped in the Harris County bucket of votes. Dividing Montgomery County into three different districts so completely dissects our network that the power base that we built over decades will likely cease to function. Those Montgomery County votes will account for 7 percent of the district -- of the District 7 in Harris County. Harris County will make up 92 percent of the district. So Harris County voters will decide who gets elected and Montgomery County will have very little to say.

1 previous witnesses would be glad to hear this part. 2 District 7 is a 13 percent minority-majority district and 3 Whites will be outnumbered by 126,320 residents. SENATOR NICHOLS: Ma'am, your time is up. 4 5 WENDY YOCKAY: I'm so sorry. 6 SENATOR NICHOLS: I'll have to ask you to 7 close. 8 WENDY YOCKAY: Okay. Which is way outside the 9 census which --10 SENATOR NICHOLS: Your time is up, ma'am. 11 WENDY YOCKAY: Thank you. 12 SENATOR NICHOLS: Thank you. 13 WENDY YOCKAY: I just want you to please 14 remember us when you make your decision and please keep 15 Montgomery County whole. 16 SENATOR NICHOLS: We are trying to be 17 respectful of your time as well as everyone else's. 18 Thank you very much. 19 I ask Brandon Vance from Dallas to please come up. 20 When you get ready, just state your name and begin 21 testimony. 22 BRANDON VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name 23 is Brandon Vance. I reside in Dallas, Texas, 24 Senate District 23. In my work, I serve as the regional advocacy manager for KIPP Public Charter Schools in 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dallas, and I am not here to testify on behalf of KIPP, but I do want to say working in education that I am pleased to have a senator who represents our kids with great care, whether they are at traditionalized public, charter, private school, or any school, Senator West is always fighting for our kids.

With that said, I am here to testify regarding the map that has been proposed. I am here testifying as an ally to my friends in Senate District 10 and Senate District 16. What has been proposed is literally a travesty. As has been said multiple times, this body has a duty to protect the interest of all citizens. Packing and cracking is not just wrong legally, it is morally wrong. This body has been fighting and cajoling behind Senate District 10 for well over a decade. As the Mansfield mayor said, the people of SD10 have made this a minority coalition district. This district to me is an example that should be a model that should be followed across the state and why this body wants to move forward with cracking this district is -- makes no sense as has been said multiple times today. The proposed maps, the amended maps violate the equal protection clause of the United States Constitution. The people of this community should be free to elect officials of their choosing. Cracking this district dilutes the voting power of those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

communities like Mansfield that have grown significantly over the last decade. SD10 should be left as it is, a coalition district that is diverse and represented by officials chosen by the people. This is still a country for the people by the people. It is not just a cliche to say that voters should choose their representative, not representatives choosing their voters. Minority populations have increased significantly across the state over the last decade. We've heard from a number of our citizens today advocating for the AAPI community, advocating for minority opportunity districts whether by majority or polarity. That should be heeded by this body. We've heard from citizens speaking to the necessity for grouping together and keeping together communities -- like communities. These maps, specifically SD10 and SD16 show little care for keeping communities of folks together. It just makes me wonder what are folks afraid of? What are our senators afraid of when it comes to making competitive maps that represent all people? I ask that you keep in mind and please produce fair maps going forward. Thank you for your time.

SENATOR NICHOLS: Thank you very much for your testimony. I'll call up Steven Abodaca. It looks like A-R-O-B-U-A, from Austin, Texas. Please state your name,

sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

STEVEN ABODACA: It's actually Steven Abodaca. Thank you to the Chair and members of this committee. My name is Steven Abodaca. I'm represent myself. I live in South Austin just off of I35. I should also note that my own state senator, Senator Zaffirini, I'm pleased to have you sitting next to me.

As you all know, this is an area that has grown significantly since redistricting last took place. I myself am one of these folks who moved back to my home state three years ago. So with my limited time, I'd like to give testimony today on just a couple key topics related to redistricting. First, the timing and transparency of the redistricting process. Once again, there has been very little notice given to citizens regarding testifying in the proposed maps. Generally there is very little awareness of the redistricting process and whether the public even has the ability to provide testimony. As constituents, we've had less than a week to review and provide testimony on senate districts proposed. Additionally, not having an option to provide testimony virtually during a pandemic creates and undo burden on those who live far away as well as those individuals who have put themselves at risk by appearing in person. It's simply the right thing to do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to allow citizen input to understand the ways the maps should be improved. Secondly, I'd like to address the districts themselves. The creativity with which the outlined senate districts is extremely concerning. The proposed maps, once again, have no interesting -- have no interest in representing the people of our state. Instead, their main concern is maintaining power, even if can comes at the expense of our democracy. The ultimate outcome of the map is obviously designed to decrease number of competitive seats, ignore the growth and influence of the community's of color and gerrymander our districts once again. It's clear the community input during the few redistricting hearings that did occur including my own simply requesting fair and competitive maps were not met. I expect the same to be true for the congressional maps as well. As a result of packing and cracking, no one group has been -- no groups have been harmed more than Black and Latinx constituents like myself whose voices are being minimizing in the halls of the Texas Senate as well as in congress. Texas has grown and 95 percent of that growth came from communities of color. Any map you draw must create districts where people of color can vote for politicians that share their values and backgrounds. Neither the senate nor the SBOE maps has any competitive districts. Hundreds of

thousands of African Americans, Latinx, and Asian Texans will have their voice and their votes diluted. So I implore you, it's critical that additional hearings are held and take into account public testimony to improve the maps to most accurately reflect the state's growing and diverse population and communities of interests. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. We appreciate your testimony. Lily Trieu. Just identify yourself and who you represent and then you may proceed.

LILY TRIEU: Thank you. Hello. My name is a Lily Trieu, and I am representing myself as well as the Texas AAPI Redistricting Coalition. I am encouraged by the opportunity to provide testimony before this committee today, and I hope that you will continue to allow for in-person and virtual testimony throughout the entire process. I won't repeat a lot of the statistics you've already heard today regarding the growth in Texas and where that's coming from. I do want to reiterate one comment that's made -- well, a comment that has been made multiple times today, and that is to comply with the Voting Rights Act and to ensure that our new maps do respect the reality of the census and of the changes we see here in Texas. I grew up in Sugar Land, Texas, which is located in Fort Bend County and in Senate District 17,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman Huffman's district. As a matter of fact, my parents and younger sisters still live in my childhood home today. I am a proud 2004 Kempner High School graduate of Fort Bend ISD. For those who are not familiar with Fort Bend, there are many things that we take pride in. According to the 2020 census, our total population grew by over 40 percent. We are amongst the top fastest growing counties in Texas. We take pride in our diversity, often touting that we've been named most ethically diverse county in America. It's estimated that over 100 different languages are spoken in my home county. As a result we have a vibrant community with churches, temples, mosques all thriving among some of our State's most amazing ethnic foods, grocery stores, and community centers. The AAPI community in Fort Bend County grew by over 82 percent in the last decade as compared to 66 percent statewide. The AAPI communities now make up 6.6 percent of Texas's population and 23.6 percent of Fort Bend County's. We are the fastest growing racial group across Texas that have historically been unfairly divided into multiple legislative Unfortunately as we review the draft map, districts. you'll find that the AAPI community in Fort Bend has continued to be cracked and packed, denying our voices and ability to elect candidates to represent our needs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Language access is a major need in AAPI communities that won't be addressed without fair maps and fair representation. This past May my father, who is a Vietnamese refugee and a Texan of over 30 years turned Just two days after his birthday he was then 65. diagnosed with stage 4 lung cancer. Because of an administrative error, we weren't able to enroll him in Medicare, which denied him any form of cancer treatment. Getting an appointment with the Social Security Administration with translation services would have taken For a man who just received a terminal months. diagnosis, he did not have months to wait. Thousands of people like him live in Texas. Luckily, my sister and I were able to be his translator and advocates, but the ability to receive care and services and healthcare should not come down to luck. So unless we're able to draw maps in a fair way there will be detrimental, potentially life and death consequences for thousands of people in SD17, in Fort Bend County, in Sugar Land, and all across the state.

So I urge the committee to continue to hold in-person and virtual testimonies and hearings that allow for public input. Continue to allow us ample time to review maps, so at least 14 days for us to review additional maps, 5 days to review changes to proposed

maps, keeping a record and documenting all communication as well as providing an analysis of --

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Please stop. Your time is done.

LILY TRIEU: Yes, thank you. And providing an analysis on how these new maps will impact communities of color. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony. Mythe Kirven. Ms. Kirven. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

MYTHE KIRVEN: Good afternoon, presiding officer of the senate committee to the Chairperson of the redistricting committee. My name is Mythe Kirven, and I am testifying as for myself. However, I am a proud member of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to speak in opposition to Senate Bill 4 and the proposed redrawing of Senate District 10. I urge this committee to keep the current boundaries of SD10, which were court ordered in 2012. Currently Senate District 10 is the only crossover minority opportunity senate district for Tarrant County. The third largest county in the state of Texas. Per the 2020 census, the district population is 39.5 percent Anglo, 21.5 percent Black, 22 --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

32.2 percent Hispanic. Dismantling SD10 is a direct violation of the 14th and 15th Amendment of the United States Constitution. The U.S. Supreme Court has previously explained that the destruction of a functioning crossover district is in violation of the U.S. Constitution. In 2012 a federal court ruled specifically on Senate District 10 and the intentional discriminatory enactment of the 2011 senate map that negatively impacted minority voters in District 10. is clear that the Black and Hispanic voters control the electoral outcome of SD10. By passing and enacting the proposed map in senate -- it will -- it will dilute the vote of peoples of color. Please, use the existing map for SD10 without any changes to the existing boundaries. Thank you all for the opportunity to present my comments today. SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Ms. Kirven.

appreciate your testimony. Dr. Susana Carranza on both Senate Bill 7 and Senate Bill 4.

SUSANA CARRANZA: Hello. I'm Dr. Susana I'm testifying on my own behalf. I oppose both bills and the only thing I'm here to say about Senate Bill 7 is that --

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Please identify yourself just for the record. Identify yourself.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUSANA CARRANZA: Yes, I thought I just did, but I am Dr. Susana Carranza, and I am testifying against both Senate Bills 7 and 4, testifying on my own Regarding Senate Bill 7, I would just like to behalf. state that the map just favors non-competitive districts and because of that we should revise it. It should serve the population and not incumbency or non-competitiveness. Regarding the map on -- the senate map proposed under Senate Bill 4, one of the problems that we heard before is that Texas has seen tremendous growth in the current census cycle. The population, over 95 percent has come from minorities, the growth in the population has come from minorities. The proposed map does not reflect the growth patterns and, in fact, goes to extreme levels of gerrymandering to disenfranchise racial minorities. the thriving communities a fair representation. Note that a failure to perform or publish a racial analyses saying that the maps are colorblind does not make the maps fair. Proof of intent is not required. Just proof that a protected group and all its members have fewer opportunities and representation and authoring. Furthermore, the failure to perform racial impacts after countless citizens and organization, civil right organizations have urged the senate to perform the impact, that clearly shows the maps were drawn with

ill intent.

I live in Travis County and we experience some of the worse disenfranchising at the U.S. House level. Our urban and racial diverse population is cracking multiple districts robbing us of representation. The senate map takes this type of tactics in -- applies to the Texas Senate, especially in Dallas-Fort Worth, in the Herring and Fort Bend areas that was discussed, but also in neighboring -- in our neighboring areas where we are packed in Senate 14 and 21 for the -- Austin population, but our neighboring Williamson County is mixed with a lot of rural counties. These tactics are appalling. I urge you to redraw this map to make it fair. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. We appreciate your testimony Dr. Carranza. Before I call the last witness, I am going to call the next group. Stevan Ruiz, Stevan Ruiz. Randall Bryant, Randall Bryant.

Norman Wigington, Norman Wigington. Cheryl Foster, Cheryl Foster. Megan Sham, Megan Sham. Christina Fu, Christina Fu. Miguel Dacones, Miguel Dacones. Wesley You, Wesley You. Deborah Chen, Deborah Chen, and Julie Gilberg, Julie Gilberg. Heather Buen, Buen. Just come up and identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

HEATHER BUEN: Thank you very much. Hello.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My name is Heather Buen. I'm representing myself as well as the Texas AAPI Redistricting Coalition. I currently reside in Senator Hancock's district, Senate District 9. And so I would like to start off by saying the most important thing that we need to consider and respect is federal and state law including the Voting Rights Act.

I am Filipino American, Native Hawaiian. I am part of the AAPI community. Sometimes when you're indigenous, you feel like a minority amongst minority sometimes, but the AAPI community of interest that I want to talk about today is the one that the school district that my kids got to school. It's the HEB school district, not the grocery store, but the first Euless-Bedford independent school district located in Tarrant County. Boundaries include the cities of Hurst, Euless, Bedford, far east Fort Worth along Trinity Boulevard and the Arabian community in North Arlington. Currently the school district is divided into two senate districts, Senate District 9 and Senate District 10. Tarrant County, the AAPI community has seen growth of 56 percent since 2010. My family we live in River Trails. My kids attend HEB schools. They should be out of school right because I know they should be walking home. 67 percent of the student population in HEB schools are minority. It is one of the most diverse

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school districts, not just in Texas but also in the entire country. 10 percent of the student population identify as AAPI. A little known fact, the largest number of Tongans outside of the country of Tonga live in the school district. There's also a large number of South Asian communities including Indian, Nepalese, Pakistanis, Bhutanese, and many more. A lot of our AAPI residents own small businesses in the area contributing to local economy and employing a lot of people in the DFW area and the close proximity to the DFW airport means many of our AAPI residents and families work in the airline industry. The AAPI community in the HEB school district inclusive of our Muslim community means they will not be met in the currently proposed maps. the proposed maps, they are fracturing a part of the HEB school district, you know, going from two senate districts into three senate districts affecting cities of Euless, Bedford as well as the far east Fort Worth. so for the proposed senate district maps, this makes no geographic sense because fracturing AAPI minority communities along with low-income community in Euless, Fort Worth, within the school district all it does is ensure that their voices and their votes will be diluted. For far too long AAPI communities, the fastest growing in Texas, have been unfairly divided. And so we want to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make sure that we embrace Texas's diversity and consider the contributions of our AAPI communities and their right to fair representation. Thank you so much for your time.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you Ms. Buen for your testimony. Stevan Ruiz. Identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed, Mr. Ruiz.

STEVAN RUIZ: Thank you. Good afternoon. Mγ name is Stevan Ruiz. I'm Latino. I am a member of the IBEW, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, and an executive board member for the AFL-CIO, Tarrant County Central Labor Council. I'm here representing both the AFL-CIO, Tarrant County Labor Council as well as a delegate for the IBEW. I'm here to testify on my -- on behalf of myself, my union brothers and sisters, and the residents of Tarrant County in which I represent. The direct impact and harm to communities of color and the inequitable representation that these proposed senate maps create will affect various communities for decades to come. The census shows a 95 percent growth rate in Texas among African American, Latino, and AAPI communities. These numbers don't even include the known undercounting of Latino and minority populations in the census data. So the current data being used to draw these maps is understated. proposed maps in the amendments submitted last night do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not create any new opportunity districts in Tarrant County or the DFW metroplex. Instead, these maps crack and pack all of our communities in order to purposefully dilute the votes. I urge this committee to comply with the Voting Rights Act respecting the reality of the new census data by allowing people of color the opportunity to fairly elect candidates of their choice. Tarrant County includes five proposed senate districts, adding one more than previous; and of those five, three of them including SD10 extend way off into rural areas that at times go into multiple counties that have all experienced a decline in their population growth. Tarrant County is 29.5 percent Latino. Latino voters of these proposed maps are being splintered off with the southeast portion of SD9 currently in both Dallas and Tarrant and a majority-minority area. It's now being packed into a neighborhood majority-minority districts like SD16 and SD23. Senate District 10 has been cut entirely in half. Currently, SD9 where I live has 61.1 percent of people of color based on the 2020 census, and yet it's been redrawn to represent only 49.5 percent of people of color. That's a 12 point shift. map should reflect the growth of the communities of color and not split up or erase our voices district by district with these new maps. What I wanted to point out is that

the Anglo population in that same district has experienced a decrease of 8.38 percent. When you combine that with that 12 percent shift, you now have an absolute value of 20 percent difference in representation of those votes in those districts. I appreciate taking that into consideration and the time to hear me out today.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you, Mr. Ruiz. We appreciate your testimony. Bryant, Randall Bryant. Identify yourself and who you represent and then you may proceed.

RANDALL BRYANT: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, members of the committee. My name is Randall Bryant. I hale from Dallas, Texas in Senate District 23. I'm here on behalf of myself and those that share my sentiment, but their voices could not be heard today. I, like many people you have heard from and will continue to hear from, have traversed from across this state and assembled here today to provide testimony in opposition of the proposed senate redistricting maps. I have and also want to tell Texas congratulations. We've done it again.

Mr. Chair, I sat on the census committee for the city of Dallas in 2020. And I recall the talking points on how important it was to get an accurate count and the potential funding loss to the state if we did not. I recall the work we did in the hard-to-reach census

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tracks, despite a global pandemic, to reach the Latino population despite the President threatened to inquire the citizenship status, and to reach the Black population despite the high transition rate between multifamily units. And in the end, what did minorities do for Texas. Delivered to a census that accounts for almost 95 percent of the population growth, a mere 4 million people, and this map is the thanks that we get. So what have we done again you may ask? Allow me to quote the late U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg. She said, quote, racial discrimination in elections in Texas is no mere historical artifact. To the contrary, Texas has been found in violation of the Voting Rights Act in every redistricting cycle from and after 1970, end quote. A perfect five of the past five redistricting cycles Texas has been found in violation of the Voting Rights Act of And this map right here, congratulations, will make us six out of six. In 1929 a songwriter by the name of Lethal Ellis published a song that has seen many gospel renditions over the years entitled, You Can't Do Wrong and Get By. In it, he says nothing hidden can be -- can be everything he thought to see. You can't do wrong and get by. Well, Mr. Chair, I come to remind you today that we can't do wrong and get by. You can't gerrymander us and get by. You can't crack us and pack

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

us and get by. You can't dilute our voting strength and get by. You can't suppress us with hateful, spiteful, and downright shameful voting rights bills and get by. You can't do wrong and get by.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony. Norman Wigington. Wigington. Okay. Cheryl Foster. Cheryl Foster. Identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

CHERYL FOSTER: Thank you. My name is Cheryl I'm here to represent myself. I'm a sixth generation Texan. My family settled in McLennan County in the early 1800's. I live in Waco, Texas, House District 56, Senate District 22, and U.S. Congressional District 17. I know that we're here talking about senate districts, but it's instructive to see how our house district in McLennan County operate. Currently, McLennan County and Waco specifically is divided into two house districts, 56 represented by Doc Anderson and District 12 represented by Kyle Kacal. The break between the districts runs down the Brazos River through Waco essentially cutting off and disenfranchising the African American community in East Waco. They can walk across the river to Doc Anderson's office, but to visit Kyle Kacal's office, they have to drive for over an hour diluted by rural east counties east of us. It appears

that you are doing the same slice and dice, crack and 1 2 pack to our senate district now to dilute the African American vote in Tarrant County. The racial 3 4 gerrymandering is very disappointing. In Waco, we do a 5 lot of works -- do a lot of work with folks in Marlin and 6 Mart to the east, Whitney to the north, and Gatesville to 7 the west and down south I35 to Bell County. Drawing a 8 new senate district that includes McKinleyville, Coryell, 9 Limestone, and Falls County would create a district that 10 would be more fair and incorporate the neighbors that we 11 already work with. It is our economic community of 12 interest. You know, Austin is the example that we 13 usually talk about when we -- when we show partisan 14 gerrymandering, the congressional districts in Austin. 15 think this proposed map for the senate is going to make 16 that list a prime partisan gerrymandering example. 17 Personally, I get it. Senate District 22 as proposed, 18 certainly rewards Brian Birdwell, gives him even more 19 constituents that he can safely ignore. And a big shout 20 out to Senator Powell for becoming so dangerous that 21 she's earned the reputation that Chet Edwards has and 22 will be ousted the same way he was. That's it. 23 SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We 24 appreciate your testimony, Ms. Foster. Megan Sham.

Identify yourself, who you represent and then you may

25

proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEGAN SHAM: Good afternoon. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman and committee members for giving me this
opportunity. My name is Megan Sham, representing OCA
Greater Houston, a nonpartisan, nonprofit that aims to
empower and advocate for the Asian American and Pacific
Islander community.

I would like to start by reemphasizing the importance of this committee's purpose, upholding the values of our society through laws that guide, protect, and empower of us including the Voting Rights Act. Fair maps should be drawn in consideration for all communities. Every person regardless of language, ethnicity or any aspect of their identity deserve a chance at fair and equal representation for the future. The creation of new opportunity districts would allow for stronger voices of minorities to permeate our local, state, and national government. I am a native Houstonian who grew up in Fort Bend County in Congressional District 22. I felt fortunate to grow up in such a diverse community, attending schools where I met friends from many different cultures, languages, and socioeconomic backgrounds. In a majority-minority school district, we were given lots of opportunities to grow and learn, and there was still disparity in our school's resource

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

distribution. It was extremely competitive for funding for the school district. So while the students were in afforded many opportunities, the schools boosted its competitive STEM classes to boost our rankings. was luckily able to benefit from this, I also recognize that the competition made the school's priority to boost students who are already excelling. Rather than making sure all students of all backgrounds have the same support, the school focused on boosting the upper margins of test scores. When the lines are being drawn and finalized for our congressional districts, I ask that this committee consider minority groups such as the growing population of AAPI's in the Congressional 22. We deserve to have fair and equitable representation from those who are a part of our communities themselves. Gerrymandering has and will continue to let racial minorities slip through the cracks in our legislative system preventing us from having representatives that live and work with the people directly in our community. By having representatives directly from our communities, we will be able to have a stronger voice in the government and become a fuller part of the tapestry that makes our state and country strong and beautiful. We will also be afforded opportunities to reach out to others within our communities who may have misguided

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

beliefs and judges of others. Prejudice is alive in and well, but I believe it is rooted in fear and lack of By having representatives that represent the knowledge. people who actually live and work in our neighborhoods, we can actively work to educate each other and have effective dialogues that overcome the gulf that divides our communities and will help communities progress. As someone who may seem young to you and only have been voting for a few years, I encourage this committee to listen to young constituents who will be directly affected by these new districts. We come into the future we receive from you all, and I hope you think of your children, your grandchildren, and all the future generations who deserve a more equitable future. Thank you so much for your time.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. We appreciate your testimony. Christina Fu. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

CHRISTINA FU: Hello. My name is Christina

Fu. I currently reside in Senate District 17, and I am representing OCA Greater Houston, a nonpartisan, nonprofit that aims to empower and advocate for the Asian Americans and Pacific Islander community. Thank you for letting me speak with you today. This is my first time, so please bear with me.

The 2020 census showed that the AAPI community 1 is one of the fastest growing demographics in Texas. 2 3 This community is extremely diverse and widespread, yet 4 our representation within the local, state, and federal 5 government has been lacking. The proposed maps do not 6 reflect this growth, and I encourage the community to 7 consider new opportunity districts to allow communities 8 of color the ability to choose candidates that represent 9 Having grown up in the -- in the Houston area, I 10 have been lucky enough to experience the many diverse 11 cultures that make Houston unique. However, in my 12 majority White neighborhood, I did not often see people 13 like myself in the media and my schools and in the 14 government. I was financially privileged enough to have 15 classmates that had attentive stay-at-home mothers and 16 But I always noticed that my parents had to 17 come in in their work clothes to parent-student meetings 18 where my peers' parents came in in leisure wear. Because 19 of this, I tried my bests to fit in with those around me 20 by distancing myself from my heritage. The lack of 21 representation made me believe that there were not enough 22 Asian Americans in Houston to be represented. And even 23 worse, it made be believe that Asian Americans were not 24 qualified or not smart enough to be a representative. 25 But even now as our population has grown, we still lack

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adequate representation. Despite not being the majority in my area, the AAPI community continues to boost economic growth with new businesses and enriches the community with cultures and perspectives -- with new cultures and perspectives and deserves to be represented by someone who understands the unique experiences and pressures that we face today. I ask the committee -- I ask as the committee draws and finalizes the congressional district, especially the proposed Senate District 15 that they remember Black, Indigenous, people of color are not a monolith. We each have cultures and values that deserve to be represented in the government. It is my hope that one day I will see a representative who understands the academic pressures we face. understands the fear Asian American women feel of being fetishized, work to address the growing (indecipherable) between Asian Americans, understand the barriers we face in getting mental health help -- mental health help and feels the frustration of being constantly overlooked. Thank you for your time.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony. Miguel Dacones. Miguel Dacones. Wesley You, Wesley You. Deborah Chen, Deborah Chen. Identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

DEBORAH CHEN: Good afternoon,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman Hinojosa, and committee members and thank you for having me here today.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: May I suggest that you maybe you remove your mask so we can -- thank you.

DEBORAH CHEN: My name is Deborah Chen, and I with OCA Great Houston, a social justice, civil rights organization. I'm here today because of the importance of redistricting fair opportunity and coalition district maps that reflect the diversity of Texas and the spirit of this constitutional requirement as a significant foundation of civic engagement in our democracy. Texas has had significant population growth of which 95 percent were from people of color, communities of which Asian Americans are the fastest growing communities; and therefore, we ask for fair representation, a fair and transparent process with a minimum of 14 days for public input on any proposed maps, 5 days to review proposed changes, the opportunity for in-person, virtual, and written testimony, and keeping a record of all documents, written communications, e-mails, text messages, and draft maps, and providing an analysis of how the new maps impact historically disenfranchised communities of color. The current proposed maps pack and crack our communities and dilute our voices -- dilute our votes. Through our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

civic engagement work helping the AAPI community to understand their civic duty and right to vote, we have seen firsthand how the Asian American community in the Greater Houston area is engaging in their local communities and becoming increasingly politically active. There are AAPI communities of interest in the Greater Houston area as well as in Dallas-Fort Worth and the Greater Austin area. We should not have our voting power diluted to packing or cracking at any legislative level. For example, we are concerned of what happened in 2011 where Texas House District 137 and 149, both of which are opportunity coalition districts with communities of interest, were packed together in a racially discriminatory attempt to prevent Asian Americans from having two state representatives and it took a lawsuit to prevent this. Asian Americans and immigrant communities work hard, pay taxes, buy homes, and start jobs creating businesses and want opportunities for fair representation. We have shared communities of interest with similar values and needs. We have shared cultural characteristics. Share religious worship, countries of origin, languages, and/or socioeconomic status. Asian American community is diverse with generations of citizens, new citizens, immigrants, and limited English proficient people. We need fair access to resources,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

multi-language access, affordable housing, living wages, jobs, good schools like any other community and we should not have to live in fear of anti-Asian hate, representatives that spew racist and discriminatory rhetoric that scapegoat the Asia American from communities. The anti-Asian hate and rhetoric our communities suffered during the COVID pandemic and the lack of resources for our community are prime examples of the importance of having the opportunity for fair representation and not diluting the vote of our communities. We should have representatives that will take responsibility to fix the electrical grid and have companies pay their fair share for it and require living We should be able to vote and advocate together for the candidates of our choice to improve our communities. In closing, AAPI communities of interest should not be unfairly divided in any level of egregious maps whether the U.S. Congressional, State Senate, State House or City Council and school boards and State Board of Education. We echo the request of other communities where inclusion and transparency are publicly displayed, fair maps and opportunities for input. Unfair gerrymandered redistricting is the most effective way to suppress the votes of citizens and has been utilized historically in Texas for multiple redistricting cycles

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

every ten years. We ask you to rise above partisanship and break this cycle. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for your testimony. Julie Gilberg, Julie Gilberg. Identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

JULIE GILBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and committee members. My name is Julie Gilberg and I'm here to represent myself. And I am against SB4 and the proposed senate maps.

I live in Aldridge Place in Austin, just north of UT. I live within five blocks of two different congressional districts, CD 10 and 21, and my precinct itself is the third congressional district, CD 25. So I obviously live in a community that has been cracked. My congressional district is 212 miles long and contains 13 counties all with vastly different needs and almost 45 percent of CD 25's registered voters are in Travis County, but I've never met my congressman despite asking to meet him over four years. My congressional district contains the east side of Austin, a community of color along with three minority military precincts in Bell County. And although Travis County has grown a lot in the last ten years, our leadership in congress and the State Texas Senate is the same and it is not reflective of the racial diversity of our community. No one has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been harmed more by map manipulation and voter suppression than Black and Latino communities whose voices have been minimized at the ballot box and in congress. According to the 2020 census, Texas is an urban and racially diverse state, yet the proposed senate maps do not reflect the increasing racial diversity within our state. In looking at the proposed senate maps, communities of color in Tarrant County are primarily cracked between SD9, SD10 and SD22 in a county where the White population has shrunk by 3 percent. The Asian population has had 56 percent growth. The Black population has had 40 percent growth. And the Latino population has had 29 percent growth. These racially diverse communities all grew significantly. contains many counties that are currently a part of CD 25. In SD22, racially diverse suburban Euless with a population of 61,032 is in the same district a small rural Rosebud with a population of 1,349 in Falls County, which is 143 miles away. There are eight other senate districts that are wholly contained within that 140 mile radius. And I have to wonder, what does a racially diverse community like Euless have in common with Rosebud, Texas, in Falls County or what does East Austin have to do with Bosque County. Cracking and packing Black and Brown communities means the needs of those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

racially diverse communities are not met in the Texas legislature or Congress. For example, Black East Austin is dealing with a lack of affordable housing and high property taxes while the Black and Brown minority precincts in military Killeen do not have a VA Hospital, and their nearby rural hospitals are closing and the nearest VA clinic is in Temple, Texas, around 30 minutes away from Killeen. And I believe both communities would benefit from expanding Medicare or winterizing our grid, but neither issue has been addressed in the legislature. The people in my district and across Texas --SENATOR HINOJOSA: Please wrap up your

testimony. Your time is up.

JULIE GILBERG: Yeah. The people in my district and across Texas want solutions and not more And I ask the committee to draw maps that are division. fair, competitive, and represent all Texans. Thank you very much.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you. We appreciate your testimony. Before I bring the next witness up, I'm going -- I will be calling the next group, which is the last group that we have, witness cards. Idona Griffith, Idona Griffith. Sophia Deloretto-Chudy. Anusheh Siddique. Amatullah Contractor.

Niloufar Hafizi. Selena Xie. Benjamin Chou.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Benjamin Chou. Prerna Bhat, Prerna Bhat. Please come up. Identify yourself, who you represent and you may proceed.

PRERNA BHAT: Hi. My name is Prerna Bhat representing the Texas AAPI Redistricting Coalition, Wise Up Texas and myself. Thanks for the opportunity to speak I hope that this community will continue to hold in-person and virtual hearings to allow public input from the entire state. The census showed that 95 percent of all growth in Texas occurred in the African American, Latino, and AAPI communities. Any fair maps must reflect the diversity of the state. But instead the new maps crack -- pack and crack our communities and dilute our I urge this committee to comply with the Voting Rights Act and respect the reality in the census by honoring people of color the opportunity to choose a candidate of their choice. As an Asian American, fastest growing demographic in Texas, I want fair districts because we need better, more representative, more communication and more opportunities for our communities including in coalition with other people of color and immigrant populations with similar values and needs. During the winter storm when our elected officials and many of our systems failed us, our community showed up for each other. This is particularly impactful because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many folks in these immigrant communities don't have adequate information to have access to services and aid and most of our representatives don't put in sufficient effort to get us that information and reach out to AAPI communities, so we often have to organize and support each other on our own. During the storm and the grid failure in February, the South Asian Pacific organization that I am a part of used our personal networks to identify elderly sections in the area that were without water and/or electricity so that we can send aid or get them somewhere safer. Those who are lucky enough to not be as effected, hopped on the phone banks and checked on those who might be. My mom put out a call for donations of household items, groceries, and water among the South Asian community in her current neighborhood of Travisso and Leander as well as the neighborhood that I grew up in, Canyon Creek and Round Rock, Austin. Our friends in Canyon Creek didn't even still have water for several So we went to pick up items that they had collected for donation. We also brought them gallons of water in repurposed milk jugs. Overall through the generosity of these communities, we ended up collecting several van fulls of donated items to help those in even more need to get through a really tough time. These two neighborhoods still only 15 minutes apart and are part of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the same heavily AAPI community interest in northwest Austin, Sierra Park and neighborhoods in Leander and Randolph are split apart in the majority of the district up and down the ballot. At least Canyon Creek are in the same Senate District, SD14, in these new maps. neighborhood will be carved out from SD14 having 52.1 percent people of color and thrown in the new SD25, just 41 percent of people of color. So I went from being in a state senate district that included my old neighborhood 15 minutes away to being in a district that is two hours away in San Antonio as well as in Blanco, Comal, Hays, and Burnet County. It's all very demographically distinct from my community. Furthermore, the temple that my family goes to, 5 minutes away from our house, the Sri Venkateswara Temple on New Hope Drive is currently in SD5 but is now being included in the new SD24 map, which goes all the way up to Coryell County and all the way down south to Atascosa County, but does not include the majority of folks who actually attend temple. This is a primarily religious and cultural center for the Hindu American community of North Greater Austin where folks of SD5, 14 and 24 all gather. Now we're additionally being split between SD5, 14, 24, and 25. South Asian grocery stores must fund Indian, Pakistani and Randall groceries and Gandhi Bazar, both located

around the 183/620 intersection, are frequented by the South Asian community of (indecipherable) district. These areas are primarily in SD5 but would also be carved out and included in SD24 under these new maps. Again SD24, very (indecipherable) high districts. Williamson County grew by 44 percent in the last decade and 77 percent of that growth came from diverse communities including 21 percent from the Asian community. The Asian population in Travis County grew by 76.5 percent over the last decade. These new maps crack these communities in far-off rural nondiverse districts. Our community is already divided as is and these maps are maintaining and furthering erasing our voices district by district. They serve only to dilute our voice.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Please wrap it up. Your time has expired.

PRERNA BHAT: Yep. For far too long, AAPI communities across Texas have been unfairly divided into multiple legislative districts. I urge this committee to hold additional in-person and virtual hearings that allow public input from the entire state to create a new senate map that reflects our community and for anecdotal data as well as fair districts for U.S. Congress and Texas House. In addition, please continue to allow a fair and open process --

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Please wrap it up.

PRERNA BHAT: Review additional maps and five days to review any changes to proposed map. Thank you for your time.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you for your testimony. Idona Griffith. Idona Griffith. Sophia Deloretto-Chudy. Just identify yourself, who you represent and you may proceed.

SOPHIA DELORETTO-CHUDY: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman and committee members. My name is Sophia

Deloretto-Chudy. I'm here as the campaign manager of

The Good Deed Corps. It's a nonpartisan, nonprofit that

furthers the voter registration and turnout in the

Rio Grande Valley. And I myself live in Austin.

I am here today to give testimony in opposition of SB4. I remember sitting in my college classroom in 2015 and learning for the first time what the term gerrymandering meant. The following year I went on to write my honor's thesis on why half of all eligible young people, despite being the largest eligible block of voters in the United States history, decided to stay home instead of voting in one of the most critical elections in our lifetime. The answer I came to over and over in my year of research was that young people were made to believe that their votes did not count. They didn't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They truly believed that the elections were matter. decided for them and their voice and their votes didn't make a difference no matter what they had to say. Young people are not apolitical. They are not apathetic. are distrusting. The lack of trust in our formal, quote, unquote, institution, is one of the most well-documented declines in American cloak of history, and is legitimately one of biggest threats to our nation's and our state's democracy. This is the kind of partisan election rigging that makes us young voters distrusting of election, not the false and unfounded accusations of voter fraud and illegal balloting. The lines drawn on this senate map proposed actually do make it so that certain people, specifically the young people of color who make up the growing Texas electorate, votes will not count because of their district drawn in an uncompetitive by nature. The winner is predetermined. The intentional lack of competitiveness and total disregard for what is clear and obvious in the census data is a blatant power I've worried about how divided we are as a country. I worry about the lack of trust in government and gerrymandering and map manipulation will only make this problem worse. Not only are these maps discriminatory and undemocratic, they will intentionally or not discourage a young electorate from participating

in the patriotic acts of voting. As a Texan, I am demanding a fair and transparent process that results in maps that accurately represent them, not what is in front of us today. I ask that the committee draw maps that are fair, competitive and represents all Texans. Thank you for your time.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much. We appreciate your testimony. Please identify yourself and who you represent and then you may proceed.

ANUSHEH SIDDIQUE: My name is Anusheh
Siddique. I'm speaking on behalf of the Emgage Action.
I'm the coordinator of the Empowering Community
Initiative, collaborative that seeks to advocate for the
Asian community. I live in Fort Bend County in
U.S. Congressional 22, Texas House District 27, Texas
Senate District 17.

In 1965, the Voting Rights Act was passed to counter the Jim Crow laws to place the safeguards against voters suppression. A critical part of this was Section 2, an effort to protect minority voters from having their voices and votes diluted. In the past ten years, I am proud to say Texas has added almost 4 million people and minority communities in Fort Bend have grown substantially and exponentially. I mention this because the maps this body has authored do not reflect this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Unfortunately, your maps continue to neglect the growth. rapid growth of minority communities as they have faced decades of closed-door decisions. These decisions are decided by the same lawmakers whose incumbency is contingent on how the district boundaries are set up. Voters should decide their politicians. Politicians do not need to be deciding their voters. So ask yourself if this is a conflict of interest. My own district -forgive me, Senators -- our district has an AAPI population and prevents them from consolidating any power as a voting block or having any future of electing a representative with our own interest at heart. Congressional District 22 has one of the highest AAPI populations in the nation, yet it has been drawn in a way that no AAPI could possibly win. Texas ranks fourth in AAPI hate crimes. This means to say my father or my mother or my neighbors or my fellow believers in faith are congregated in their houses of worship praying for our safety, our representatives are allowed to operate on wildly unpopular decisions without any fear of consequences. My community is literally split down the middle to ensure our votes are diluted. That's not an exaggeration. If you see these maps, you will see quite literally that it's intentional and it is racist. Despite being in the Chairwoman's district and being your

constituents is not to go out of their way to exclude me and people who look like me. I've worked in nonprofit communities organizing campaigns, and in each of these industries, I have found communities of marginalized folks that have been fractured for electoral benefit. I have been able to work in mapping areas of interest, and those are coincidentally the points your cracking and packing policies strike the most in these proposed maps. I ask you instead of calculating the trajectory of your political advance for the next decade at the expense of democracy, try opening the doors to public and when we rise, we rise together.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for your testimony. Next we have Amatullah Contractor.

Please identify yourself and who you represent and then you may proceed.

AMATULLAH CONTRACTOR: Good afternoon,
Chair Huffman and Vice Chair Hinojosa and committee
members. My name is Amatullah Contractor, and I am
speaking on behalf of Emgage Action and myself. I am
here to testify against Senate Bill 4 and urge you to
withdraw and reconsider these proposed maps. Senate
Bill 4 displays a series of senate district maps
surgically carved out to solidify the arbitrary request
for power in the republican party. These maps are a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

direct contradiction of the growth seen in the Texas population, contributed 95 percent by communities of Instead these maps have cut out populous counties by extending them out to rural areas. These communities have little shared interest or concerns and can only be justified as a secure seat for incumbents. Particularly the Asian American communities, the fastest growing ethnic minority in the country, and yet every region where there was significant AAPI growth such as Fort Bend, Harris and Collin counties have been cracked to deny us representation. I have analyzed the census data of the AAPI community from 2010 up until 2020, and it confirmed what I always knew was true, a strong desire for our communities to congregate, to be together, and I thought that is what we would get when I advocated for our communities to complete the census all the way from speaking in training in 2020 and yet here we are. We were worried their data would be weaponized for other reasons, and right now it is being weaponized as a way to crack them in half so that they would not be represented adequately. We are entitled to our representation, to have our needs addressed; and when they aren't, we are entitled to hold our officials accountable by voting against them. We need districts where politicians must earn votes through public service and community

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

solutions. I work with the coalition known as Houston In Action to create unity maps that offer solutions for communities that are drowning. And when I see these maps, I see this as an attempt by a certain party to hold our heads under the water. I have been on the -- today, I urge you to reconsider these proposed maps and ask yourselves about the consequences these lines have on my community. Silencing voices of the very people that you have taken an oath to serve, whose rights you have taken an oath to preserve and protect is inherently immoral. We will not be spectators as our voices are stripped away. We will show up to public hearings to tell our stories. We will show up in court to represent our communities and advocate for the lives we deserve and we will continue to mobilize our community to march on in the fight for the equitable representation we are entitled to. Fair districting is our right, and we won't back down from that. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you for your testimony. Niloufar Hafizi. Just identify yourself, who you represent and then you may proceed.

NILOUFAR HAFIZI: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair, and thank you, Senators and Madam Chairwoman. My name is Niloufar Hafizi. I'm here on behalf of myself and the organization Emgage Action. A c(4) advocates on behalf

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of AAPI voters, their communities, and the Muslim communities. I was born in Harris County, went to the same law school as Senator Huffman did in Harris County, worked there, and I've lived in Fort Bend County since 2014. And the (indecipherable) in Fort Bend, Harris, Matagorda and Wharton counties, and I am here to testify in opposition of SB4. My family is from Iran, a country where all state (indecipherable) of democracy exists, but there is no real democracy. When my relatives and our family, friends talk about America and that I am from America, they tell me oh, you must live -- you must be so thrilled to live in a representative democracy. They may not know the details of the Voting Rights Act and the Civil Rights movement here, but they do know that this is supposed to be a country where you are race doesn't determine how much your vote counts. They may have never read or heard of even Randall versus Simms, but they think that one person, one vote is the principle of this country, that people who serve in the legislature is honor. When I look at these maps, that is not what I see. In the district I live in, SD18 has experienced massive population growth mostly thanks to communities of color. In fact, the Asian American population of SD18 increased by 129 percent. When I learned of this, I thought maybe, just maybe there will be a rare

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity for someone like me to feel that my vote counts because our community is so used to being ignored, we show up and we vote anyway. When these maps were released, I realized, of course, this is what I should have expected. These maps are drawn to benefit incumbents and that is dangerous, not only because of the populations you've ignored, but because these maps were made in time of peril for American democracy. Public trust in government's ability to represent people is at an all time low in modern history. The public believes that legislators drawn these maps to benefit themselves, and when they look at structures like these where a place like Fort Bend County, which is large enough that it could be its own compact district, is split apart into three senate districts and lumped into SD18 with communities and counties such as (indecipherable), Fayette and Burleson that are rural counties with little in common with Fort Bend. And it's clear to see why the public has little trust in this process. And furthermore, noncompetitive districts like these exist, they corrupt the process by making sure that people feel that their vote doesn't count. They make sure that when primaries happen, that's when the election happens, not the actual election. I ask you as representatives of Texas who have the power to do this at a moment of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

crucial importance in the American history to not gerrymander against people's interest based on race and partisanship. Thank you.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you for your testimony. Benjamin Chou. Just -- we'll have a clerk pick it up. You can go ahead and testify. Somebody will pick it up. Just leave it there on the desk. Thank you. Just identify yourself and who you represent and then you may proceed.

BENJAMIN CHOU: My name is Benjamin Chou, and I represent myself. I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 4. Chairman Hinojosa, Chairwoman Huffman, thank you for holding this important hearing. Two weeks ago during a virtual hearing held by this committee, I testified that Asian Americans in Texas outgrew every other racial group except Hispanics this past decade. Ιn greater Houston, however, Asian Americans were the fastest growing racial group, and we now comprise over five percent of the state. As a result of this growth, an Asian polarity senate district can be drawn in the Greater Houston area. In fact, I have a copy of it here and I've distributed it to all of you -- thank you very much, to senators today, which I will walk you through. Texas has never had an Asian polarity senate district and currently there is no senate district that is more than

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21 percent Asian. As you can see on page 2, the areas that are darker are where Asian Americans live. this map, you can tell that our community largely resides in southwest Harris County and northeast Fort Bend In the current senate map, Asian Americans are County. already split. Senate District 17, your district, Madam Chair, is where we have the largest concentration of Asian Americans at just over 17 percent. On page 3 you will see, however, that Senate Bill 4 does a disservice to the Asian American community by splitting us even further. Instead of creating an Asian opportunity district, it engages in what legal experts call cracking of our Asian community. In this map, there are no districts in Houston where we constitute more than 13 percent. Only 13 percent, Madam Chair. How is it that the fastest growing population in the Houston area finds itself with less representation? How is it we find ourselves decreasing from 17 percent to 13 percent? How can we as Asian Americans expect you or any other senator to effectively represent our interests when you further divide us so that we cannot even live together in one district? I understand that you have repeatedly said today that these maps were drawn, in quote, blind to race. But based on the evidence, I find that claim hard to believe. This map reeks of intentional discrimination

against Asian Americans, and I hope you do show the data that I brought here today to the attorney general's office so that General Paxton is aware that this map cracks the Asian community. Fortunately, I'm an optimist and believe the committee will do the right thing and respect our desire to be united in one district. As such on the last map, you will see that there is an opportunity to draw an Asian polarity district of 30 percent in our district. As such, I strongly encourage the senate to redraw Senate Bill 4 and create a polarity Asian opportunity district because it's possible and the Asian population deserves the opportunity to elect someone of our choice. Thank you so much.

SENATOR HINOJOSA: Thank you very much for your testimony. Selena Xie. Selena Xie. I'm going to call some of the other witnesses which I called a while ago and were not -- did not respond. Idona Griffith, Idona Griffith. Wesley You, Wesley You. Miguel Dacones, Miguel Dacones. Norman Wigington, Norman Wigington.

Selena Xie. Those are all the cards we have for today's witness list. I will ask if anybody present would like to testify for, on, or against Senate Bill 4 and Senate Bill 7? I'll ask again. Is anybody present who would like to testify for, on, or against Senate Bill 4 and Senate Bill 7? If not, the public testimony is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

closed for today. I think tomorrow we will continue at 9:00 in the morning listening and hearing testimony on Senate Bill 4 and Senate Bill 7. Madam Chair Huffman.

Thank you, Senator Hinojosa. SENATOR HUFFMAN: very much for fulfilling your vice chair duty so well -so well today. Thank you, sir, for taking over. Members, I just want to remind you again about the amendment process. As we have said, I want to repeat it publicly again, committee amendments including the corresponding reports from legislative council are due to the committee by Sunday, September 26, 2021, at 10:00 a.m., Ledge Counsel is asking that committee amendments be submitted to them by 5:00 p.m. Saturday on September 25 in order for them to have sufficient time to process and produce the amendment packet. Members, I would say that today there were some comments and some -about an amendment being filed in the dark of night last night. I actually filed that as soon as it was prepared. The amendments actually are not due until Sunday. So I filed it purposefully so that the public would have some viewing of the amendment. As we all know, the amendments are part of this process. We are doing it just that way. There will not be a committee substitute. We are putting the amendments out there. We urge members of the public who have amendments that they want to, or maps that they

want to present and all members to get your amendments They will all be considered and presented to this committee for a vote. So with that, we will -- I think that's all the business we have today. So we will stand in recess subject to the call of the Chair which will be tomorrow morning at 10:00 a.m. where we will welcome more public testimony on these important pieces of legislation. At 9:00 a.m. I'm so sorry. Today was 10:00. Tomorrow is 9:00. 9:00 a.m. 9:00 a.m. So sorry about that. Okay. We'll be in recess. Thank you. -245-

I, REBECCA FARRIS, a competent court reporter and disinterested person, transcribed the pre-recorded video/audio proceedings. Dated November 24, 2021. Electronically signed/Rebecca Farris Rebecca Farris Stenographic Court Reporter **-**246**-**